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## Summary

This report presents the results of the third round of the Council's revenue budget monitoring for 2019/20.

## 1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 Cabinet are responsible for ensuring that income and expenditure remain within the budget approved by Council.
1.2 This report has been circulated separately to the main agenda as it was necessary to undertake further consultation with the Leader and Portfolio Holders on the action proposed to mitigate the overspends reported. Therefore, the Cabinet is asked to accept this report as urgent to enable consideration of the matter at the earliest opportunity; the next scheduled Cabinet meeting is due to take place on 3 March 2020 and that would not give sufficient time for any remaining issues to be addressed.

## 2. Background

2.1 At its meeting on 21 February 2019, the Council set a budget requirement of $£ 297.995$ million for 2019/20. The budget was based on a Council Tax increase of 2.994\%. A reduction in the Pupil Premium Grant and a contribution from reserves to fund survey works to Deangate Ridge, result in a revised budget of $£ 297.445 \mathrm{million}$. This report presents the results of the third round of revenue budget monitoring, summarising reports that have been considered by directorate management teams based on returns submitted by individual budget managers. In preparing these forecasts, budget managers have taken account of last year's outturn, items of growth or savings agreed as part of the budget build, actual income and expenditure for the year to date, and most importantly, their knowledge of commitments and service requirements anticipated for the remainder of the financial year.
2.2 Following discussion of the Round 2 monitoring report, on 21 August 2019 the Corporate Management Team agreed to implement a moratorium on all non-essential spend. This report reflects the impact of the moratorium across all services and also reflects the significant programme of management action agreed.
2.3 Table 1 provides a summary of the forecast position, reflecting the individual directorate monitoring summaries attached in the appendices to this report. The narrative below seeks to explain the pressures being faced and the corrective management action proposed by directorate management teams.

## 3. Summary Revenue Budget Position 2019/20

3.1 Table 1 shows that following imposition of the moratorium and the management action agreed, the forecast outturn for 2019/20 represents a forecast pressure of $£ 2.571$ million based on the delivery of management action of $£ 1.567$ million.

Table 1: Monitoring Summary

| Directorate | R2 Forecast Variance | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Budget } \\ \text { 2019/20 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | R3 Forecast Variance | R3 Proposed Action | R3 Adjusted Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £000's | £ 000 's | £000's | £000's | £000's |
| Children and Adult Services (including Public Health) | 5,930 | 222,331 | 5,198 | (523) | 4,675 |
| Regeneration, Culture, Environment and Transformation | 1,330 | 59,320 | 373 | $(1,044)$ | (671) |
| Business Support Department | 390 | 2,076 | (383) | 0 | (383) |
| Interest \& Financing | (962) | 13,581 | $(1,048)$ | 0 | $(1,048)$ |
| Levies | 29 | 1,455 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| Medway Norse Joint Venture | (22) | (263) | (22) | 0 | (22) |
| Budget Requirement | 6,694 | 298,500 | 4,138 | $(1,567)$ | 2,571 |
| Funded by: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dedicated Schools Grant | 0 | $(86,312)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other School Specific Grants | 0 | $(3,776)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Revenue Support Grant | 0 | $(6,053)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Rate Share | 0 | $(53,966)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Homes Bonus | 0 | $(1,978)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Council Tax | 0 | $(119,651)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Health Grant | 0 | $(16,762)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Specific Grants | 0 | $(8,797)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Use of Reserves | 0 | $(1,205)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Available Funding | 0 | $(298,500)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Forecast Variance | 6,694 | 0 | 4,138 | $(1,567)$ | 2,571 |

4. Children \& Adults including Public Health (Appendix 1)
4.1 The Directorate is forecasting a general fund pressure of $£ 4.675$ million after management action.

Table 2: C\&A Summary

|  | R2 Forecast Variance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Budget } \\ & \text { 2019/20 } \end{aligned}$ | R3 Forecast Variance | R3 Proposed Action | R3 Adjusted Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £000's | £000's | £000's | £000's | £000's |
| Adult Social Care | 132 | 68,559 | (135) | (463) | (598) |
| Children's Services | 4,347 | 66,790 | 3,868 | (30) | 3,838 |
| Director | 351 | 1,954 | 510 |  | 510 |
| Directorate Management Team | 1,106 | (460) | 1,229 | (30) | 1,199 |
| Education | 195 | 26,659 | 440 |  | 440 |
| Partnership Commissioning | (43) | 1,647 | (110) |  | (110) |
| Public Health | 0 | 13,237 | (303) |  | (303) |
| Schools Retained Funding \& Grants | (157) | 43,944 | (301) |  | (301) |
| Schools | (0) | 0 | (0) |  | (0) |
| Children and Adult Services Total | 5,930 | 222,331 | 5,198 | (523) | 4,675 |

### 4.2 Adult Social Care

4.2.1 The Division is forecasting an underspend of $£ 598,000$ after management action, an improvement of $£ 730,000$ compared to Round 2.
4.2.2 Disability Services is currently forecasting a pressure of $£ 250,000$, a worsening of £198,000 compared to Round 2. Additional placement costs mainly in new supported living placements are the primary reason for the increase in the forecast, but there continues to be a forecast under delivery on savings targets allocated to disability services.
4.2.3 Mental Health Services is forecasting a pressure of $£ 313,000$, an increase of $£ 113,000$ compared to Round 2. While total placement numbers have remained largely stable, there has been an increase to the weekly costs of all care types, which continues to drive the forecast higher, in addition there continues to be a shortfall against savings targets attributed to Mental Health Services.
4.2.4 Older Persons spend is forecasting a pressure of $£ 223,000$, a worsening of $£ 30,000$ compared to Round 2. The most significant variation continues to be in relation to nursing placements where there has been a large increase in placement numbers since the budget was built, shown in table 2 overleaf. Additional use of Better Care Fund has helped to offset residential placement costs.

