Directorate	Name	e of Function or Policy or Major Service Change				
RCC		The Interface Development Framework Supplementary Planning Document				
Officer responsible for	assess	sment	Date of assessme	ent	New or existing?	
Brian McCutcheon	Brian McCutcheon		28 September 20	10	New	
Defining what is be	eing as	sessed				
1. Briefly describe the purpose and objectives			A development framework for the Interface Lands area forming part of Chatham Historic Dockyard.			
tt C A d		the future Dockyard As an add developm	The development framework identifies aspirations to guide the future regeneration of this part of Chatham Historic Dockyard so that it is appropriate to its sensitive setting. As an adopted supplementary planning document the development framework will be a material consideration in the determination of future planning applications.			
2. Who is intended to	0				andowners will benefit	
3. What outcomes are		from clear guidance on the extent of the developable area within the site, its likely maximum capacity and the design principles that should guide the development. Residents and businesses in Medway. Medway Council. Guidance for landowners, developers and investors on the developable within the site, its likely maximum capacity and				
the d			the design principles that should guide the development.			
4. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?		• C • A d • S s	e Clarity of document Approval of ocument Support of takeholders and Interested parties	Det	 Unclear document Document not approved Lack of support from stakeholders and interested parties 	
5. Who are the main stakeholders?		Medway Council Landowners – CHDT (Chatham Historic Dockyard Trust) and SEEDA (South East England Development Agency) English Heritage General public				
6. Who implements t and who is responsi		Implementation will be via the operation of the statutory Town Planning system. Medway Council is responsible as the Local Planning Authority.				

Assessing impact				
7. Are there concerns that		The Development Framework is about		
there <u>could</u> be a differential	YES	spatial, physical regeneration and is not		
impact due to <i>racial/ethnic</i>	targeted at any particular group.			
groups?	NO			
What evidence exists for	The consultation carried out was compliant with			
this?		ouncil's Statement of Community		
	Involvement (SCI) which is required under the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004. The SCI requires consultation with a wide range of groups and individuals via a wide variety of means The consultation therefore will have reached a wide cross section of community. During the consultation no issues relating to this matter were raised.			
8. Are there concerns that		The Development Framework is about		
there <u>could</u> be a differential	YES	spatial, physical regeneration and is not		
impact due to <i>disability</i> ?		targeted at any particular group.		
	NO			
What evidence exists for		onsultation carried out was compliant with		
this?	the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) which is required under the Town and Country Planning (Local			
	Development)(England) Regulations 2004. The			
	SCI requires consultation with a wide range of groups and individuals via a wide variety of means. The consultation therefore will have reached a wide cross section of community. During the consultation no issues relating to this matter were			
	raised.			
9. Are there concerns that	YES Spatial physical regeneration and is not			
there <u>could</u> be a differential	TES	spatial, physical regeneration and is not		
impact due to gender?	NO	targeted at any particular group.		
What evidence exists for	_	poultation corried out was complicate with		
this?		onsultation carried out was compliant with ouncil's Statement of Community		
		ement (SCI) which is required under the		
	Town	and Country Planning (Local		
		opment)(England) Regulations 2004. The		
		quires consultation with a wide range of s and individuals via a wide variety of means.		
		onsultation therefore will have reached a		
	wide cross section of community. During the			
	consultation no issues relating to this matter were			
10. Are there concerns there	raised			
<u>could</u> be a differential impact	YES	The Development Framework is about spatial, physical regeneration and is not		
due to sexual orientation?	NO	targeted at any particular group.		
What evidence exists for	The co	onsultation carried out was compliant with		
this?	the Co	the Council's Statement of Community		
	Involvement (SCI) which is required under the			
	rown	and Country Planning (Local		

	Development)(England) Regulations 2004. The SCI requires consultation with a wide range of groups and individuals via a wide variety of means. The consultation therefore will have reached a wide cross section of community. During the consultation no issues relating to this matter were raised.			
11. Are there concerns there <u>could</u> be a have a differential	YES	The Development Framework is about spatial, physical regeneration and is not		
impact due to <i>religion or</i> belief?	NO	targeted at any particular group.		
What evidence exists for this?	The consultation carried out was compliant with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) which is required under the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004. The SCI requires consultation with a wide range of groups and individuals via a wide variety of means. The consultation therefore will have reached a wide cross section of community. During the consultation no issues relating to this matter were raised.			
12. Are there concerns there <u>could</u> be a differential impact	YES The Development Framework is about spatial, physical regeneration and is n			
due to people's <i>ag</i> e?	NO	targeted at any particular group.		
What evidence exists for this?	The consultation carried out was compliant with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) which is required under the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004. The SCI requires consultation with a wide range of groups and individuals via a wide variety of means. The consultation therefore will have reached a wide cross section of community. During the consultation no issues relating to this matter were raised.			
13. Are there concerns that there <u>could</u> be a differential	YES	The Development Framework is about spatial, physical regeneration and is not		
impact due to being trans- gendered or transsexual?	NO	targeted at any particular group.		
What evidence exists for this?	The consultation carried out was compliant with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) which is required under the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004. The SCI requires consultation with a wide range of groups and individuals via a wide variety of means. The consultation therefore will have reached a wide cross section of community. During the consultation no issues relating to this matter were raised.			
14. Are there any <i>other</i> groups that would find it difficult to access/make use of the function (e.g. speakers	YES	The document was produced only in English.		

of other languages; people with caring responsibilities or dependants; those with an offending past; or people living in rural areas)?	NO		
What evidence exists for this?	The SCI states that if requested, documents will be produced in other languages. No such request has been made. As detailed proposals come forward through the statutory planning system, consultation letters do specifically state that information will be made available in other formats and languages.		
15. Are there concerns there <u>could</u> be a have a differential impact due to <i>multiple</i>	YES The Development Framework is about spatial, physical regeneration and is not targeted at any particular group.		
discriminations (e.g. disability <u>and</u> age)?	NO		
What evidence exists for this?	Further consultation undertaken as part of the statutory planning process will be undertaken. This will identify impacts that could cause multiple discriminations.		

Concl	Conclusions & recommendation				
16. Could the differential impacts identified in		YES	There were no issues raised through the consultation that would suggest there could		
questions 7-15 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact?		NO	be a potential adverse impact.		
17. Can the adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group? Or another reason?		YES	Please explain		
		NO			
Recom	nmendation to proceed	to a ful	l impact assessment?		
NO	This function/ policy/ service change complies with the requirements of the legislation and there is evidence to show this is the case.				
NO, BUT 			inor modifications necessary (e.g. change of 'he' to 'he or ne', re-analysis of way routine statistics are reported)		
YES	YES Give details of key person responsible and target date for carrying out full impact assessment (see DIA Guidance Notes)				

Action plan to make Minor modifications					
Outcome	Actions (with date of completion)	Officer responsible			
		-			

Planning ahead: Reminders for the next review					
Date of next review	The Development Framework is a planning policy document that aims to provide certainty to developers. There is no intention to review the document within 5 years.				
Areas to check at next review (e.g. new census information, new legislation due)					
Is there <i>another</i> group (e.g. new communities) that is relevant and ought to be considered next time?					
Signed (completing officer/service manager)		Date			
Signed (service manager/Assistant Director)		Date			

NB: Remember to list the evidence (i.e. documents and data sources) used