

Diversity impact assessment

| |
|--|
| TITLE: |
| Youth Justice Strategic Partnership Plan |
| DATE: |
| May 2021 |
| LEAD OFFICER: |
| Lee-Anne Farach – Director of People |
| 1 Summary description of the proposed change |
| What is the change to policy / service / new project that is being proposed? |
| How does it compare with the current situation? |
| <p>The Crime and Disorder Act 1998, requires Local Authorities to have a Youth Justice Plan which is annually updated to set out how youth justice will be delivered locally within available resources.</p> <p>This Plan was approved in 2020 to cover a 3 year period from 2020-2023. This paper is the 2021 refresh as per guidance. It has been co-produced with Youth Justice Partnership Board members and influenced by national research and evidence of effective practice and has continued to take examples from National Partnerships.</p> <p>As per the previous Impact Assessment, this is the first refresh of the 2020 Plan, and reflects changes to national and local youth justice landscapes. No changes have been made to the core purpose and priorities of the plan and the way in which the whole youth justice partnership will deliver a range of services designed to reduce youth offending within Medway continues to improve following our inspection in 2020.</p> <p>The strategic priorities, which will underpin our action planning cycle over the 3-year period, are congruent with the principal aims of the youth justice system. They are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prevent Youth Crime - Reduce Re-Offending - Safeguard young people from harm - Protect the public from harm <p>Our ambition continues to be that through effective partnership working, children and young people at risk of, or involved in offending, will be engaged and supported to lead safe, law abiding lives, in order to reach their full potential and make a positive contribution to their community.</p> |

Diversity impact assessment

2 Summary of evidence used to support this assessment
 Eg: Feedback from consultation, performance information, service user.
 Eg: Comparison of service user profile with Medway Community Profile

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/957697/Standards for children in youth justice services 2019.doc.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/957697/Standards_for_children_in_youth_justice_services_2019.doc.pdf)

<https://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk/hmiprobation/about-hmi-probation/about-our-work/youth-inspection-programmes/>

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/973141/Youth Justice Plans - YJB Practice Guidance March 2021 .pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/973141/Youth_Justice_Plans_-_YJB_Practice_Guidance_March_2021_.pdf)

3 What is the likely impact of the proposed change?
 Is it likely to:
 Adversely impact on one or more of the protected characteristic groups
 Advance equality of opportunity for one or more of the protected characteristic groups
 Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't
 (insert Yes when there is an impact or No when there isn't)

| Protected characteristic groups (Equality Act 2010) | Adverse impact | Advance equality | Foster good relations |
|---|----------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Age | | | ✓ |
| Disability | | | ✓ |
| Gender reassignment | | | |
| Marriage/civil partnership | | | |
| Pregnancy/maternity | | | |
| Race | | | ✓ |
| Religion/belief | | | |
| Sex | | | ✓ |
| Sexual orientation | | | |

Diversity impact assessment

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Other (eg low income groups) | | | ✓ |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|

4 Summary of the likely impacts

Who will be affected?

How will they be affected?

Stakeholders of the strategy are listed below along with their expected impact level and some short narrative showing effects:

The Youth Offending Team now sits as part of Children’s Social Care. This has allowed a closer engagement with social care colleagues in Early Help and Safeguarding. This means that Medway will continue to focus on sustaining a low number of first-time entrants to the criminal justice system and reducing the re-offending by those already in the system, by working in partnership with internal teams across the Division as well as with whole families. However no impact on direct practice is expected as a result of the refresh.

Partners of the Youth Justice Partnership Board will continue to be chaired by the Council’s Director of Public Health. The refresh was ‘light-touch’ and has been co-produced with these partners. It has been approved by the Board’s Chair. No impact or very low impact, if any, is expected. The Board work together positively and will continue to do so to achieve improvements following the HMIP inspection.

Entrants to the criminal justice system will continue to be the main service users of the Youth Offending Service, along with partner services. There is no impact or very low impact expected as a result of this refresh.

5 What actions can be taken to mitigate likely adverse impacts, improve equality of opportunity or foster good relations?

What alternative ways can the Council provide the service?

Are there alternative providers?

Can demand for services be managed differently?

There will be no adverse impacts as a direct result of this refresh.

Diversity impact assessment

6 Action plan

Actions to mitigate adverse impact, improve equality of opportunity or foster good relations and/or obtain new evidence

| Action | Lead | Deadline or review date |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|
| The Service leads will continue to monitor and feedback any adverse impact if such arises. However, there are none anticipated at this time. | YOT Manager | Review – Q2 2021-22 |
| The Service will continue to address the actions that resulted from the HMIP inspection in February 2020 outlined in the original report. | YOT Manager | Review – Q2 2021-22 |

7 Recommendation

The recommendation by the lead officer should be stated below. This may be: to proceed with the change, implementing the Action Plan if appropriate, consider alternatives, gather further evidence

If the recommendation is to proceed with the change and there are no actions that can be taken to mitigate likely adverse impact, it is important to state why.

It has been assessed that there is little change as a direct result of the refresh this year. Therefore, it is recommended to approve the refresh of this strategy as none of the priority groups are negatively impacted nor is there an effect on staff at this time.

The YOT Manager will refresh the strategy in line with the guidance which will come for consideration in Q1 2022-23. Actions outlined in Section 6 will be updated and monitored accordingly and presented for review next time.

8 Authorisation

The authorising officer is consenting that the recommendation can be implemented, sufficient evidence has been obtained and appropriate mitigation is planned, the Action Plan will be incorporated into the relevant Service Plan and monitored

Assistant Director

Date of authorisation