Table 3: Number of Older Persons Placements

4.2.5 There is a forecast favourable variance of $£ 466,000$ in Business and Intelligence, an improvement of $£ 300,000$ compared to Round 2 largely due to the impact of the moratorium.
4.2.6 The overall staffing forecast across all areas of Adult Social Care represents a favourable variance of $£ 450,000$, an improvement of $£ 265,000$ compared to Round 2, reflecting the funding of Occupational Therapy posts through the Disabled Facilities Grant and the moratorium on non-essential spend. Favourable variances have also been forecast relating to payments to the voluntary and community sector of $£ 36,000$.
4.2.7 Progress against the budgeted savings targets are reported to Adult Social Care Improvement Board every two months. Table 4 below represents the current forecasted performance against the budgeted savings; the shortfall shown is all contained within the variances reported in paragraphs 4.1-4.2.7 of this report.

Table 4 - savings reported to ASC Improvement Board

| Project | Total budgeted Savings 2019/20 | 2019/20 - Actual inyear savings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shortfall from 2018/19 | $(424,000)$ |  |
| - ASC Diagnostic Analysis - Rephasing | $(764,600)$ |  |
| - ASCIP stretch target | $(248,000)$ |  |
| Targeted Reviews |  | $(768,667)$ |
| Respite Provision (Napier/Birling) |  |  |
| Extra Care Provision |  | $(100,000)$ |
| Commissioning decisions |  | $(200,000)$ |
| CSOT/147 Nelson Road |  | $(46,400)$ |
| Shared Lives | $(178,191)$ | $(178,191)$ |
| Transformation Savings | $(120,000)$ | $(120,000)$ |
| Total ASC Savings Programme | $(1,734,791)$ | $(1,413,258)$ |
| Shortfall against total budgeted savings |  | 321,533 |

4.2.8 The forecast reflects the following management action within the Division:

- Stopping the use of external contractors undertaking assessments, forecast to save £50,000.
- The use of additional sources of external funding to offset ongoing care costs relating to continued discharges from hospital and from an increase in complex clients requiring additional care, forecast to achieve £413,000.


### 4.3 Children's Services

4.3.1 The Division is forecasting a pressure of $£ 3.838$ million after management action, driven by three key areas:
4.3.2 Staffing - there is a $£ 1.450$ million pressure forecast on staffing, an improvement of £535,000 compared to the position reported at Round 2, however this is offset by adverse movements reported at paragraphs 4.4.1 and 4.5.1 below. Of the budgeted establishment of 234 posts, 53 are vacant and being covered by agency staff at rates of between $30 \%$ and $50 \%$ higher than the cost of permanent appointments. As reported at Round 2, the service continues to operate with 32 posts above the budgeted establishment, which are being covered by agency staff.
4.3.3 Placements - there is a $£ 1.485$ million pressure forecast on placements, an improvement of $£ 162,000$ compared to the positon reported at Round 1. The forecast direct costs of Independent Fostering Agency, special guardianship, residential, internal and external placements has reduced by $£ 400,000$ compared to Round 2 as review work has resulted in reduced costs for several high-cost residential and child and family placements. However there has been an increase in other client expense forecast (including therapy sessions and travel costs); while these costs often reflect preventative measures and cost avoidance, Finance and the Service are working to further analyse and review this expenditure.
4.3.4 Special Educational Needs (SEN) - at round 1 there was a pressure of $£ 4.521$ million reported on SEN, which has been transferred to the Dedicated Schools Grant High Needs reserve. At Round 2, a further pressure of $£ 2.414$ million (including $£ 385,000$ of general fund expenditure relating to the 0-25 team) was forecast on SEN and the DSG element of the additional overspend ( $£ 2.029$ million) was also transferred into the reserve, taking the cumulative deficit to a projected £10.273million at the end of 2019/20. The implementation of improvements including more frequent financial monitoring, a revised budget coding structure and a revised basis for estimating the tolerance for growth in the number of children supported, along with a small reduction to some unit costs, have reduced the overspend by $£ 669,000$. On that basis the projected cumulative deficit on the DSG reserve will now be $£ 9.604$ million by the end of $2019 / 20$. This pressure is driven by a combination of increasing demand for Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCPs), a lower proportion of children with EHCPs supported in mainstream schools than the national average (however this figure is improving) and a lack of special school places in Medway resulting in increased demand for independent school and out of area places.
4.3.5 Broadly as reported at Round 2 , there is a $£ 435,000$ pressure forecast on preventative measures to avoid children being brought into care. $£ 215,000$ is being spent in relation to children with 'no recourse to public funds' against an approved budget of $£ 25,000$ and $£ 167,000$ on section 17 payments against a budget of $£ 123,000$.
4.3.6 There is also a $£ 115,000$ overspend on Aut Even as additional staff employed to ensure compliance with Ofsted criteria must be maintained until the service moves to the Parklands building. The employment of an interim manager on a six month contract after
the recent Ofsted outcome review also contributes to this pressure.
4.3.7 Finally there is a pressure of $£ 100,000$ on legal expenses which relates to external counsel advice, and drug, paternity and alcohol testing and is consistent with the forecast at Round 2.
4.3.8 The forecast reflects the following management action within the Division:

- A review of SEN Direct payments to achieve a saving of $£ 20,000$.
- A reduction in of expenditure on interim management in the 0-25 Social work Team to achieve a saving of around $£ 10,000$.


