

# REGENERATION, COMMUNITY & CULTURE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

# **13 DECEMBER 2011**

## DRAFT CAPITAL & REVENUE BUDGET 2012/2013

Portfolio Holder: Councillor Alan Jarrett, Finance

Report from/Author: Mick Hayward, Chief Finance Officer

## Summary

This report presents the Council's draft capital and revenue budget for 2012/2013.

The draft budget is based on the principles contained in the Medium Term Financial Plan 2012/2015 approved by Cabinet in September and reflects formula grant assumptions for 2012/2013 announced during last year's Comprehensive Spending Review.

# 1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 It is the responsibility of Cabinet, supported by the management team to develop a draft revenue budget which should then be submitted to Overview and Scrutiny Committees for their views.

#### 2. Constitutional rules

- 2.1 The budget and policy framework rules contained in the constitution specify that Cabinet should produce the initial budget proposals. These should be produced and submitted to overview and scrutiny committee three months before the Council meeting that is scheduled to determine the budget and council tax. The overview and scrutiny committees have a period of six weeks to consider these initial proposals. Any proposals for change will be referred back to Cabinet for consideration.
- 2.2 Under the constitution Cabinet has complete discretion to either accept or reject the proposals emanating from the Overview and Scrutiny Committees. Ultimately it is Cabinet's responsibility to present a budget to Council, with a special Council meeting arranged for 23 February 2012. The adoption of the budget and the setting of council tax are matters reserved for Council. The statutory deadline for approving council tax is 11 March 2012.
- 2.3 At its meeting on 29 November 2011, Cabinet agreed to forward the provisional draft budget to the Overview and Scrutiny Committees as work in progress, inviting them to offer comments on the proposals outlined. This report concentrates on the impact upon the Regeneration, Community and Culture Directorate.

2.4 The timetable for consideration by the committees is as follows:

Children and Young People
Regeneration, Community and Culture
Health and Social Care
Business Support (coordinating O&S response)
Cabinet
Council
6 December 2011
13 December 2011
2 February 2012
14 February 2012
23 February 2012

# 3. Budget monitoring 2011/2012

- 3.1 The Quarter 2 monitoring report, considered by Cabinet on 1 November 2011, forecast a net overspending on services of some £5.1 million, although a significant proportion of this pressure results from delays in realising planned savings within Adult Social Care and as such should not have a recurring impact in future years. Every effort will be made to minimise the forecast overspend and the consequent call on the Council's reserves and indeed a moratorium has been placed on all non-essential spend. This will include rigorous management of vacancies.
- 3.2 For the capital programme, the forecast based on the first half-year expenditure, is that there will be an overspend of £2.8 million on the remaining programme of £109.1m. (Forecast spend 2011/2012 £82.2m, 2012/2013 £26.7m, 2013/2014 £2.9m, 2014/2015 £0.2m). Council will be requested to consider funding options to address the overspend which has arisen on regeneration schemes (Waterfront Bus Station £0.4m, traffic control/bus corridor £0.4m) and road schemes (Chatham £0.8m and A228 improvements £1.2m).

#### 4. Medium Term Financial Plan

- 4.1 The Council's Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) is refreshed annually, with the underlying aims of:
  - Ensuring a sustainable budget, without recourse to the use of reserves;
  - Generating efficiencies, in partnership with others where appropriate, for reinvestment in priority spending;
  - Assessing the revenue impact of funding streams supporting capital investment decisions, whether that be from supported borrowing, use of reserves, capital receipts or prudential borrowing; and
  - Avoiding the sanction of central government controls, for example capping.
- 4.2 The MTFP considered by Cabinet on 6 September 2011 presented a high level summary of the budget requirement for the next three years and identified a £9.4 million gap to be addressed through the budget preparation process. As always, the MTFP is prepared alongside the Council Plan and reflects the Council's priorities, as articulated by two core values and six key outcomes:

#### Core Values

- Putting our customers at the centre of everything we do; and
- Giving value for money.

