Planning Application MC/20/0753

Construction of 2 two-storey three bed houses with associated parking Plots 69 And 70 Bakersfield Land At Station Road Rainham Gillingham Medway ME8 7QZ ME8 7PZ

A further document dated 4th April **2019** was added to the website today, 1st May **2020**, referred to as the Design and Access Statement.

The website shows it was ;published on **10th April 2020**, but has only just appeared on the website, today **1st May 2020**.

Any other comments made by myself or my family refer to the documents available prior to this additional information being made available on the Medway Planning website. However ALL of my comments still remain valid.

Following the reading of this document and reading its content I strongly **OBJECT** to this Planning Application for the reasons highlighted below, plus any other objections I may also submit. The objections referred to here, are solely related to this document, the Design and Access Statement.

1. Photographs in the Design and Access Statement

The photographs included in the Design Access Statement dated **4th April 2019**, show the site as it is in **2020**, possibly in April 2020 as it appears to show the state of construction as it is today. I believe the document has been updated with these photographs, but not formally reissued as an amended document.

2. Page 8 Section 4.3 LAYOUT, third Paragraph

"The amended internal layout provides windows that have been positioned so as to avoid impinging on the privacy of the neighbouring properties. Where appropriate, windows are identified as obscured glazing so as to ensure there is no opportunity for overlooking".

Comment: The windows on the first floor (bedrooms and bathrooms **DO OVERLOOK** our property and especially the front of our bungalow and Bedroom. This statement is totally incorrect.

3. Page 9: DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

"The proposed design is exactly the same as the approved scheme in regards to respecting the neighbouring properties. The proposed finished floor levels are set a storey lower to **create a bungalow to bungalow relationship to** 21 Finwell Road while introducing parking courts to create greater visual separation and breaks for the neighbouring property. Plots 69 and 70 have been positioned **behind the principle rear elevation of the neighbouring building** and due to the existing single storey garage and canopy they **cannot be seen from this area of amenity or when inside the property**".

Comment:

A. These buildings no way "**create a bungalow to bungalow**" relationship. The bedroom and bathroom windows of both Plots 69 and 70 (plus others alongside the boundary) look directly into our bedroom and the front of our property and loom over our property. They are set HIGHER than our windows. Come and LOOK.

- B. Plots 69 and 70 are alongside the **FRONT ELEVATION** of our property and in no way are Plots 69 and 70 "behind the principle rear elevation of the neighbouring building", which is our bungalow.
- C. NO ONE has ever been inside my property to verify that "they cannot be seen from this area of amenity or when inside the property". Let me assure you THIS IS TOTALLY INCORRECT. From inside my property I can clearly look up into the bedrooms of these two properties, Plots 69 and 70.

4. Page 9 Diagram

Comment: The diagram **DOES NOT** reflect what has been built and excludes a scale to identify distances. Refer to Drawing No:. 301 also included with this application, which also shows incorrect details of the site.

Margaret Francis

21 Finwell Road Rainham Kent ME8 7PZ