### 4.4 Director's Office

4.4.1 There is a forecast pressure of $£ 510,000$ mainly due to the agency staff covering vacant Independent Reviewing Officer (IRO) posts as well as the employment of additional staff above the budgeted establishment. This is an unfavorable movement of $£ 159,000$ compared to Round 2, however it primarily relates to three social work staff who were incorrectly coded to Children's Social Care rather than QA and Safeguarding, and is therefore offset by the improvement reported at paragraph 4.3.2 above.

### 4.5 Directorate Management Team

4.5.1 There is a forecast pressure of $£ 1.199$ million; an unfavourable movement of $£ 93,000$ compared to Round 2. This pressure comprises a proportion of the Directorate transformation savings target not being delivered, and the cost of changes to management team posts including use of agency staff to provide cover during the year. The forecast reflects management action to terminate the contract of one Interim senior management post within Children's Social Care, saving $£ 30,000$.

### 4.6 Education

4.6.1 The division is forecasting a pressure of $£ 440,000$, an unfavourable movement of $£ 245,000$ compared to the Round 2 forecast. The pressure is primarily driven by an overspend on provision for excluded pupils as while Medway schools have only made six permanent exclusions in the current financial year, historic cost pressures mean the Council continues to pay for 30 pupils being educated in non-standard settings. The number of pupils requiring Alternative Provision moving into the area has exceeded the tolerance built into the Round 2 forecast, resulting in the movement. SEN Transport is forecasting a pressure of $£ 98,000$, but was forecasted to budget at Round 2. The Round 2 forecast projected an overspend mitigated by management action of $£ 436,000$, of which $£ 339,000$ has been delivered. There remains a risk that issues with the implementation/delivery of one supplier may result in higher costs in the region of c£200,000 however this is not reflected in the current forecast.

### 4.7 Partnership Commissioning

4.7.1 Partnership Commissioning is forecast to underspend by $£ 110,000$, an improvement $£ 67,000$ compared to Round 2 due to the impact of the moratorium on non-statutory staffing and supplies and services costs.

### 4.8 Public Health

4.8.1 Public Health are forecasting an underspend of $£ 303,000$ due to the impact of the moratorium on non-statutory staffing and supplies and services costs, including
rationalisation of events and promotional activity. As the grant is ring-fenced, any under/overspend is offset by contributions to/from the Public Health Reserve.

## 5. Regeneration, Culture, Environment and Transformation (Appendix 2)

5.1 The Directorate is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 671,000$ after management action.

Table 5: RCET Summary

|  | R2 Forecast Variance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ \text { 2019/20 } \end{gathered}$ | R3 Forecast Variance | R3 Proposed Action | R3 Adjusted Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £000's | £000's | £000's | £000's | £000's |
| Corn Exchange | 161 | (6) | 138 |  | 138 |
| Deangate Golf | 86 | 150 | 66 |  | 66 |
| Director's Office | (30) | 1,370 | (96) |  | (96) |
| Front Line Services | 607 | 38,539 | 836 | (994) | (158) |
| MCG Services | 0 | (292) | 116 |  | 116 |
| Physical \& Cultural Regeneration | 484 | 13,906 | (75) | (50) | (125) |
| Transformation | 23 | 5,654 | (611) |  | (611) |
| Regeneration, Culture, Environment \& Transformation Total | 1,331 | 59,320 | 373 | $(1,044)$ | (671) |

### 5.2 Corn Exchange

5.2.1 The Corn Exchange is forecasting a pressure of $£ 138,000$ primarily resulting from a shortfall in income compared to challenging targets. The Round 3 forecast is an improvement of $£ 23,000$ compared to Round 2 resulting from the implementation of the moratorium on supplies and services.

### 5.3 Deangate Golf

5.3.1 Deangate Golf Course is forecasting a pressure of $£ 66,000$, an improvement of $£ 20,000$ compared to Round 2. The pressure relates to the Business Rates charge for the site as the budget was removed following the closure of the course in April 2018, however since Medway Norse have taken occupation of the old Club House as office space since July they will be liable for costs from that date for that portion of the site.

### 5.4 Director's Office

5.4.1 The Director's Office is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 96,000$, an improvement of $£ 67,000$ compared to Round 2 reflecting the impact of the moratorium on supplies and services, and the decision to defer the Gaming Festival.

