

## **REGENERATION, COMMUNITY AND CULTURE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

**31 JANUARY 2012**

### **PETITIONS**

Report from: Robin Cooper, Director of Regeneration, Community and Culture

Author: Caroline Salisbury, Democratic Services Officer

#### **Summary**

This report advises the Committee of the petitions presented at Council meetings, received by the council or sent via the e-petition facility, including a summary of officer's response to the petitioners.

#### **1. Budget and Policy Framework**

1.1 The constitution provides that petitions received by the council relating to matters within the remit of an Overview and Scrutiny Committee will be referred immediately to the relevant Director for consideration at officer level.

#### **2. Background**

2.1 The Director is asked to respond to the petition request within 10 working days. The petition organiser may request to refer the matter to the relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee if s/he is not satisfied with the answer and has given reasons for their dissatisfaction.

2.2 If the petition contains at least the number of signatures equating to 5% of Medway's population (currently 12,675 signatures) it will be debated by Full Council unless it is a petition asking for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting.

2.3 If the petition contains at least the number of signatures equating to 2% of Medway's population (currently 5,070 signatures) the relevant senior officer may give evidence at a public meeting of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee.

2.4 A petition may also be submitted through the e-petition facility on the council's website. E-petitions must follow the same guidelines as paper

petitions. A petition acknowledgement and response will be emailed to everyone who has signed the e-petition and elected to receive this information.

- 2.5 A summary of the response to all petitions will also be published on the council's website.

### 3. Petitions

- 3.1 A summary of responses relevant to this Committee that have passed the ten day deadline for a request for referral to the Committee and are therefore seen as acceptable to the petitioners are set out below.

Subject of petition	Date of receipt	Response
Objection to installation of extractor chimney in Gillingham	6 December 2011	This development requires the benefit of planning permission. The owners of the site have been requested to submit a planning application or remove the extractor chimney.
Request that bus 116 stops at the Dockside Outlet Centre and not the Ramada Hotel	22 December 2011	Arriva, who run this service, advise that only 11 passengers per day used the Dockside Outlet stop. Since changing, the new route has generated 17% more custom and provides a service for students through the university campus, which fulfils an objective of the Council's Local Transport Plan. The council sympathises with the request but hopes that it is recognised that not all requests can be met, particularly when the overall benefit outweighs those who have been inconvenienced.

### 4 Risk Management

- 4.1 The Council's petition scheme has been drafted in compliance with the minimum requirements of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 relating to petitions. This will minimise the risk of any challenge to the legitimacy of the Council's arrangements for handling petitions.

## **5 Financial and Legal Implications**

- 5.1 Any financial and/or legal implications arising from the issues raised by the petitions are set out in the comments on the petitions.

## **6 Recommendation**

- 6.1 Members are requested to note the petition responses and appropriate officer action in paragraph 3 of the report.

### **Background papers**

Medway Council's Constitution  
Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009

### **Contact for further details:**

Caroline Salisbury, Democratic Services Officer  
Tel. No: 01634 332013 Email: [caroline.salisbury@medway.gov.uk](mailto:caroline.salisbury@medway.gov.uk)