



Medway Council Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Year ending 31 March 2021

September 2022



Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	3
The auditor’s statutory responsibilities	4
Progress of 2020/21 audit	6
Audit Deliverables	7
Results of Interim Audit	8
Sector Update	10

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Authority or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another’s acts or omissions.

Introduction

Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Darren Wells

Engagement lead

T: 01293 554120

E: darren.j.wells@uk.gt.com

Ibukun Oluwasegun

Engagement manager

T: 020 7728 3116

E: ibukun.o.oluwasegun@uk.gt.com

Shoolin Yagnik

In-charge accountant

T: 020 7728 2788

E: shoolin.g.yagnik@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a local authority.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications <https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/services/public-sector-services/>

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either Darren or Ibukun.

The auditor's statutory responsibilities

Opinion on the audited body's financial statements

Our work enables us to give an opinion as to whether the 2020/21 financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the audited body and its expenditure and income; and
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the relevant accounting and reporting framework as set out in legislation, applicable accounting standards and other directions.

The Accounts and Audit (Amendment) Regulations 2021 pushed back the date by which principal authorities needed to publish their draft financial statements to the first working day of August. In 2020 this date was pushed back to 31 August. The date by which authorities are required to publish audited financial statements is 30 September. In 2020 this date was pushed back to 30 November.

Our planning documented our understanding of your key risks, your control environment and informed our testing strategy. This was set out in the Audit Plan issued in July 2021, and represented in March 2022 once the approach to group audit and determining the planning group materiality was confirmed on the receipt of the group draft accounts in February 2022. Our planning continued until the start of our final accounts testing in March 2022.

We agreed with management that our 2020/21 accounts fieldwork would take place in two tranches, March to early April and July 2022 onwards. We began work in March through to April as planned. Early review of the draft accounts highlighted issues with the appropriateness and accuracy of the group accounts. Officers have undertaken to review these before we begin auditing them.

We returned to the Medway audit in July and remain working on this currently. We hold weekly progress meetings with the Finance Team to pursue progress of audit queries and obtaining evidence on audit samples. Whilst progress is being made, there remains a significant amount of work to complete. With management we originally agreed to present an Audit Findings Report to the September Committee meeting. Based on progress to date, we estimate that a potential completion date is the end of November.

One sector wide issues that may impact the completion of the audit is the accounting for infrastructure assets. The Accounting Code for Local Government requires the derecognition of a asset where any subsequent expenditure effectively replaces the original asset. This practice has not been consistently applied in local government. A sector wide interim solution, a statutory override, is being explored. Medway holds £134 million (net book value) of infrastructure assets as at 31/3/21.

Work on value-for-money arrangements

The new Code of Audit Practice (the "Code") came into force on 1 April 2020 for audit years 2020/21 and onwards. The most significant change under the new Code is the introduction of an Auditor's Annual Report, containing a commentary on arrangements to secure value for money and any associated recommendations, if required.

The new approach is more complex, more involved and is planned to make more impact. Under the 2020 Code of Audit Practice, for relevant authorities, auditors are required to issue the Auditor's Annual Report no later than 30 September or, where this is not possible, issue an audit letter setting out the reasons for delay.

Our initial risk assessment was built on our understanding of your arrangements, taking into account any findings from previous work on value for money. We set out in our Audit Plan in March 2022 (reissued) the significant risk against each of the following reporting criteria:

- Financial sustainability: how the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
- Governance: how the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

Our review commenced in February 2022. Our Auditor's Annual Report for the financial year 2020/21 is presented alongside the progress report.

The auditor's statutory responsibilities

Other responsibilities

Certification of claims and returns

We certify the Authority's annual Housing Benefit Subsidy claim in accordance with procedures agreed with the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). The certification work for the 2020/21 claim began in November. DWP has extended the deadline for reporting the findings of this work to 31 January 2022. We will report our findings by exception to the Audit Committee at the conclusion of the audit. Testing of subsidy granted during 2020/21 is substantially complete, however we are awaiting receipt and review of 40+ testing carried out by the Council's benefits team before we can conclude our audit.

We also certify the Authority's annual Pooling of Housing Capital Receipts return in accordance with procedures agreed with the Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. (MHCLG). The certification work for the 2020/21 return was completed in February 2022. There were no significant issues to bring to the attention of the Audit Committee.

We certify the Authority's annual Teachers' Pensions return in accordance with procedures agreed with Teachers' Pensions. At the time of writing, we are processing the supporting working papers provided end of August 2022 for the 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 returns.