### 5.5 Front Line Services

5.5.1 The Division is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 158,000$, an improvement of £765,000 compared to the Round 2 position.
5.5.2 Front Line Services Support are forecasting a pressure of $£ 239,000$, an unfavourable movement of $£ 433,000$ compared to the Round 2 position. The Divisional Transformation Target of $£ 406,000$ is held in this Service and will as previously reported, this will be delivered through a restructure. Delays in the implementation however mean this will not
deliver savings until 2020/21, with savings from the application of the moratorium partly mitigating this in 2019/20.
5.5.3 Parking Services are forecasting a pressure of $£ 1.228$ million, primarily due to a projected shortfall in income compared to budget on pay and display and penalty charge notices; this represents an unfavourable movement compared to Round 2 of $£ 67,000$. While forecast pressures on income from Penalty Charge Notices and Pay and Display income continue have worsened by $£ 213,000$, these are largely mitigated by savings on staffing, a reduction in security costs and further savings on Business Rates due to revaluations and car park closures.
5.5.4 Regulatory Services are forecasting a pressure of $£ 266,000$, an unfavourable movement of $£ 51,000$ compared to Round 2. While the projected shortfall in income compared to budget for the crematorium has worsened by $£ 175,000$, this is partly mitigated by moratorium savings on staffing, supplies and services and the generation of additional income in Registration Services.
5.5.5 Integrated Transport are forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 111,000$, an improvement of $£ 184,000$ compared to Round 2. The moratorium on staffing, supplies and services has saved $£ 64,000$ and the identification of expenditure appropriate to charge to capital schemes has removed $£ 129,000$ of revenue expenditure from the forecast.
5.5.6 Greenspaces are forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 96,000$, a small adverse movement of $£ 9,000$ compared to Round 2 as small additional pressure on utilities has been identified.
5.5.7 Highways are forecasting a pressure of $£ 85,000$, an improvement of $£ 29,000$ compared to the Round 2 position. The pressure that resulted from the increase in the unit price of electricity from October 2019 was estimated in the Round 2 forecast, and the actual charge is higher resulting in an additional pressure of $£ 64,000$. However this is mitigated by moratorium savings on staffing, re-profiling of the highways programme and use of commuted sums.
5.5.8 Environmental Services are forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 777,000$, an improvement of $£ 140,000$ compared to Round 2. While the cost of waste disposal has increased by $£ 295,000$, this is offset by reductions in the forecast cost of street sweeping and garden waste disposal totaling $£ 388,000$, along with moratorium savings on salaries to achieve this improvement.
5.5.9 The forecast reflects the following management action within the Division:

- Draw down from a range of earmarked reserves and use of one-off funding of £660,750.
- Reduced use of consultancy support in Integrated Transport, forecast to save £15,400.
- Reduction in street lighting column replacements, forecast to save $£ 53,000$.
- Cancelling the final phase of the annual highway carriageway patching, forecast to save £225,000.
- Income lost through the suspension of Parking Bays in Corporation Street Car Park during the Strood road works will be treated as a legitimate charge to the capital scheme, reducing revenue expenditure by $£ 40,000$.


### 5.6 MCG Services

5.6.1 MCG Services are forecasting a pressure of $£ 116,000$ compared to a breakeven position
reported at Round 2 ; this reflects the estimated impact on Medway Council of one of the members of the CCTV partnership withdrawing from the agreement.

### 5.7 Physical \& Cultural Regeneration

5.7.1 The Division is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 125,000$, an improvement of £609,000 compared to Round 2.
5.7.2 Sports, Leisure, Tourism and Heritage are forecasting a pressure of $£ 406,000$, an improvement of $£ 82,000$ compared to Round 2 . The pressure is primarily due to the projected shortfall in income compared to budget for Leisure Centres as usage suffers from the proximity of low-cost gyms, with the projected income revised down by £66,000. However this is mitigated by savings resulting from the moratorium on staffing, supplies and services as well as reductions/deferrals of some sports related projects and a refund of Business Rates following the sale of the Guildhall Conservancy building.
5.7.3 Festivals, Arts, Theatres and Events are forecasting a pressure of $£ 507,000$, driven by the shortfalls in income compared to budget in respect of the Castle Concerts an undelivered festivals programme initiative. In addition, costs relating to HMS Medway Freedom of the Borough of $£ 52,000$ are reflected as a pressure here. The impact of the moratorium was limited in this area as the majority of the events and activity had already been delivered when it was agreed.
5.7.4 Physical and Cultural Regeneration Directorate Support is forecasting a pressure of $£ 20,000$, an adverse movement of $£ 83,000$ compared to Round 2. This is primarily because this service held the Directorate provision in respect of increases in the National Living Wage of $£ 70,000$ in Round 2, but the provision has now been distributed across the Directorate to address salary pressures manifesting as a result of the increase.
5.7.5 Regeneration is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 99,000$, an improvement of $£ 165,000$ compared to Round 2, as the moratorium on staffing, supplies and services was combined with a reassessment of income receivable through the Innovation properties. The forecast also reflects management action to draw down £50,000 from reserves to support this service.
5.7.6 Strategic Housing is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 592,000$, an improvement of £291,000 compared to Round 2, with the movement primarily due to the implementation of the moratorium and as the cost of the Supported Living payments in relation to Council Tenants being charged to the Housing Revenue Account instead of the General Fund.
5.7.7 The Planning Service is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 318,000$, an improvement of $£ 101,000$ compared to Round 2. Higher than budgeted income combined with the moratorium on staffing, supplies and services along with a further reduction in the previously reported Contribution to the Local Plan Reserve of $£ 39,000$ which reflect the revised forecast cost of delivering the Local Plan.

### 5.8 Transformation

5.8.1 The division is forecasting a pressure of $£ 628,000$, an improvement of $£ 622,000$ compared to the Round 2 position.
5.8.2 Marketing and Communications is forecasting a pressure of $£ 163,000$, an improvement of $£ 43,000$ compared to Round 2. Historic budget pressures including underfunded salaries and unachievable income targets, additional marketing activity required in
excess of budget and costs relating to the Place Branding work of $£ 30,000$ are reflected here, however the moratorium on staffing, supplies and services partly mitigates these.
5.8.3 Libraries, Community Hubs and Archives are forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 80,000$, an improvement of $£ 120,000$ compared to Round 2. Pressures relating to the end of the rent-free period for the Strood Library and Community Hub and on Business Rates have been mitigated through significant moratorium activity, including deferring expenditure on the Book Fund, staffing and other supplies and services along with additional income not previously forecast.
5.8.4 The Community Interpreters are forecasting a pressure of $£ 18,000$ as increased costs have not been passed on to ensure the service remains competitive; this is an improvement of $£ 6,000$ compared to Round 2 due to moratorium savings.
5.8.5 Human Resources and Organisational Services is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 135,000$, an improvement of $£ 45,000$ from the position reported at Round 2. In addition to previously reported underspends on staffing and from a reduction in the cost of providing the salary sacrifice scheme and additional income from the Reducing Parental Conflict Initiative, the moratorium has resulted in further savings on salaries and a reduction in spend from the centralised training budget.
5.8.6 Customer and Business Support are forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 268,000$, an improvement of $£ 186,000$ compared to Round 2, as an increase of $£ 34,000$ in the cost of agency staff has been mitigated by savings through the moratorium on permanent staffing of $£ 145,000$.
5.8.7 The Performance and Intelligence Hub is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 41,000$, an improvement of $£ 12,000$ compared to Round 2, due to additional income from external customers not previously accounted for and savings from the moratorium.
5.8.8 The Head of Transformation (incorporating both Business Change and Digital Transformation) is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 206,000$, an improvement of $£ 143,000$ comapred to Round 2 due to the moratorium activity, including a reduction in the use of external consultants.