## Key Outcomes

- A safe, clean and green Medway;
- Children and young people having the best start in life in Medway;
- Adults maintain their independence and live healthy lives;

- Everybody travelling easily around Medway; and
- Everyone benefitting from the area's regeneration.
- 4.3 This link between the service and financial plans is essential. Indeed, this year both the budget and Council Plan have followed the same quarterly reporting timetable, providing Members with regular monitoring of the Council's overall performance.
- 4.4 The formula grant assumptions reflected in the MTFP remain consistent with those presented last year and represent an 8.3% reduction in 2012/2013, followed by further reductions of 4.0% per annum in 2013/2014 and 2014/2015. Similarly, the MTFP assumed a 2.5% per annum increase in council tax, although the assumptions regarding tax base reduced very slightly. The MTFP reflects specific assumptions around council tax freeze grant, pupil premium, new homes bonus and academy transfers, whilst for other specific grants it has been assumed that 2012/2013 funding levels will be maintained in one form or another throughout the life of this MTFP.
- 4.5 The key assumptions underpinning the budget requirement for 2012/2013 and future years include:
  - Zero uplift for general inflation, although some specific inflation assumptions have been applied where there is a contractual or unavoidable commitment;
  - Zero increase on pay budgets;
  - Increases to reflect the current overspend forecast in 2011/2012;
  - Some provision for demographic growth in social care budgets;
- 4.6 The MTFP also reflects the full year effect or phased delivery of a number of savings proposals agreed by Members during the previous budget setting cycle.

## 5. Council Plan

- 5.1 The Council Plan is the organisation's over-arching business plan, setting out the priorities and outcomes the council wants to achieve during the next financial year. Following the coalition government's changes to local authority reporting requirements, the 2011/2012 Council Plan was streamlined to 5 priority areas, monitored by a small basket of measures of success. The plan for 2012/2013 being developed alongside the budget, will confirm the outcomes the Council wishes to focus on in the coming year to deliver its priorities. It is imperative that the Council Plan continues to reflect council priorities, is fit for ongoing inspection requirements and is achievable within anticipated resources.
- 5.2 The plan will be underpinned by a limited and high level set of measures of success, these will be developed by services and draw on the results from resident consultations. The measures will allow Members to gauge progress for each priority area and demonstrate how the Council's actions are making a difference.

## 6. Finance Settlement

6.1 The level of Government funding over the medium term and the ability to generate additional council tax income are influenced by:

- The Government's Spending Review (SR) 2010;
- Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement; and
- Proposals to limit or freeze council tax increases.
- 6.2 Spending Review (SR) 2010 was published on 20 October 2010 and was followed up by the local government finance settlement in December 2010. Although SR 2010 announced Government spending reductions over the four-year period to March 2015, individual local authorities only received detailed figures for 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The final 2012/2013 grant figures will not be known until the finance settlement is published but it is expected to be consistent with the MTFP assumptions presented to Cabinet in September.
- 6.3 Local authorities, who agreed to freeze council tax in 2011/2012, received a grant equivalent to a 2.5% increase in council tax and a commitment from Government that this would be funded for each year of the spending review period. This grant amounts to almost £2.5 million per annum for Medway; although it will not be adjusted annually for increases to the tax base and at the end of the spending review period will leave the Council with a £2.5 million gap to fund.
- 6.4 The Government recently announced a similar grant for local authorities prepared to freeze council tax again in 2012/2013. This time however the grant will be for one year only and the Council will need to carefully consider the impact on future financial sustainability of accepting this grant and freezing council tax for another year.