Added value

Grant Thornton has a large Public Sector practice and is a key supplier to the market. As a valued audit client, you will receive/attend:

- an annual invitation to our free Chief Accountant Workshop. This will provide an opportunity to work through new accounting standards or reporting requirements prior to the year end pressure as well as networking with other Chief Accountants.
- the opportunity to access support from experienced technical colleagues who attend the ICAEW Public Sector Financial Reporting Panel, and ICAEW Public Sector Audit Panel. This means you will be at the forefront of accounting developments. Through this relationship we also ensure that communication works both ways and feed issues up from our LG clients.
- insight from our regular meetings with CIPFA and NAO where we discuss emerging developments. We will also raise any areas of concern that you have over policy, procedure, or regulation with your regulators.
- technical and sector updates for the Audit Committee.



Audit Deliverables

2020/21 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Authority's 2020/21 financial statements and to report on the Authority's value for money arrangements in the Auditor's Annual Report	March 2022	Completed
Auditor's Annual Report This report communicates the key outputs of the audit, including our commentary on the Authority's value for money arrangements.	September 2022	Completed
Audit Findings Report This report will summarise our findings from the audit of the financial statements	January 2023	In progress
Auditors Report This includes the opinion on your financial statements.	January 2023	In progress
2020/21 Audit-related Deliverables		
Pooling of housing capital receipts - certification This is the report we submit to the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities ("DLUHC"). based upon the mandated agreed upon procedures we are required to perform.	February 2022	Completed
Housing Benefit Subsidy – certification This is the report we submit to Department of Work and Pensions based upon the mandated agreed upon procedures we are required to perform.	November 2022	In progress
Teachers Pensions Scheme – certification This is the report we submit to Teachers Pensions based upon the mandated agreed upon procedures we are required to perform. We are currently processing the returns for the 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 returns.	March 2023	In progress

Results of interim audit

The findings of our interim audit work, and the impact of our findings on the accounts audit approach, are summarised in the table below:

	Work performed	Conclusions and recommendations
Internal audit	We have reviewed internal audit's work on the Authority's key financial systems to date. We have not identified any significant weaknesses impacting on our responsibilities.	Our review of internal audit work has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.
Entity level controls	We have obtained an understanding of the overall control environment relevant to the preparation of the financial statements including: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Communication and enforcement of integrity and ethical values• Commitment to competence• Participation by those charged with governance• Management's philosophy and operating style• Organisational structure• Assignment of authority and responsibility• Human resource policies and practices	Our work has identified no material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Authority's financial statements.
Review of information technology controls	We performed a high level review of the general IT control environment, as part of the overall review of the internal controls system. IT (information technology) controls were observed to have been implemented in accordance with our documented understanding.	Our work has identified no material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Authority's financial statements.

Results of interim audit (cont.)

	Work performed	Conclusions and recommendations
Walkthrough testing	We have completed walkthrough tests of the Authority's controls operating in areas where we consider that there is a significant risk of material misstatement to the financial statements. Our work has not identified any issues which we wish to bring to your attention. Internal controls have been implemented by the Authority in accordance with our documented understanding.	Our work has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.
Journal entry controls	We have reviewed the Authority's journal entry policies and procedures as part of determining our journal entry testing strategy. We noted that there is no automated control in place for obtaining journal authorisation. Therefore, there is a risk of self-authorisation of journal entries.	As part of our audit of journal entries, we will ensure that journals selected for testing have been appropriately authorised.

Sector Update

Authorities continue to try to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider local government sector and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- [Grant Thornton Publications](#)
- [Insights from local government sector specialists](#)
- [Reports of interest](#)
- [Accounting and regulatory updates](#)

More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website by clicking on the logos below:

A teal rectangular button with the text "Public Sector" in white, sans-serif font, centered within the button.

Public Sector

A dark purple rectangular button with the text "Local government" in white, sans-serif font, centered within the button.

Local
government

Response to local audit consultation – Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (“DLUHC”)

The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (“DLUHC”) has published its response to the local audit consultation. This follows the “Redmond Review”, which reported in September 2020.

The response confirms plans to establish a new regulator, the Audit Reporting and Governance Authority (ARGA), as the system leader for local audit within a new, simplified local audit framework.


Ahead of ARGA’s establishment, a shadow system leader arrangement will start at the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) from September 2022.

The consultation response also announces:

- Plans to make audit committees compulsory for all councils, with each audit committee required to include at least one independent member. This will create greater transparency and consistency across local bodies.
- ARGA will take over statutory responsibility for preparing and issuing the Code of Audit Practice (from the National Audit Office).
- A post-implementation review of the new Value for Money arrangements. The Code is a key part of the local audit system, and it is important to ensure that it helps to facilitate effective local audit. To allow time for the new arrangements to bed in the response proposes this is completed within three years.