### 5.9 Housing Revenue Account

5.9.1 The Housing Revenue Account is forecasting a surplus of $£ 309,500$ which is $£ 93,300$ above the approved budget surplus of $£ 216,200$.

## 6. Business Support (Appendix 3)

6.1 The Directorate is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 383,000$, an improvement of £773,000 compared to the Round 2 position.

Table 6: BSD Summary

|  | R2 Forecast <br> Variance | Budget <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 / 2 0}$ | R3 Forecast <br> Variance | R3 Proposed <br> Action | R3 Adjusted <br> Variance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0} \mathbf{s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ |
| Central Finance | $(107)$ | 1,212 | $(330)$ | $(330)$ |  |
| Corporate Management | 66 | 1,752 | $(159)$ | $(159)$ |  |
| Democracy and Governance | 9 | 2,131 | 99 |  | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |
| Legal, Contracts and Property | 422 | $(3,019)$ | 7 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Business Support Total | $\mathbf{3 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 8 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 8 3 )}$ |

### 6.2 Finance Division

6.2.1 The Finance Division is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 330,000$, an improvement of $£ 223,000$ compared to the position reported at Round 2.
6.2.2 Revenues and Benefits is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 71,000$, an improvement of $£ 118,000$ compared to Round 2. The current budget assumes that one of the major providers of supported accommodation in Medway would become a registered social landlord. This registration would ensure that the Council receives $100 \%$ subsidy on enhanced housing benefit paid to tenants in this accommodation, generating additional income of almost £1.2million per annum. This registration is now not expected until 2020, resulting in an increase to the pressure reported at Round 2 to £1.097million. However this is mitigated by recovery of Housing Benefit Overpayment in excess of budget, recharging lost subsidy for homelessness to Housing, and staff vacancy savings. In addition, a $£ 75,000$ contribution from the Business Change programme has supported the delivery of the Corporate Debt Project.
6.2.3 Finance Operations is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 102,000$, an improvement of £65,000 compared to Round 2 as the moratorium resulted in savings on ICT systems and staffing.
6.2.4 Finance Strategy is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 139,000$, an improvement of £39,000 compared to Round 2 as, in addition to staffing savings from the implementation of the moratorium, unbudgeted external income has been received from other Medway Council entities and external bodies for finance support.
6.2.5 Internal Audit and Counter Fraud is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 18,000$, in line with the Round 2 forecast.

### 6.3 Corporate Management

6.3.1 Corporate Management is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 159,000$, an improvement of £225,000 compared to Round 2. Previously reported pressures on salaries and legal costs relating to the Judicial Review of the location of the Kent and Medway Hyper Acute Stroke Unit if $£ 68,000$ were primarily offset due to the recognition of $£ 135,000$ income relating to previous years, a reduction in the anticipated pension costs and a refund on overpaid prior year contributions of $£ 96,000$.

### 6.4 Democracy and Governance

6.4.1 Democracy and Governance are forecasting a pressure of $£ 99,000$, a worsening of $£ 90,000$ compared to Round 2. A pressure of $£ 148,000$ on Members and Elections, primarily driven by the increased Members Allowances agreed is partly mitigated as the moratorium has resulted in staffing savings across both Members and Elections and Democratic Services teams.

### 6.5 Legal, Contracts and Property

6.5.1 Legal, Contracts and Property are forecasting a pressure of $£ 7,000$, an improvement of £415,000 compared to Round 2.
6.5.2 Category Management is forecasting a pressure of $£ 39,000$, a worsening of $£ 51,000$ compared to Round 2. Despite staffing savings of $£ 80,000$ and other moratorium activity to control expenditure, the forecast level of income from capital projects has worsened
since Round 2 resulting in this movement.
6.5.3 Legal, Land Charges and Licensing are forecasting a pressure of $£ 131,000$, an improvement of $£ 181,000$ since Round 2 . The pressure primarily relates to staffing, as vacant posts are being covered by agency staff costing £173,000 more than budgeted, but lower than budgeted levels of Land Charge searches contribute a further £21,000. Licensing is forecasting an underspend of $£ 61,000$ arising from a restructure following the new shared service with Gravesham.
6.5.4 Valuation and Asset Management are forecasting a pressure of $£ 163,000$, an improvement of $£ 61,000$ compared to Round 2. While income pressures remain across the Council's commercial property portfolio, these are partly mitigated by a favourable variance resulting from moratorium activity reducing forecast expenditure on staffing, supplies and services.
6.5.5 Property and Capital Projects are forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 347,000$, an improvement of $£ 224,000$ compared to Round 2 . While there continue to be a range of income pressures including Kingsley House and Community Centre lettings, these have been mitigated by reducing expenditure through the Building Repairs and Maintenance Fund to only emergency and health and safety work, and moratorium activity including staffing savings and a reduction in the use of consultants.

