

| | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| 3.2. Planning | Requires improvement |
| Planning is well-informed, holistic and personalised, actively involving the child and their parents/carers. | |
| 3.2.1. Does planning focus sufficiently on supporting the child's desistance? | 90% |
| 3.2.2. Does planning focus sufficiently on keeping the child safe? | 75% |
| 3.2.3. Does planning focus sufficiently on keeping other people safe? | 60% |
| 3.3. Implementation and delivery | Good⁴⁵ |
| High-quality, well-focused, personalised and coordinated services are delivered, engaging and assisting the child. | |
| 3.3.1. Does service delivery support the child's desistance? | 70% |
| 3.3.2. Does service delivery effectively support the safety of the child? | 75% |
| 3.3.3. Does service delivery effectively support the safety of other people? | 60% |
| 3.4. Joint working | Good⁴⁵ |
| Joint working with the police supports the delivery of high-quality, personalised and coordinated services. | |
| 3.4.1. Are the YOT's recommendations sufficiently well-informed, analytical and personalised to the child, supporting joint decision-making? | 80% |
| 3.4.2. Does the YOT work effectively with the police in implementing the out-of-court disposal? | 50% |

⁴⁵ The increase to 'Good' was made on the following basis: the original rating for implementation and delivery was derived from our assessment of five cases. A more positive judgement in one case and an increase of 5 per cent would have raised the overall score for this aspect of work to 65 per cent. The initial rating for joint working was based on the quality of work in two cases. The rating was changed to reflect this and to take account of the YOT's overall performance to deliver its out-of-court work.