## 7. Summary of draft revenue budget

7.1 The MTFP focussed on high level budget pressures, which represented a combination of the ongoing impact of overspends in the current year, together with inflationary and demographic pressures projected for future years. However, the plans also reflect the full year effect of savings proposals agreed during last year's budget setting process. These pressures and savings are summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Summary of additional resource requirement against 2011/2012 base

	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m
Children and Adults	5.390	2.625	2.637
Regeneration, Community and Culture	1.953	0.680	1.000
Business Support/Corporate Issues	1.040	(0.013)	0.005
Better for Less	(2.410)	(1.890)	(0.904)
Total – General Fund	5.973	1.402	2.738

7.2 Since publication of the MTFP management, in consultation with Portfolio Holders, have been considering measures to close the gap between the provisional budget requirement and the funding assumptions made in the MTFP. The effect of these on the Regeneration, Community & Culture Directorate is summarised at Appendix 1a with an analysis of pressures and savings shown separately at Appendix 1b. A summary of the budget requirement as it currently stands, incorporating the pressures referred to earlier and any savings proposals identified thus far, is provided in Table 2 below. The estimated funding for 2012/2013 is consistent with the MTFP assumptions.

7.3 Whilst Table 2 indicates an overall reduction in the budget requirement of £83.3 million, this comprises an £86.8 million reduction in Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) expenditure, reflecting the impact of academy transfers and a £3.5 million increase in general fund expenditure. This includes further savings identified since publication of the MTFP and together with an anticipated £2.7 million reduction in government funding (excluding DSG) leaves the Council with a budget gap of around £6.2 million.

Table 2. Draft revenue budget 2012/2013

Directorate	Original Budget 2011/12 £000's	Forecast Variation 2011/12 £000's	Forecast Req'ment 2012/13 £000's
	£m	£m	£m
Children and Adult Services (C&A):			
DSG Related Expenditure	196,292	0	109,460
Other Expenditure	120,702	2,966	123,853
Regeneration, Community and Culture (RCC)	45,287	1,810	46,541
Business Support (BS):			
DSG Related Expenditure	1,497	0	1,497
Other Expenditure	25,709	62	26,645
Public Health	227	0	227
Interest & Financing	15,293	250	15,293
Levies	974	0	974
Projected savings from 'Better for Less'	0	0	(2,410)
Budget Requirement	405,981	5,088	322,079
Estimated Funding			
Dedicated Schools Grant	(197,789)		(110,957)
Council Tax (incl. freeze grant)	(100,986)		(103,954)
Formula Grant	(86,096)		(78,280)
Specific Grants	(20,523)		(22,678)
Planned Use of Reserves	(587)		Ó
Estimated Available Funding	(405,981)		(315,869)
Budget Gap			
- DSG	0		0
- General Fund	0		6,210

- 7.4 The revenue budget pressures facing the Regeneration, Community & Culture Directorate in 2012/2013, as included in the MTFP and detailed in Appendix 1b of this report, total £1.953m, the principle factors being:-
  - Waste Services £1.262m (including inflation £660,000, clear sack policy £300,000 and increased Landfill Tax £232,000)
  - Leisure & Sports £269,000 (increased NNDR liability, ending of income stream from landfill)
  - Highways £218,000 (inflation on term contract)
  - Parking £206,000 (ongoing current cost pressures).

Compensating savings identified to date, amount to some £0.7m.

## 8. Meeting the funding gap

8.1 Table 2, above, highlights a funding gap of £6.2 million in relation to general fund services. Officers and Portfolio Holders have targeted specific service areas where

there are potential efficiencies to be gained without impacting significantly on service delivery to residents. These include:

- Integrating 'supporting people' into the wider social care budget to exploit synergies and deliver efficiency;
- Investment in prevention and early intervention in children's services. The directorate has commissioned an end to end review of services for 'looked after children';
- Prioritising highway maintenance works;
- Review of fees and charges across a whole range of service areas;
- Critical review of the inflationary assumptions reflected in the MTFP;
- Property rationalisation;
- Considering opportunities for outsourcing services and shared service arrangements with other councils and public agencies;
- Continuing to progress the 'Better for Less' programme to improve service delivery and drive out efficiencies in customer contact, administration and procurement.