## 7. Centralised Costs

7.1 Collectively these are forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 1.050$ million.

Table 7: Centralised Costs Summary

|  | R2 Forecast <br> Variance | Budget <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 / 2 0}$ | R3 Forecast <br> Variance | R3 Proposed <br> Action | R3 Adjusted <br> Variance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 ' s}$ |
| Interest and Financing | $(962)$ | 13,581 | $(1,048)$ |  | $(1,048)$ |
| Levies | 29 | 1,455 | 20 |  | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Medway Norse Rebate | $(22)$ | $(263)$ | $(22)$ | $(22)$ |  |
| Centralised Budgets Total | $(955)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 7 7 3}$ | $(1,050)$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $(1,050)$ |

7.1.1 Interest and Financing is forecasting a favourable variance of $£ 1.048$ million, an improvement of $£ 86,000$ compared to Round 2. The variance primarily relates to lower than budgeted interest costs on the Council's borrowing, reflecting that we will not need to take out the borrowing to finance the SEN school, and the one month delay in taking out the borrowing to purchase the Pentagon Centre. However Interest earned from investments is forecast to be lower than anticipated at Round 2, and some additional treasury advice and brokerage fees have been incurred to enable the Council to source loans from other Local Authorities since the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) rates were increased.
7.1.2 The pressure forecast on Levies is $£ 20,000$, an improvement of $£ 9,000$ compared to Round 2 as levying bodies forecasts have reduced.
7.1.3 The Medway Norse rebate remains as per Round 2 at a favourable variance of £22,000.

## 8. Conclusions

8.1. The third round of budget monitoring for 2019/20 forecasts an overspend position of £2.571million, which represents a £4.123million improvement compared to the position
reported against the general fund revenue budget during the previous round. This reflects the implementation of a significant package of management action, tighter management and scrutiny over expenditure agreed in response to the Round 2 monitoring position reported. The overspend against the SEND budget has reduced by $£ 699,000$, so the projected cumulative deficit on the DSG reserve will now be $£ 9.604$ million by the end of 2019/20.

## 9. Financial, risk management and legal implications

9.1 The financial implications are set out in the body of the report. There are no direct legal implications within this report. The Council's revenue monitoring process is designed to help mitigate the risk of overspending against the agreed budget at year-end; this report sets out the areas of overspend forecast and the actions identified by management and Members to mitigate these.

## 10. Recommendations

10.1 Cabinet note the results of the third round of revenue budget monitoring for 2019/20 and instruct senior management to continue to exercise tight control range of measures to reduce expenditure within their portfolio areas.

## 11. Suggested reasons for decisions

11.1 Cabinet has the responsibility to ensure effective budgetary control to contain expenditure within the approved limits set by Council.

## Lead officer contact

Katey Durkin, Head of Finance Strategy, Gun Wharf, katey.durkin@medway.gov.uk

## Appendices

Appendix 1 - Children and Adults including Public Health
Appendix 2 - Regeneration, Culture, Environment and Transformation
Appendix 3 - Business Support
Appendix 4a-Interest and Financing
Appendix 4b - Levies

## Background papers

Revenue budget approved by Council 21 February 2019:
https://democracy.medway.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=20679\#mgDocuments

