

AUDIT COMMITTEE

28 SEPTEMBER 2010

OUTCOMES OF HOUSING BENEFIT COUNTER FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS

Report from: Internal Audit

Author: Richard Humphrey, Audit Services Manager

Summary

To inform Members of progress in investigating allegations of housing and council tax benefit fraud. This report covers activity during the quarter ending 30 June 2010.

1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 Following the Council's decision to establish this committee, it is within the remit of this committee to take decisions regarding accounts and audit issues.

2. Background

- 2.1 Medway Council has had a sanction policy since 2002 (revised in 2006) following changes to the way benefit investigations should be conducted. These changes resulted in a more rigorous investigative standard being adopted which lead to specific outcomes, known as sanctions. Namely, that local authorities should consider the use of one of the following courses of action following a successful investigation:-
 - Prosecution
 - Issue of a caution
 - Issue of an administrative penalty (fine).

3. Outcomes of Investigations

3.1 In the 2010/11 financial year, the investigations team has identified £144,128 of fraudulent overpayments, comprising £114,977 Housing and Council Tax Benefit and £29,151 of Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) paid benefits.

- 3.2 In the quarter to 30 June, there have been 12 successful prosecutions, 3 unsuccessful prosecutions, 2 cautions and 2 administrative penalties. These sanctions are detailed at Annex A.
- 3.3 The following table shows the progress of current cases following a decision to prosecute.

As at 31 August 2010	Medway Council's Legal Services	Department for Work and Pensions
Cases put forward for prosecution	21	17
Of which		
 court proceedings commenced 	14	4
 preparing court proceedings of which 	7	
 waiting for < 1 month 	1	
 waiting for 1-2 months 	4	
 waiting for 3-4 months 	1	
 waiting for 5-6 months 	1	
o over 6 months		
awaiting acknowledgement or	0	13
preparation		(see note below)

Note: these are joint investigations and many of these cases are passed to SOLP (legal) by DWP investigation branch. Consequently, it is the DWP investigation branch that receives communications from SOLP. Therefore, Medway Council does not hold precise dates to analyse these cases.

4. Risk Management, Financial and Legal implications

4.1 There are no risk management, financial or legal implications arising from this report.

5. Recommendations

5.1 Members are asked to note progress in investigating benefit fraud in accordance with the approved sanction policy.

Lead officer contact

Name	Richard Humph	nrey
Job Title	Audit Services	Manager
Telephone:	01634 332355	email: richard.humphrey@medway.gov.uk

Background papers

Prosecution Policy (revised 2006)

Prosecutions completed Fraud Type Comment Investigation Fraudulent Court Court sentence Overpayment Current case number overpayment o/s as at and date Overpayment outstanding £ court sentencing £ date £ 10243 Living together HB 3,701 Magistrates' 12 month conditional Nil Nil Partner also DWP 1.986 prosecuted – see Court discharge + £75 costs case 11022 below. 15/04/10 11022 Dishonestly Nil Magistrates' 12 month conditional Nil Nil caused or discharge + £75 costs Court allowed a false claim 15/04/10 10466 24 month conditional Undisclosed HB 2.673 Magistrates' Nil Nil CTB 473 capital Court discharge + £150 DWP 2,147 costs 22/04/10 9174 HB 5.727 Working and Magistrates' 24 month conditional 3.153 2.942 Being recovered from current benefit CTB 376 discharge + £50 costs claiming Court entitlement at 11/05/10 maximum permitted rate. 10410 HB 14.076 Magistrates' 24 month conditional 13.620 13.440 Arrangement to Living together CTB 1,920 Court discharge + £100 repay at £10 a week. DWP 13.770 costs 29/04/10

Prosecutions completed Fraud Type Comment Investigation Fraudulent Court **Court sentence** Overpayment Current case number o/s as at overpayment and date Overpayment outstanding £ court sentencing £ date £ 10422 Living together HB 15,096 Magistrates' 4 months custodial, 14.743 14,551 Being recovered CTB 3.512 from current benefit Court suspended for 2 DWP 11.953 years, 2 year entitlement at supervision order + maximum permitted costs £100 rate. 13/05/10 11029 1.933 1.933 Working and HB 1.953 Magistrates' 24 month conditional Made an discharge + £150 claiming CTB 355 Court arrangement to DWP 1,561 repay weekly but costs only two payments 11/06/10 made. Debt has been passed to external company for collection. 10955 Undisclosed HB 12,296 £500 fine + £150 costs 12.062 11,930 Magistrates' Being recovered CTB 3,577 Court income from current benefit 03/06/10 entitlement at maximum permitted rate. 9727 HB 21,148 Crown Court 100 hours community 21,148 21,147 No offer of Living together CTB 2,785 repayment. Case service. DWP 9,245 passed to external 14/06/10 company for collection but since returned as unable to collect. Case to be

prepared for County

Court action.

Prosecutions completed Investigation Fraud Type Fraudulent Court Current Comment Court sentence Overpayment case number and date o/s as at Overpayment overpayment outstanding £ court sentencing £ date £ 10564 80 hours community Living together HB 4,594 Magistrates' 4,594 4,554 Partner also CTB 637 service + £100 costs. prosecuted – see Court DWP 2,228 case below. 15/06/10 Arrangement made for monthly payments of £40.00 by standing order. 10564 Dishonestly Nil Nil Nil Magistrates' 80 hours community caused or Court service + £100 costs. allowed a false claim 15/06/10 10972 Failed to notify HB 2,584 Magistrates' £300 fine, £200 costs 2,100 1,800 Repayment increased + £15 victim surcharge arrangement of £150 Court earnings. a month. 28/06/10

Annex A

Unsuccessful Prosecutions			
Investigation Case number	Comment		
10569	Summons issued but medical advice received considered defendant unable to attend court or enter plea. The case has been withdrawn.		
11019	Claimant was interviewed under caution. Subsequently, claimant was offered a caution but failed to attend on two occasions, a caution interview. A summons was issued but before court action could commence, the claimant received a custodial sentence for a non benefit fraud offence. The case has been withdrawn.		
10097	The claimant was summonsed to answer charges relating to a housing benefit claim in which she had allegedly failed to notify Medway Council that she was living with her partner and father of her children. After an all day trial, the bench retired before returning a not guilty verdict. The chairman of the bench explained that the prosecution had not proved beyond reasonable doubt that the claimant and partner were living together.		

Cautions or Administrative Penalty Issued					
Case Number	Fraud Type	Overpayment £	Comment		
11054	Living together	HB 573 (+DWP 695)	Claimant cautioned.		
11011	Undisclosed capital	HB 3,193 CTB 428 DWP 917	Claimant cautioned. Joint case with DWP led the investigation. Prosecution not considered due to claimant's poor health by DWP. (SOLP) considered caution action was reasonable.		
10649	Failed to notify change of circumstances	HB 467 CTB 77	Administrative penalty issued.		
10621	Living together	HB 439 CTB 75 DWP 199	Administrative penalty issued.		