## 9. Draft capital budget proposals 2012/2013

- 9.1 The financial settlement announced in December 2010 saw a significant reduction in capital funding for local authorities, however it also provided a degree of certainty, with both the Department of Transport and Department of Health announcing grant figures for 2011/2012 and 2012/2013, whilst Communities and Local Government made it clear that Disabled Facilities Grant would continue at its current level. The Department for Education also responded to the James Review by confirming that 2012/2013 grant allocations would be in line with 2011/2012.
- 9.2 Whilst the financial settlement no longer includes any revenue support for capital, local authorities still have access to 'unsupported' borrowing through the prudential regime for capital, providing that these capital investment plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable. Developer contributions and capital receipts might also become available for capital investment, but at this stage of the budget setting process, it is assumed that future investment will be restricted to the current programme, supplemented by the Council's expectations in relation to Government grant. Medway's 2012/2013 grant assumptions are outlined in Table 3 below.

Table 3. 2012/2013 Government grant assumptions

	C & A	BSD	RCC	Total
Disabled Facilities Grant	0	739	0	739
Education Basic Needs Grant (est.)	3,836	0	0	3,836
Schools Capital Maintenance Grant (est.)	5,113	0	0	5,113
Schools Devolved Formula Capital (est.)	791	0	0	791
Adult Social Care Transformation Grant	504	0	0	504
Integrated Transport Grant	0	0	1,576	1,576
Highways Capital Maintenance Grant	0	0	2,350	2,350
Total Forecast	10,244	739	3,926	14,909

9.3 The current capital programme reflects slippage from previous years, together with the 2011/2012 grant allocations. This programme will continue to be delivered throughout 2012/2013 and beyond and Table 4 summarises planned expenditure, providing an analysis of how it is funded.

## 9.4 Table 4. Funding the current capital programme

	C & A	BSD	RCC	HCA Funding	Member Priorities	Total
	£,000's	£,000's	£,000's	£,000's	£,000's	£,000's
2011/2012 Capital Programme	64,867	16,605	20,910	5,269	1,426	109,076
2011/2012 Forecast	47,420	10,579	16,225	6,482	1,381	82,087
2012/2013 Forecast	17,373	5,573			46	26,743
2013/2014 Forecast	20	424	2,415		0	2,859
2014/2015 & future year's forecast	0	0	195		0	195
Total Forecast	64,813	16,577	22,585	6,482	1,427	111,884
Funding Source						
Government grants	52,247	981	10,608	0	0	63,835
HCA Grant	0	0	549	2,786	0	3,336
Developer & other contributions	5,340	575	3,531	0	23	9,467
Capital Receipts	2,881	4,149	2,649	0	720	10,399
Reserves / PSA grant / revenue	951	0	1,344	19	683	2,997
HRA revenue contribution	0	1,494	0	0	0	1,494
LTP borrowing	0	0	1,190	0	0	1,190
Other supported borrowing	3,448	245	0	0	0	3,693
Prudential borrowing	0	3,450	1,039	2,463	0	6,952
Major Repairs Allow. / Reserve	0	4,463	0	0	0	4,463
Right to buy receipts	0	1,248	0	0	0	1,248
Unfunded overspend	(54)	(28)	1,675	1,213	1	2,808
	64,813	16,577	22,585	6,482	1,427	111,884

## 10. Conclusions

- 10.1 These initial budget proposals represent a considerable step towards developing the 2012/2013 revenue budget and whilst a £6.2 million gap still represents a significant challenge, it should not be a surprise to Members and must be viewed in the context of continuing cuts in Government funding.
- 10.2 There is considerable work required in order to present a balanced budget and this will be undertaken during the period leading up to the Cabinet meeting on 14 February 2012. Overview and Scrutiny Committees have a vital role assisting in this process both to review existing proposals and also to suggest new ones.

## 11. Risk Management

11.1 The risks exposed by a failure to effectively manage the resource planning and allocation process to achieve priorities and maintain effective service delivery are great. The uncertainty caused by the current economic climate and the consequences in terms of future financial assistance and targets imposed by Government will make this process difficult.