| Directorate - Revenue Budget Monitoring |  | Actuals to Period 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forecasts to Round 3-2019-2020 |  |  |  | Appendix 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expenditure |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  | Net |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Expenditure } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Budget } \\ £ 000 ' s \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Actual <br> To Date <br> £000's | Forecast Expenditure £000's | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Forecast }}{\text { Variance }} \\ & £ 000 \text { 's } \end{aligned}$ | Income Budget £000's | Actual to Date £000's | $\frac{\text { Forecast }}{\frac{\text { Income }}{£ 000 ' s}}$ | Forecast Variance £000's | Current <br> Budget £000's | Actual to Date £000's | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Forecast }}{\text { Outturn }} \\ & \text { £000's } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Over/(Under) } \end{array}}{£ 000 \text { 's }}$ |  | Revised Var £000's |
| CHILDREN AND ADULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AD ASC : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AD ASC | 16,513 | 9,971 | 17,475 | 961 | $(18,878)$ | $(15,894)$ | $(20,045)$ | $(1,167)$ | $(2,365)$ | $(5,923)$ | $(2,570)$ | (206) | (413) | (619) |
| BUSINESS \& INTELLIGENCE | 3,337 | 1,880 | 2,916 | (421) | (220) | (195) | (265) | (46) | 3,117 | 1,685 | 2,651 | (466) | 0 | (466) |
| ASC OPERATIONS | 77,845 | 62,407 | 80,398 | 2,553 | $(14,812)$ | $(11,127)$ | $(16,689)$ | $(1,876)$ | 63,032 | 51,279 | 63,709 | 677 | 0 | 677 |
| SPECIALIST SERVICES | 4,802 | 3,140 | 4,848 | 46 | (27) | (29) | (213) | (186) | 4,774 | 3,111 | 4,635 | (140) | (50) | (190) |
| Total AD ASC | 102,496 | 77,398 | 105,636 | 3,140 | $(33,937)$ | $(27,246)$ | $(37,212)$ | $(3,275)$ | 68,559 | 50,151 | 68,424 | (135) | (463) | (598) |
| CHILDRENS SERVICES : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHILDRENS CARE MANAGEMENT | 1,187 | 1,090 | 1,704 | 517 | (56) | (276) | 0 | 56 | 1,132 | 814 | 1,704 | 572 | 0 | 572 |
| PSYCHOLOGY \& SEN | 26,516 | 22,315 | 32,634 | 6,117 | (471) | (351) | $(6,592)$ | $(6,121)$ | 26,046 | 21,964 | 26,042 | (4) | (30) | (34) |
| HEAD OF PROVIDER SERVICES | 15,198 | 11,019 | 15,386 | 188 | (471) | (367) | (825) | (354) | 14,727 | 10,652 | 14,562 | (165) | 0 | (165) |
| EARLY HELP, YOUTH \& INCLUSION | 6,527 | 3,690 | 6,464 | (63) | $(2,369)$ | (889) | $(2,546)$ | (177) | 4,158 | 2,801 | 3,918 | (240) | 0 | (240) |
| HEAD OF SAFEGUARDING | 20,904 | 15,333 | 25,037 | 4,133 | (176) | (329) | (605) | (429) | 20,728 | 15,004 | 24,432 | 3,704 | 0 | 3,704 |
| Total CHILDRENS SERVICES | 70,333 | 53,447 | 81,225 | 10,892 | $(3,542)$ | $(2,212)$ | $(10,567)$ | $(7,025)$ | 66,790 | 51,235 | 70,658 | 3,868 | (30) | 3,838 |
| DIRECTOR : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEAD OF SAFEGUARDING \& QA | 1,845 | 1,480 | 2,264 | 419 | (182) | (58) | (90) | 91 | 1,663 | 1,421 | 2,174 | 510 | 0 | 510 |
| VIRTUAL HEAD | 381 | 267 | 402 | 21 | (91) | (33) | (112) | (21) | 290 | 234 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total DIRECTOR | 2,226 | 1,747 | 2,666 | 440 | (273) | (92) | (203) | 70 | 1,954 | 1,656 | 2,464 | 510 | 0 | 510 |
| DIRECTORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIRECTORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM | (460) | 503 | 769 | 1,229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (460) | 503 | 769 | 1,229 | (30) | 1,199 |
| Total DIRECTORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM | (460) | 503 | 769 | 1,229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (460) | 503 | 769 | 1,229 | (30) | 1,199 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCH ORGANISATION \& STUDENT SER | 18,437 | 15,751 | 18,569 | 132 | (265) | (99) | (426) | (161) | 18,171 | 15,652 | 18,143 | (28) | 0 | (28) |
| SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT | 401 | 299 | 400 | (2) | (280) | (228) | (249) | 31 | 122 | 71 | 151 | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| SCHOOLS COMMISSIONING | 734 | 27 | 45 | (688) | (697) | (11) | (11) | 686 | 36 | 16 | 34 | (2) | 0 | (2) |
| SEN TRANSPORT | 6,053 | 4,214 | 6,309 | 256 | (277) | 249 | (435) | (158) | 5,776 | 4,463 | 5,873 | 98 | 0 | 98 |
| INCLUSIONS | 2,989 | 2,140 | 3,376 | 387 | (448) | (563) | (500) | (51) | 2,541 | 1,578 | 2,876 | 335 | 0 | 335 |
| SCHOOL ONLINE SERVICES | 676 | 41 | 641 | (36) | (663) | (496) | (619) | 44 | 14 | (455) | 22 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Total EDUCATION | 29,289 | 22,472 | 29,339 | 49 | $(2,630)$ | $(1,147)$ | $(2,240)$ | 390 | 26,659 | 21,325 | 27,099 | 440 | 0 | 440 |
| PARTNERSHIP COMMISSIONING : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADULTS COMMISSIONING | 722 | 436 | 768 | 46 | (383) | 0 | (468) | (86) | 339 | 436 | 300 | (39) | 0 | (39) |





