Version 2

Appendix 2



Medway and Swale Integrated Care Partnership profile

Created by Medway Council Public Health Intelligence Team and Kent Public Health Observatory

Transforming health and social care in Kent and Medway is a partnership of all the NHS organisations in Kent and Medway, Kent County Council and Medway Council. We are working together to develop and deliver the Sustainability and Transformation Plan for our area.





2

Summary part 1: Medway and Swale

Indicator	Compared to England
Life expectancy at birth (Male)	Similar
Life expectancy at birth (Female)	Similar
Smoking Prevalence in adults (18+) - current smokers (APS)	Similar
Percentage of adults (aged 18+) classified as overweight or obese	Worse
Children with excess weight Year 6, three year average	Similar
Percentage of physically inactive adults	Worse
Hospital stays for alcohol-related harm (Narrow definition)	Better
Air pollution: fine particulate matter	Not compared
Total number of prescribed antibiotic items per STAR-PU	Similar
Breast cancer screening coverage (females aged 50-70)	Better
Cervical cancer screening coverage (females aged 25-64)	Better
Bowel cancer screening coverage (persons aged 60-74)	Worse
Infant mortality rate	Similar
Low birth weight of term babies	Better
Stillbirth rate	Similar
Smoking status at time of delivery	Worse
Baby's first feed breastmilk	Better
AE attendances (0-4 years)	Better



2

Summary part 2: Medway and Swale

Indicator	Compared to England
Percentage of 5 year olds with experience of visually obvious dental decay	Similar
Under 18s conception rate / 1,000	Worse
Emergency hospital admissions for asthma (< 19 yrs)	Similar
Emergency hospital admissions for epilepsy (< 19 yrs)	Worse
Emergency hospital admissions for diabetes (< 19 yrs)	Similar
Hospital admissions for mental health conditions (0-17 years)	Similar
Hospital admissions as a result of self-harm (10-24 years)	Better
Hospital admissions due to substance misuse (15-24 years)	Better
Hypertension: QOF prevalence (all ages)	Higher
Diabetes: QOF prevalence (17+)	Higher
CHD: QOF prevalence (all ages)	Lower
CKD: QOF prevalence (18+)	Lower
Stroke: QOF prevalence (all ages)	Lower
Deaths from circulatory disease, under 75 years	Similar
Deaths from all cancer, under 75 years	Worse
Cancer diagnosed at early stage (experimental statistics)	Not compared
Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ACSC	Worse
Depression: Recorded prevalence (aged 18+)	Higher




Indicator	Compared to England
Serious Mental Illness: QOF prevalence (all ages)	Lower
Suicide rate (Persons)	Similar
Suicide rate (Male)	Worse
Estimated dementia diagnosis rate (aged 65 and over)	Not compared
Emergency hospital admissions due to falls (persons aged 65 and over)	Better
Emergency hospital admissions for hip fracture (persons aged 65 and over)	Similar
Osteoporosis: QOF prevalence (50+)	Lower



- Profiles have been created for each of the Integrated Care Partnerships (ICPs) in the Kent and Medway Integrated Care System (ICS).
- 1) Dartford, Gravesham and Swanley; 2) East Kent; 3) Medway and Swale; 4) West Kent.
- The aim of the profiles is to allow comparison between each of the ICPs and identify priority areas to focus work.








- The profiles contain five sections, which are based on key themes identified in the NHS Long Term Plan.
- 1) Demographics; 2) Prevention and Health Inequalities; 3) Best Start in Life;
 4) Major Health Conditions; 5) Ageing Well.
- Key stakeholders were consulted to identify the indicators that should be included.
- Due to limitations in the available data, some indicators could not be included for all the priorities identified at this time.



- Some slides contain a hex map, which displays the indicator value at PCN level.
- Each hexagon represents a PCN, arranged according to its relative geography within the ICP.
- The PCN abbreviations used in the hex map are displayed below.





- Some slides contain a number grid, which displays the indicator value at different levels of geography.
- England -> Kent and Medway ICS > ICP -> Small area.
- The small area type displayed depends on the data available for the indicator.

ICP	England value
value	ICS value
Small area values	Time period

• Either District & Unitary Authority (UA) or Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG).



- District & UA and CCG data have been mapped to the ICP boundaries as per the table below.
- Caveat: District & UA data does not align with the ICP areas exactly.
- For the purpose of this profile, data for whole District & UAs have been assigned to the ICP where the majority of residents reside.

