## PROTOCOL FOR ANNUAL LOCAL PAY AND CONDITIONS NEGOTIATIONS 2019/2020

	ACTION	TIMEFRAME	COMMENT
1.	Acting Head of HR & Head of Finance Secretary updates trade unions on the budget and financial situation.	11/09/2018	Completed
2.	The Acting Head of HR on behalf of the Assistant Director – Transformation will invite the trade unions to submit their claim on pay and conditions of service effective from the following 1 <sup>st</sup> April. The trade unions will be provided with an analysis of the Council's financial position.	11/09/2018	Completed
3.	The trade unions (Unison and GMB) will submit their joint claim to the Assistant Director – Transformation.	No later than 31/10/2018	Received 30/10/2018
4.	The Chief Executive and the Assistant Director – Transformation will meet the trade unions to discuss and respond to the claim(s).	06/11/2018	Completed
5.	Further meetings will take place as necessary during November/December, including a Corporate Consultative Committee (CCC), Joint Consultative Committee (JCC) and Employment Matters Committee (EMC).	12/2018	JCC/EMC Meeting scheduled for the 5/12 CCC meeting scheduled for the 4/12
6.	a) Subject to 7 below, if agreement is reached, approval to recommend the agreement to full Council will be sought from the first EMC before the annual budget setting meeting of full Council.	01/2019	JCC/EMC meeting scheduled for 30/01/2019
	b) If agreement cannot be reached, the matter will be referred to JCC at which officers will outline the negotiations and the trade unions can respond.	01/2019	JCC/EMC meeting scheduled for 30/01/2019
	c) Recommendation(s) from the JCC will be reported to the EMC where a decision will be made for recommendation to full Council.	01/2019	JCC/EMC meeting scheduled for 30/01/2019

7.	Decision made and budget approved by full Council.	02/2019	Full Council meeting on 21/02/2019
8.	Any agreed pay award and/or changes to any terms and conditions implemented.	04/2019	

Extract from the draft minutes of the Corporate Consultative Committee held at Gun Wharf on 11 September 2018.

Attendees: Tim Silver (TS) (Acting Head of HR), Julia Harris (JH) (NASUWT), Mark Hammond (MH) (Unison), Margaret Gallagher (AEP), Debbie Monkfield (Unison), Katie Durkin (Head of Finance Strategy)

### 2. Review of Medium Term Financial Strategy – Katey Durkin

#### **Main Points:**

- 1. Council budget is shrinking due to changes in the way that the Government calculate funding.
- Medway are in a strong position to self-fund with business rates and the work we do to develop and attract local business. An example being the Rochester Airport project.
- 3. With the new funding system central government won't look to set up any unitary authorities whose population falls below a certain threshold. Medway is currently under that threshold so this could pose issues for us in the coming years. However, there is a great deal of funding being sought and won from other sources to regenerate the local area including the building of 29,000 homes over the next few years. The regeneration of Rochester Riverside and Strood waterfront are already underway.
- 4. In the medium term there will be pressure as work streams we are starting now won't begin to bear fruit until a few years down the line. There are particular pressures in Adult Social Care due to an aging population and an 18% increase in older people moving to the area which is above the average. There is also a move to keep care at home which can cost up to 20% more than providing care in a home. There is also pressure on schools and Children's Social Care as we are attracting more families to the area.
- 5. The MTFS assumes a 1% increase on current staff salaries for 2019/2020
- 6. Medway also has more schools going to academy status than some other authorities. This means funding for these schools is moving away from the council and revenues come more through providing payroll and other services.

### Minutes of the Pay Protocol Meeting held at Gun Wharf on 6 November 2018.

Attendees: Neil Davies (Chief Executive), Carrie McKenzie (Assistant Director – Transformation), Tim Silver (Acting Head of HR Services), Mark Hammond (Unison – Regional Officer), Tania Earnshaw (Unison – Branch Secretary) and Frank Macklin (GMB – Regional Officer).

- 1. ND welcomed the attendees and invited TS to share the progress made to date with the Pay Protocol 2019/2012.
- 2. TS informed the meeting that the Pay Protocol 2019/2020 had been launched at the September meeting of the Corporate Consultative Committee, and that Katey Durkin (Head of Finance Strategy) had updated that meeting on the detail within the Medium Term Finance Strategy report and highlighted that a 1% increase on the current salary budget had been set aside for any pay awards for the FY commencing April 2019.
- 2.1 At that meeting TS invited Unison and GMB to submit their joint pay claim for 2019/2020 by no later than 31 October 2018, and TS confirmed that the joint claim pay had been received on 30 October 2018.
- 2.2 TS reminded attendees that the joint pay claim covered employees within the Council who were employed under MedPay terms and conditions of employment, and that there were other cohorts of staff who were employed on other terms and that any increase to the pay of this other cohort would need to be funded from the 1% allocated budget.
- 2.3 TS concluded by further reminding attendees that statutory increases to both the National Living Wage and National Minimum Wage would also need to be funded from the 1% allocated budget.
- 3. ND invited MH to present the detail of the joint pay claim.
- 3.1 MH confirmed that there were three elements to the joint pay claim:
  - A 5% increase on all pay points
  - The deletion of all pay points below the Foundation Living Wage 2019/2020
     OR £9 per hour (NJC minimum wage), whichever is the higher.
    - NOTE: The Foundation Living Wage has been increased to £9 per hour for accredited employers outside of London.
  - A return to National Pay Bargaining
- 3.2 MH stated that it was the TU's view that this was an affordable increase and that there was a degree of catch-up within the claim as over the past eight vears pay awards within the Council had only increased by 5%.