## 12. Diversity Impact Assessment

12.1 The council has legal duties to give due regard to race, gender and disability equality in carrying out its functions. This includes the need to assess whether any proposed changes have a disproportionately negative effect on people from different ethnic groups, disabled people and men and women, which as a result may be contrary to these statutory obligations. These draft budget proposals predict the resources available, against which to determine the service priorities within the Council Plan. Diversity Impact Assessments will be undertaken and reported to Members as part of the budget and service planning process as the impact of the financial settlement on Council services becomes clearer.

## 13. Financial and legal implications

13.1 The financial implications are fully detailed in the report. There are no direct legal implications.

#### 14. Recommendations

- 14.1 Members are requested to:
  - (a) consider the draft capital and revenue budget for 2012/2013, proposed by Cabinet on 29 November 2011, insofar as they affect this overview and scrutiny committee:
  - (b) consider the opportunities and implications of any other efficiencies or revenue generating measures for this committee;
  - (c) forward to Business Support Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 2 February 2012, comments and suggestions with regard to the preparation of the Council's capital and revenue budget for 2012/2013.

#### **Background papers:**

Medium Term Financial Plan 2012/2015 – Cabinet 6 September 2011 Regular capital and revenue budget monitoring reports to Cabinet Capital and Revenue Budgets 2012/2013, report to Cabinet 29 November 2011. Capital and Revenue Budgets 2012/2013, report to Business Support Overview and Scrutiny Committee 1 December 2011.

#### Lead officer:

Mick Hayward, Chief Finance Officer

Tel No: 01634 332220 Email: mick.hayward@medway.gov.uk

#### REGENERATION, COMMUNITY AND CULTURE - BUDGET BUILD 2012-2013

	2011-12 Base	Remove		2011-12	Mediu	m Term Financia	l Plan	2012-13 MTFP	2012-13	2012-13
General Fund Activities	(Q2 2011)	Support Services	Adjustments	Adjusted Base	Inflation	Other Pressures	Savings	Assumptions	Further Proposals	Draft Budget (Nov 2011)
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Highways	6,809	(277)	0	6,532	218	(9)	0	6,741	0	6,741
Parking	(2,693)	(203)	0	(2,896)	0	206	(132)	(2,822)	(48)	(2,870)
Major Projects	52	(58)	0	(6)	0	0	0	(6)	(59)	(65)
Road Safety	210	(31)	0	179	0	0	0	179	(20)	159
Traffic Management	679	(41)	0	638	0	94	0	732	(62)	670
Waste Services	18,513	(851)	0	17,662	660	652	0	18,974	(60)	18,914
Environmental Services	1,574	(185)	0	1,389	0	0	0	1,389	(25)	1,364
Environmental Health Commercial	1,236	(129)	0	1,107	0	0	0	1,107	0	1,107
CCTV / Lifeline	379	(87)	0	292	0	(60)	0	232	(39)	193
Community Safety Partnership	367	(6)	0	361	0	0	0	361	0	361
Strood Depot Services	(5)	(10)	0	(15)	0	0	0	(15)	0	(15)
Safer Communities Support	137	(25)	0	113	0	0	0	113	0	113
Front Line Services	755	(409)	0	346	0	0	0	346	0	346
Total for Front Line Services	28,013	(2,313)	0	25,700	878	883	(132)	27,329	(313)	27,016
Development, Economy & Transport	404	(298)	0	106	0	0	0	106	0	106
Economic Development	623	(61)	0	562	0	0	0	562	(57)	505
Integrated Transport	6,954	16	0	6,970	0	27	0	6,997	(3)	6,994
Planning Policy & Design	1,042	(89)	0	953	0	0	0	953	0	953
Development Management	626	(165)	0	461	0	0	0	461	0	461
Social Regeneration & Europe	425	(147)	0	278	0	0	0	278	0	278
Tourism	571	(55)	0	516	0	0	0	516	0	516
Building Control	221	(1)	0	220	0	0	0	220	(21)	199
Total for Development and Transport	10,866	(801)	0	10,065	0	27	0	10,092	(81)	10,011
L&C Management Group	108	(28)	0	80	0	0	0	80	0	80
Leisure & Sports	3,314	(548)	0	2,766	0	269	0	3,035	(165)	2,870
Arts, Theatres & Events	1,612	(275)	0	1,337	0	(12)	0	1,325	(70)	1,255
Heritage	736	(164)	0	572	0	40	0	612	0	612
Greenspaces	4,507	(394)	0	4,113	0	0	0	4,113	(70)	4,043
Total for Leisure and Culture	10,277	(1,409)	0	8,868	0	297	0	9,165	(305)	8,860
	-,	,,,,,,		-,				-,,,,,,,,	(300)	-,-,-
Regeneration, Community & Culture Directorate Support	946	(292)	0	654	0	0	0	654	0	654
		, , ,					-		-	
Total for Regeneration, Community & Culture	50,102	(4,815)	0	45,287	878	1,207	(132)	47,240	(699)	46,541