The full response can be found here:

[Government response to local audit framework: technical consultation - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/government-response-to-local-audit-framework-technical-consultation)



Levelling up White Paper – Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (“DLUHC”)

On 2 February the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (“DLUHC”) published its Levelling Up White Paper.

The paper states “Levelling up requires a focused, long-term plan of action and a clear framework to identify and act upon the drivers of spatial disparity. Evidence from a range of disciplines tells us these drivers can be encapsulated in six “capitals”:

- Physical capital – infrastructure, machines and housing.
- Human capital – the skills, health and experience of the workforce.
- Intangible capital – innovation, ideas and patents.
- Financial capital – resources supporting the financing of companies.
- Social capital – the strength of communities, relationships and trust.
- Institutional capital – local leadership, capacity and capability.”

The paper also states “This new policy regime is based on five mutually reinforcing pillars.” These are set out and explained as:

- 1) The UK Government is setting clear and ambitious medium-term missions to provide consistency and clarity over levelling up policy objectives.
- 2) Central government decision-making will be fundamentally reoriented to align policies with the levelling up agenda and hardwire spatial considerations across Whitehall.

- 3) The UK Government will empower decision-makers in local areas by providing leaders and businesses with the tools they need.
- 4) The UK Government will transform its approach to data and evaluation to improve local decision-making.
- 5) The UK Government will create a new regime to oversee its levelling up missions, establishing a statutory duty to publish an annual report analysing progress and a new external Levelling Up Advisory Council.

[Levelling Up the United Kingdom - GOV.UK](https://www.gov.uk/levelling-up)
(www.gov.uk)



Grant Thornton – reaction to Levelling up White Paper

On 2 February the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (“DLUHC”) published its Levelling Up White Paper.

Commenting on the release of the government’s Levelling up White Paper plans, Phil Woolley, Head of Public Sector Consulting, Grant Thornton UK LLP, said:

“The publication of today’s White Paper plans is a welcome first step and it is reassuring to see the government recognise the need for systemic changes in order to deliver its central aim of Levelling up. The ‘12 missions’ can be seen as an attempt to consolidate existing elements of government activity behind a singular banner and now provides a clearer picture of the levelling up opportunity.

“Following a decade of successful regional devolution and mayors, the White Paper marks the next stage of the country’s devolution journey. With government now offering a clear framework of devolved powers and accountability, local leaders will need to embrace the opportunity and collaborate across the public and private sector to ensure they negotiate and then deliver the best deal for their communities. Grant Thornton’s Levelling Up Index shows that the economies of the 10 worst performing local authorities in England are on average over five times smaller than their best performing counterparts - highlighting the scale of the challenge ahead.

“To level up, these areas would need to grow their economies by £12billion, increase employment rates by 6 percentage points, create 1,700 new businesses a year and increase average weekly pay by £200. It is too early to determine whether the measures announced today will be sufficient, but it is a start. Success will ultimately depend on the ability and willingness of local and national government to translate these new frameworks into meaningful change in people’s lives.

“The Spending Review offers the next opportunity for government to show its commitment by realigning departmental objectives behind these new goals.”

Prudential Code and Treasury Management Code – CIPFA

On 20 December CIPFA published the new Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (Prudential Code) and Treasury Management in the Public Services Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes (the Treasury Management Code).

CIPFA commented “These two statutory and professional codes are important regulatory elements of the capital finance framework in which local authorities operate. Local authorities are required by regulation to ‘have regard to’ their provisions. These two codes have been published a principles-based consultation from February to April, which was followed by a second consultation on the detailed changes to the code from September to mid-November.

The updated Prudential Code includes some substantive changes. Most notably, the provisions in Code which present the approach to borrowing in advance of need in order to profit from additional sums borrowed have been strengthened. Additionally, the relevant parts of Code have augmented to be clear that borrowing for debt-for-yield investment is not permissible under the Prudential Code. This recognises that commercial activity is part of regeneration but underlines that such transactions do not include debt-for-yield as the primary purpose of the investment or represent an unnecessary risk to public funds.”

The updated Prudential Code removes the "advance of need" terminology and emphasises the legislative basis for borrowing, namely that a local authority can borrow and invest for any legislative function and/or for the prudent management of their financial affairs.

The examples listed in the Code of legitimate prudential borrowing are:

- Financing capital expenditure primarily related to the delivery of a local authority’s functions;
- Temporary management of cash flow within the context of a balanced budget;
- Securing affordability by removing exposure to future interest rate rises; or
- Refinancing current borrowing, including replacing internal borrowing, to manage risk or reflect changing cash flow circumstances.