Integrated Care Partnership	District & Unitary Authority	Clinical Commissioning Group
Dartford, Gravesham and Swanley	Dartford	Dartford, Gravesham and Swanley CCG
	Gravesham	
East Kent	Ashford	Ashford CCG
	Canterbury	Canterbury and Coastal CCG
	Dover	South Kent Coast CCG
	Folkestone & Hythe	Thanet CCG
	Thanet	
Medway and Swale	Medway	Medway CCG
	Swale	Swale CCG
West Kent	Maidstone	West Kent CCG
	Sevenoaks	
	Tonbridge and Malling	
	Tunbridge Wells	



- Data at several small area levels has been used as building blocks to calculate the PCN values: Lower Super Output Area (LSOA), ward, general practice and school.
- LSOAs have a defined geographical boundary. On average the population is about 1,700 people so they can be thought of as representing a neighbourhood. There are 1,065 LSOAs within Kent and Medway.
- LSOAs and wards were assigned to PCNs on a first passed the post basis, e.g. LSOAs or wards were mapped to PCNs based on which PCN has the highest count of registered patients living in that LSOA/ward.
- School level data was assigned to PCNs based on the ward the school was located in. Only primary and nursery school data was used as this more likely reflects the child profile of the local area due to the larger catchment areas of secondary schools.

ICP value and comparison


- The ICP values have been calculated from either LSOA, ward, general practice, school, District & UA or CCG level data using one of two methods:
- 1) Aggregated data: ICP values are created from aggregated counts and denominators, where data is available.
- 2) Small areas averaged: Where count and/or denominator data is not available, the ICP value is the median of the small area values.
- A RAG rating (red, amber, green) has been applied to the majority of indicators to show how well an area is performing compared to a benchmark (England). The RAG rating is assigned by comparing an area's value to a reference range, which was created using either confidence intervals (CIs) or a range around the England average (usually 5%). Green corresponds to a value that is better than England, red to a value that is worse, and amber indicates that there is no difference.
- Where it is inappropriate to label high or low values as 'better' or 'worse', for example osteoporosis prevalence, the terms 'higher' and 'lower' have been used with neutral colouring: shades of blue from light to dark. Such labelling does not imply that high values of these indicators, for example, are 'worse'.
- An indicator is shaded grey where it is inappropriate to apply a RAG rating due to the methods used in the calculation or the count is less than 10.


DEMOGRAPHICS












Source: ONS. Population projections for local authorities. 2018 based.


Projected population for MS


Percentage change from 2018












Contains National Statistics data © Crown copyright and database right 2019 Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database right 2019 Produced by Medway Public Health Intelligence Team, Medway Council 2020-08-14

PREVENTION AND HEALTH INEQUALITIES






PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: Better Similar Worse Not compared



Trend data not available.




The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.


Value type: Years Latest time period: 2013 - 17 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93283 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: Ward to PCN ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 2%



PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: ■ Better ■ Similar ■ Worse ■ Not compared




Trend data not available.





The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Years Latest time period: 2013 - 17 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93283 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: Ward to PCN ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 2%









The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2019 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92443 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%

There are data quality concerns with the figure for Dartford LA (see notes on the next slide).

Smoking Prevalence in adults (18+) - current smokers (APS)


- Smoking prevalence is an estimate based on a sample of the population questioned in the Annual Population Survey run by the Office for National Statistics.
- The figure for Dartford LA in 2019 was high (26.4%) but with a very wide degree of uncertainty.
- This is most likely due to a small sample of people.
- Therefore the aggregate figure for DGS ICP should be interpreted with caution.




- 60

-40

-20









The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93088 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%



PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: ■ Better ■ Similar ■ Worse ■ Not compared





The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Proportion - %

Latest time period: 2016/17 - 18/19


Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93108

ICP value calculation: Aggregated data

Small area type: Ward to PCN


ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Wilson Score method











The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93015 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%




PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: ■ Better ■ Similar ■ Worse ■ Not compared



No trend data available.


Narrow definition: Admissions to hospital where the primary diagnosis is an alcohol-related condition, or a secondary diagnosis is an alcohol-related external cause.





The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.

Value type: Indirectly standardised ratio per 100 Latest time period: 2013/14 - 17/18 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93240 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Ward to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method











Medway and Swale cannot be compared to England statistically.

Value type: Mean - µg/m3 Latest time period: 2017 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92924 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: None applied



PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: Lower Similar Higher Not compared






STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Unit


The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Indirectly standardised ratio - per STAR-PU Latest time period: 2020 Q1 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 91900 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%



PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: ■ Better ■ Similar ■ Worse ■ Not compared





The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.

Value type: Proportion - %

Latest time period: 2018/19

Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 91339

ICP value calculation: Aggregated data

Small area type: Practice to PCN

ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method





r 80

- 60

-40 -20 -0

PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: ■ Better ■ Similar ■ Worse ■ Not compared





The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.