#### **BUDGET BUILD 2012/13 - BASE BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS**

	Medium Term Financial Plan provision £000s	Further proposals £000s
Service		
FRONT LINE SERVICES		
Highways		
- Term contract inflation uplift - Pentagon increase in residual costs	218 16	
- Street parties (removal of one-off 11-12)	(25)	
- Street Parties for Diamond Jubilee (one off 12-13)		25
- Reduce public rights of way budget  Parking		(25)
- Current cost of service 11-12 after management action	206	
- 10p increase in charges	(82)	(48)
- End of free Christmas parking from 2012 - 3yr investment funded by PSA grant  Major Projects	(50)	
- Increase income generation		(59)
Road Safety		(0.0)
- Miscellaneous savings Traffic Management		(20)
- Running costs of new traffic management system	94	
- Reduction in external support. Review of operation of traffic lights		(62)
Waste Services - Contract inflation uplift	660	
- Underprovision in base budget	100	
- Continued issue of clear sacks	300	
<ul><li>Increase in Landfill Tax</li><li>Public conveniences, current cost of service 11-12</li></ul>	232 120	
- End of graffiti initiative - 3yr investment funded by PSA grant	(100)	
- Pest Control - increased income target		(25)
Safer Communities Operations - End of 3 year CCTV investment funded by PSA grant	(60)	
- Continuation of CCTV funding	(,	60
- CCTV Increase Income target - Additional target Lifeline / Community Safety		(64) (35)
		(33)
DEVELOPMENT, ECONOMY & TRANSPORT		
Economic Development		(0=)
Innovation Centre increase income     Innovation centre reduce running costs		(27) (30)
Integrated Transport		(00)
- Running costs Waterfront Bus Station (those not recovered via departure charge)	27	
- Park & Ride, introduce 50p charge  Building Control - reduced cost of non fee earning activities		(3) (21)
LEISURE & CULTURE		(/
LEISONE & COLTONE		
Leisure & Sports - Increased NNDR liability Medway Park	169	
- Removal of income from landfill at Deangate	100	
- Additional income from old hall Medway Park		(35)
- Increased fees & charges - Partnership working		(54) (76)
Arts, Theatres & Events		(10)
- Armed Forces Day (one-off 11-12)	(12)	
- Contributions to art gallery costs - Theatre management saving - full year effect		(15) (25)
- Castle concerts reduce subsidy		(30)
Heritage - Guildhall, additional staffing required for insurance purposes	40	40
<ul> <li>Guildhall, additional staffing required for insurance purposes</li> <li>Outreach income from schools</li> </ul>	40	10 (10)
Greenspaces and Country Parks		, ,
Contract renegotiation     contract re-tendering costs		(100) 30
community costs		50
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS TO BASE BUDGE1	1,953	(699)
=	,	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>