The Value of Internal Audit – CIPFA

One of the key elements of good governance is an independent and objective internal audit service. Some organisations engage fully and reap significant benefits from the assurance, insight and expertise they bring whilst others pay lip-service to them and see their work as an administrative burden.

CIPFA's recent report, [Internal Audit: Untapped Potential](#), lifts the lid on internal audit in public services. For some chief financial officers and chief executives, this report confirms the value and contribution of internal audit teams with 87% of respondents recognising the contribution internal audit makes to their organisation. However, some leadership teams saw internal audit as providing a basic service at minimal cost.

Getting the most out of the function requires honest conversations and long-term planning. Maintaining appropriate skills and knowledge within the function is necessary to ensure high quality internal audit in public services are retained.

Culture and governance

The Audit Committee should monitor the delivery of internal audit and their output will be a key part of the annual work-plan. However, internal audit is not a substitute for risk management and should enhance the overall assurances received by management. Executives and Officers should engage with internal audit recommendations to ensure the organisation gains maximum value from reviews.

Capacity

Reducing internal audit days can lead to a lack of 'corporate grip' and not identifying issues at an early stage. This report raises concerns over the capacity of internal audit across the public sector. The profession needs to be valued and invested in to make it more attractive to new blood and for bodies to be able to attract the best candidates to their service.

Expectations

To maximise the impact of internal audit, a clear and aligned strategic audit plan and annual audit plan should be in place. This should be agreed with all stakeholders.

Future plans

Internal audit needs to adapt to the changing landscape, including risks such as climate change, digital and technological developments, cyber-security and ongoing financial and service pressures within their planning processes. For financial resilience and medium- and long-term financial strategies internal audit can provide vital independent assurance to decision makers to allow them to take on more risk and be more ambitious. Leadership teams need to be clear on what assurances they will require going forward.

For more information, [Rob Whiteman](#) share his views on this report.



Good practice in annual reporting – NAO

The National Audit Office (NAO) has published this guide which sets out good practice principles for annual reporting with examples from public sector organisations

The NAO comment that the guide sets out “good-practice principles that we believe underpin good annual reporting. These principles are: Supporting Accountability; Transparency; Accessibility; and the need for the report to be Understandable.”

The NAO further comment “The best annual reports we have seen use these principles to tell the “story” of the organisation. It is important that stakeholders, including the public and Parliament, are able to hold an organisation to account. To do this effectively, stakeholders need to properly understand the organisation’s strategy, key risks that might get in the way of delivering this strategy and the effectiveness of their management, and the amount of taxpayers’ money that has been spent to deliver the outcomes the organisation seeks to achieve.”

The guide draws on examples of good practice from within each of the six sections of an Annual Report:

- Strategy
- Risk
- Operations
- Governance
- Measures of success
- Financial performance
- External factors

Although the guide does not include any local authority examples, those included, and the underlying principles, are equally relevant to all public facing organisations.



The guide can be found here:

[Good practice in annual reporting - National Audit Office \[NAO\] Report](#)

Audit and Risk Assurance Committee effectiveness tool – NAO

The National Audit Office (NAO) has published this tool which supports Audit Committees in assessing their effectiveness.

The NAO comment “Audit and Risk Assurance Committees (ARACs) play a crucial role in supporting the effective governance of central government departments, their agencies and arm’s-length bodies.

ARACs are operating in a highly challenging context. Government organisations are managing many short- and long-term risks and are required to be resilient to a number of pressures. This has created an environment where ARACs need to be dynamic and responsive to the changing risk profiles and demands of their organisations. ARACs can see this as an opportunity to work out how they can most proactively work with the Board and accounting officer.


Against this background, the NAO’s effectiveness tool provides a way for ARACs to assess their effectiveness against more than just the basic requirements. It provides aspects of good practice to give ARACs greater confidence and the opportunity to meet the requirements of their role.

The NAO’s effectiveness tool is a comprehensive way for ARACs to assess their effectiveness on a regular basis.”

The tool covers:

- Membership, independence, objectivity and understanding
- Skills and experience
- Roles and responsibilities
- Scope
- Communication and reporting

Although the tool is designed for central government Audit Committees it is also relevant to local government.



The guide can be found here:

[Audit and Risk Assurance Committee effectiveness tool - National Audit Office \(NAO\) Report](#)



[grantthornton.co.uk](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk)

© 2022 Grant Thornton UK LLP.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.