Value type: Proportion - %

Latest time period: 2018/19

Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 91341


ICP value calculation: Aggregated data


Small area type: Practice to PCN

ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method



PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: ■ Better ■ Similar ■ Worse ■ Not compared






The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.


Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92600 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method


BEST START IN LIFE














The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Crude rate - per 1,000 Latest time period: 2016 - 18 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92196 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method












The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 20101 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Wilson Score method












The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Crude rate - per 1,000 Latest time period: 2016 - 18 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92530 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: CCGs (2019/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method











The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.


Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93085 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Wilson Score method











The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.

Value type: Proportion - %

Latest time period: 2018/19


Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93614


ICP value calculation: Aggregated data

Small area type: CCGs (2019/20)

ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Wilson Score method









The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.

Value type: Crude rate per 1,000 Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), NHS Digital ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: LSOA to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method

Percentage of 5 year olds with experience of visually obvious dental decay










The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2019/20 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93563 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (pre 4/19) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%











The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.

Value type: Crude rate - per 1,000 Latest time period: 2018 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 20401 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method



PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: Better Similar Worse Not compared







The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.


Value type: Crude rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2016/17 - 18/19 Source: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), NHS Digital ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: LSOA to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method














The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.

Value type: Crude rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93142 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: CCGs (2019/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method












The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Crude rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93140 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: CCGs (2019/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method











The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Crude rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92761 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: CCGs (2019/20) ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method









The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.


Value type: Directly standardised rate Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), NHS Digital ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: LSOA to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Dobson's method





- 80

-60

-40 -20 -0







The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.

Value type: Directly standardised rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2016/17 - 18/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92755 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: CCGs (2019/20) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%

MAJOR HEALTH CONDITIONS






- 15

- 10

-5


PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: Lower Similar Higher Not compared Pnn 16 Str MdC Shp 14 15 16 Rch GIS Stt 14 13 15 MdS Rnh 14 20 🔶 MS – England Last 5 years to 2018/19




The rate in Medway and Swale is higher than England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 219 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method









The rate in Medway and Swale is higher than England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 241 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method









The rate in Medway and Swale is lower than England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 273 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method









The rate in Medway and Swale is lower than England.

-4 -3 -2 -1 -0 Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 258 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method







The rate in Medway and Swale is lower than England.

Value type: Proportion - %

Latest time period: 2018/19

Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 212


ICP value calculation: Aggregated data

Small area type: Practice to PCN


ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method



PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: Better Similar Worse Not compared Pnn 89




Trend data not available.




The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Indirectly standardised ratio per 100 Latest time period: 2013 - 17 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93256 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Ward to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method






Trend data not available.





The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.

Value type: Indirectly standardised ratio per 100 Latest time period: 2013 - 17 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93254 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Ward to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method










Medway and Swale cannot be compared to England statistically.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2017 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 90834 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: None applied Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ACSC

-900 -600

- 300


PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: Better Similar Worse Not compared Pnn 1093 MdC Shp Str 1096 1428 1451 Rch GIS Stt 1079 1223 953 MdS Rnh 1156 860 🔶 MS – England Last 8 years to 2018/19




The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.

Value type: Directly standardised rate per 100,000 Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), NHS Digital ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: LSOA to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Dobson's method









The rate in Medway and Swale is higher than England.

Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 848 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Byar's method







The rate in Medway and Swale is lower than England.

Value type: Proportion - %

Latest time period: 2018/19


Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 90581


ICP value calculation: Aggregated data


Small area type: Practice to PCN

ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method












The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Directly standardised rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2016 - 18 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 41001 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%










The rate in Medway and Swale is worse than England.


Value type: Directly standardised rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2016 - 18 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 41001 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%


AGEING WELL













Medway and Swale cannot be compared to England statistically.


Value type: Proportion - % Latest time period: 2020 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 92949 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20)

ICP RAG method: None applied

Emergency hospital admissions due to falls (persons aged 65 and over)








The rate in Medway and Swale is better than England.


Value type: Directly standardised rate - per 100,000 Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 22401 ICP value calculation: Small areas averaged Small area type: District & UA (4/19-3/20) ICP RAG method: England plus/minus 5%

Emergency hospital admissions for hip fracture (persons aged 65 and over)

PCNs in Medway and Swale. Compared to England: ■ Better ■ Similar ■ Worse ■ Not compared




Trend data not available.




The rate in Medway and Swale is similar to England.

Value type: Indirectly standardised ratio per 100 Latest time period: 2013/14 - 17/18 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 93241 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Ward to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (95%) - Byar's method







The rate in Medway and Swale is lower than England.

Value type: Crude rate - % Latest time period: 2018/19 Source: PHE, Fingertips, Indicator ID: 90443 ICP value calculation: Aggregated data Small area type: Practice to PCN ICP RAG method: Confidence interval (99.8%) - Wilson Score method