| Directorate - Revenue Budget Monitoring |  | Actuals to Period 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forecasts to Round 3-2019-2020 |  |  |  | Appendix 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expenditure |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  | Net |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left.\right\|_{\frac{\text { Expenditure }}{}} ^{\frac{\text { Budget }}{£ 000 ' s}}$ | Actual To Date £000's | $\frac{\text { Expecast }}{\frac{\text { Forditure }}{£ 000 ' s}}$ | $\frac{\text { Forecast }}{\frac{\text { Variance }}{\text { £000's }}}$ | Income Budget £000's | Actual to Date £000's | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Forecast }}{\text { Income }} \\ & £ 000 \text { 's } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Forecast }}{\text { Variance }}$ | Current <br> Budget <br> £000's | Actual to Date £000's | $\frac{\text { Forecast }}{\frac{\text { Outturn }}{£ 000 ' s}}$ | $\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { over/(Under) } \end{array}}{£ 000 \text { 's }}$ | $\frac{\frac{\text { Mgement }}{}}{\frac{\text { Action }}{\text { £000's }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Revised }}{\underline{\text { Var }}} \\ & \underline{\text { f000's }} \end{aligned}$ |
| BUSINESS SUPPORT DEPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL FINANCE : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERNAL AUDIT \& COUNTER FRAUD | 647 | 413 | 618 | (28) | (637) | (178) | (627) | 10 | 10 | 234 | (9) | (18) | 0 | (18) |
| RURAL LIAISON GRANTS | 73 | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FINANCE STRATEGY | 1,386 | 805 | 1,332 | (53) | $(1,345)$ | (107) | $(1,431)$ | (86) | 41 | 698 | (99) | (139) | 0 | (139) |
| REVENUES \& BENEFITS | 85,407 | 53,901 | 79,872 | $(5,536)$ | $(84,486)$ | $(66,823)$ | $(79,022)$ | 5,464 | 921 | $(12,922)$ | 850 | (71) | 0 | (71) |
| FINANCE OPERATIONS | 1,702 | 958 | 1,561 | (141) | $(1,534)$ | (209) | $(1,494)$ | 39 | 169 | 749 | 67 | (102) | 0 | (102) |
| Total CENTRAL FINANCE | 89,214 | 56,149 | 83,456 | $(5,758)$ | $(88,002)$ | $(67,318)$ | $(82,574)$ | 5,428 | 1,212 | $(11,168)$ | 882 | (330) | 0 | (330) |
| CORPORATE MANAGEMENT : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORPORATE MANAGEMENT | 2,598 | 3,131 | 3,930 | 1,332 | (846) | $(1,551)$ | $(2,337)$ | $(1,491)$ | 1,752 | 1,581 | 1,593 | (159) | 0 | (159) |
| Total CORPORATE MANAGEMENT | 2,598 | 3,131 | 3,930 | 1,332 | (846) | $(1,551)$ | $(2,337)$ | $(1,491)$ | 1,752 | 1,581 | 1,593 | (159) | 0 | (159) |
| DEMOCRACY \& GOVERNANCE : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEMOCRATIC SERVICES MANAGER | 701 | 412 | 652 | (49) | (45) | (44) | (44) | 0 | 657 | 368 | 608 | (49) | 0 | (49) |
| MEMBERS \& ELECTIONS | 1,533 | 1,973 | 2,928 | 1,395 | (59) | (817) | $(1,307)$ | $(1,248)$ | 1,474 | 1,156 | 1,621 | 148 | 0 | 148 |
| Total DEMOCRACY \& GOVERNANCE | 2,234 | 2,385 | 3,580 | 1,346 | (103) | (861) | $(1,351)$ | $(1,247)$ | 2,131 | 1,524 | 2,230 | 99 | 0 | 99 |
| LEGAL CONTRACTS \& PROPERTY : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CATEGORY MANAGEMENT | 544 | 231 | 443 | (101) | (544) | (27) | (404) | 140 | 0 | 204 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 39 |
| LEGAL, LAND CHRGES \& LICENSING | 2,882 | 1,938 | 3,134 | 252 | $(2,980)$ | (839) | $(3,101)$ | (121) | (97) | 1,099 | 34 | 131 | 0 | 131 |
| MEDWAY NORSE | 5,714 | 4,399 | 5,735 | 21 | $(5,714)$ | (8) | $(5,714)$ | 0 | 0 | 4,390 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| PROPERTY \& CAPITAL PROJECTS | 4,731 | 1,964 | 4,876 | 145 | $(3,844)$ | (545) | $(4,336)$ | (492) | 887 | 1,419 | 540 | (347) | 0 | (347) |
| VALUATION \& ASSET MANAGEMENT | 843 | 1,031 | 1,713 | 870 | $(4,652)$ | $(4,621)$ | $(5,359)$ | (707) | $(3,809)$ | $(3,590)$ | $(3,646)$ | 163 | 0 | 163 |
| Total LEGAL CONTRACTS \& PROPERTY | 14,715 | 9,562 | 15,902 | 1,187 | $(17,734)$ | $(6,040)$ | $(18,913)$ | $(1,179)$ | $(3,019)$ | 3,523 | $(3,012)$ | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Total BUSINESS SUPPORT DEPT | 108,761 | 71,228 | 106,868 | $(1,893)$ | $(106,685)$ | $(75,769)$ | $(105,175)$ | 1,510 | 2,076 | $(4,541)$ | 1,693 | (383) | 0 | (383) |



| Directorate - Centralised Monitoring |  | Actuals to Period 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forecasts to Round 3-2019-2020 |  |  |  | Appendix 4b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expenditure |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  | Net |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { Expenditure }}{\frac{\text { Budget }}{£ 000 ' \mathrm{~s}}}$ | $\frac{\text { Actual }}{\frac{\text { To Date }}{£ 000 ' s}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ \frac{\text { Expenditure }}{£ 000 \text { 's }} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\frac{\text { Forecast }}{\frac{\text { Variance }}{\text { £000's }}}$ | Income <br> Budget <br> £000's | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Actual }}{\text { to Date }} \\ & \text { Do00's } \end{aligned}$ | Forecast Income £000's | $\frac{\text { Forecast }}{\text { Variance }}$ | $\frac{\text { Current }}{\frac{\text { Budget }}{\text { E000's }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \frac{\text { to Date }}{\text { fooo's }} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{\text { Forecast }}{\text { Over/(Under) }}}{£ 000 \text { 's }}$ | $\frac{\text { Mgement }}{\frac{\text { Action }}{\text { E000's }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Revised }}{\underline{\text { Var }}} \\ & \underline{£ 000 \text { 's }} \end{aligned}$ |
| LEVIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4E045 CORONERS COURT <br> 4 T272 KENT \& ESSEX FISHERIES COMMIT <br> 4 T900 ENVIRONMENT AGENCY LEVY <br> 4 T936 INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD LEVY | 958 67 60 403 | 485 67 65 411 | 963 67 65 413 | 5 0 5 10 | 0 (32) 0 0 | 0 (32) 0 0 | 0 (32) 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 958 35 60 403 | 485 35 65 411 | 0 0 0 0 | 5 0 5 10 | 0 0 0 0 | 5 0 5 10 |
| Total LEVIES | 1,488 | 1,029 | 1,508 | 20 | (33) | (33) | (33) | 0 | 1,455 | 997 | 1,475 | 20 | 0 | 20 |