- 3.3 TE highlighted that Unison had conducted a survey of their members and, while the results had yet to be collated, there was a strong indicator that Unison members felt that MedPay was not fit for purpose and that there was an equally strong indicator of a desire to return to National Pay Bargaining.
- 3.4 TE committed to share the results of their member survey.
- 3.5 FM confirmed that it was GMB's position that there should be a return to national pay bargaining.
- 3.6 TE raised concerns that there was gender and unconscious bias around pay within the Council and that there was evidence that staff were not receiving a PDR.
- 3.7 TS reminded TE that he had invited TE on numerous occasions to give the detail to support her concerns so that he could investigate, but that as yet TE had not taken up that invitation.
- 3.8 TS further commented that the results of the Council staff survey in 2017 had shown that PDR's were being completed.
- 3.9 FM suggested that there be a snap survey to Council staff to test their views on MedPay and PDR's.
- 3.10 CM stated that the 2017 survey covered those areas.
- 3.11 MH and FM discussed whether Unison and GMB should undertake a survey of their member's specific to these points.
- 3.12 ND reiterated that PDR outcomes and employee performance was regularly debated at Corporate Management Team, and that he was committed to look into any information that suggested that there may be some areas with the Council where the PDR process was not being applied appropriately.
- 4. ND gave an overview of the current and future budgetary pressures facing the Council.
- 4.1 MH commented that it was an accepted position that all Councils were facing increasing financial pressures but that the same financial pressures were being faced by employees in meeting the demands of day to day living.
- 4.2 MH highlighted that while the Unions welcomed the opportunity to engage with Elected Members, that it was frustrating that the Conservative administration had not engaged in the process, and highlighted that the Labour group had withdrawn from pay discussions at the Employment Matters Committee during last year's process for the same reasons.
- 4.3 ND reminded the Unions that this was a democratic process and that he was unable to comment, but that he would encourage the TU's to take the opportunity to meet with Elected Members at the forthcoming meetings of the Joint Consultative Committee and Employment Matters Committee.

5. CM commented that the joint pay claim was solely based on monetary awards and encouraged the Unions to consider non-monetary benefits. CM highlighted the need to bring these to the table as quickly as possible so papers could be prepared within the statutory timetables for Member consideration.

### Appendix 4

### Statutory National Minimum Wage and National Living Wage Rate from 1 April 2019

	Current Rate	New rate	Increase
	£	£	%
National Living Wage	7.83	8.21	4.9
	7.00	7.70	4.0
Age Range 21-24	7.38	7.70	4.3
Age Range 18-20	5.90	6.15	4.2
Age Range 16-17	4.20	4.35	3.6
Apprentices	3.70	3.90	5.4



## Diversity impact assessment

TITLE  Name/description of the issue being assessed	Pay Negotiations 2019/2020
DATE Date the DIA is completed	16 November 2018
LEAD OFFICER Name of person responsible for carrying out the DIA	Tim Silver Acting Head of HR Services

- 1 Summary description of the proposed change
- What is the change to policy/service/new project that is being proposed?
- How does it compare with the current situation?

The report updates members on the pay negotiations for implementation in April 2019

### 2 Summary of evidence used to support this assessment

- Eg: Feedback from consultation, performance information, service user records etc.
- Eg: Comparison of service user profile with Medway Community Profile

TU's are engaged and informed at all stages of the pay negotiations process.

### 3 What is the likely impact of the proposed change?

Is it likely to:

- Adversely impact on one or more of the protected characteristic groups?
- Advance equality of opportunity for one or more of the protected characteristic groups?
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't?

(insert ✓ in one or more boxes)

Protected characteristic groups	Adverse impact	Advance equality	Foster good relations
Age			X
Disabilty			X



# Diversity impact assessment

Gender reassignment		X
Marriage/civil partnership		X
Pregnancy/maternity		X
Race		X
Religion/belief		X
Sex		X
Sexual orientation		X
Other (e.g. low income groups)		X

### 4 Summary of the likely impacts

- Who will be affected?
- How will they be affected?

There is no impact on any of the protected characteristic groups as any agreed pay award will be applied in accordance with MedPay terms and conditions of employment.

## 5 What actions can be taken to mitigate likely adverse impacts, improve equality of opportunity or foster good relations?

- Are there alternative providers?
- What alternative ways can the Council provide the service?
- Can demand for services be managed differently?

### Not applicable

### 6 Action plan

Actions to mitigate adverse impact, improve equality of opportunity or foster good relations and/or obtain new evidence

Action	Lead	Deadline
		or
		review



## Diversity impact assessment

	date
Not applicable	

#### 7 Recommendation

The recommendation by the lead officer should be stated below. This may be:

- to proceed with the change implementing action plan if appropriate
- consider alternatives
- gather further evidence

If the recommendation is to proceed with the change and there are no actions that can be taken to mitigate likely adverse impact, it is important to state why.

### Not applicable

#### 8 Authorisation

**Transformation** 

The authorising officer is consenting that:

- the recommendation can be implemented
- sufficient evidence has been obtained and appropriate mitigation is planned
- the Action Plan will be incorporated into service plan and monitored.

Assistant Carrie McKenzie Director -

Date 16 November 2018

Contact your Performance and Intelligence hub for advice on completing this assessment

RCC: phone 2443 email: annamarie.lawrence@medway.gov.uk
C&A: phone 1031 email: paul.clarke@medway.gov.uk

BSD: phone 2472 or 1490 email: corppi@medway.gov.uk
PH: phone 2636 email: david.whiting@medway.gov.uk

Send completed assessment to the Corporate Performance & Intelligence Hub (CPI) for web publication