

**Cumulative Impact Assessment consultation responses**

**Cllr 1**

**From:** Councillor Joanne Howcroftscott  
**Sent:** Monday, January 8, 2024 9:30 AM  
**To:** Emily Lane-Blackwell <emily.lane-blackwell@gravesham.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Re: Consultation on Medway's Cumulative Impact Assessment under the Licensing Act 2003

Good morning, happy New Year, I think it should remain as is thank you.

**Cllr 2**

**From:** Councillor Adrian Gulvin  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 9, 2024 11:42 AM  
**To:** Emily Lane-Blackwell <emily.lane-blackwell@gravesham.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** Councillor Habib Tejan  
**Subject:** RE: Consultation on Medway's Cumulative Impact Assessment under the Licensing Act 2003  
Hi Emily,

My view is that it should remain, but be reviewed & amended if necessary.

Best regards

**Member of public 1**

**From:**  
**Sent:** Monday, January 8, 2024 1:32 PM  
**To:** Licensing <licensing@gravesham.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Re: Consultation on Medway's Cumulative Impact Assessment under the Licensing Act 2003

Remain as is

Thank You

**Member of public 2**

**From:**  
**Sent:** Monday, January 8, 2024 6:49 PM  
**To:** Licensing <licensing@gravesham.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Consultation on Medway's Cumulative Impact Assessment under the Licensing Act 2003

Hello, I believe it should remain as is.

Kind regards,

### **Licensed premises 1**

**From:**

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 16, 2024 3:49 PM

**To:** Licensing <licensing@gravesham.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Consultation Of Medway's CIA under the Licensing Act 2003

Dear Emily Lane-Blackwell

Happy new year

This is regards to letter which was dated on 8th Jan 2024, to review our Cumulative Impact Assessment. Just to let you know nothing has been changed in our identification. So it REMAIN AS IT.

DETAILS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

PREMIER STORE DELCE

86-88 DELCE ROAD

ROCHESTER, KENT

ME1 2DH

Please feel free to contact us for further more if needed.

MANY THANKS

YOURS FAITHFULLY

### **Medway Safeguarding Children Partnership**

**From:**

**Sent:** Thursday, January 18, 2024 11:01 AM

**To:** Licensing <licensing@gravesham.gov.uk>

**Subject:** RE: Consultation on Medway's Cumulative Impact Assessment under the Licensing Act 2003

Good Morning

After checking with my manager and reviewing the information on Medway's Cumulative Impact Assessment, the MSCP is not able to comment.

I think it would be something for Medway Council, rather than the MSCP.

Kind regards

### **Licensed Premises 2**

**From:**

**Sent:** Saturday, January 20, 2024 2:59 PM

**To:** Licensing <licensing@gravesham.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Re: Consultation on Medway's Cumulative Impact Assessment under the Licensing Act 2003

Dear Sir / Madam,

Remain as is.

### **Licensed Premises 3**

**From:**

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 30, 2024 7:49 PM

**To:** Licensing <licensing@gravesham.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Cumulative impact assessment for Jaer casa nova

Dear Sir /Madame,

We are the Jaer Casa Nova in gillingham high street and we are responding to your recent letter.

We want the cumulative impact assessment remain the same for us as we are responsible about the service we provide to our customers.

Obviously if we notice anything that not right , we will not take the risk of selling any alcoholic drinks to anyone.

I hope we have responded .

Kind regards

Jaer casa nova

52 High street gillingham. ME71AZ.

### **Member of public 3**

**From:**

**Sent:** Friday, February 2, 2024 9:02 PM

**To:** Licensing <licensing@gravesham.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Cumulative Impact Policy Review (CIP)

I have received an email inviting me to comment.

I moved house in 2020 and have become accustomed to noticing different patterns in alcohol related behaviour.

Nevertheless, I occasionally pass through the New Road and Old Road areas of Chatham. I also frequently walk in the Great Lines and Town Hall Gardens. I can confidently say there is less alcohol debris of high strength beers and ciders although there seems to be extensive littering in places of normal strength beers such as might typically be bought in Supermarkets.

I have reviewed the policy and believe there is definitely a need for CIP. I make this comment and am fully aware of the 4 licensing objectives, which I believe CIP supports. My

inclination is that consideration should be given to extending the Rochester CIP to the area behind Rochester Station. While this is forward looking, there seems potential for off-licences to be opened in any new retail outlets which might open in new mixed used developments.

I have found this a difficult document to review as I am unaware of data/information about new evidence which is available to Council officers. I can only comment about my perceptions for many areas and as such, cannot argue from an evidence-based position.

Thank you for inviting me to comment.