

CABINET

6 FEBRUARY 2018

OPTIONS FOR THE REPROVISIONING OF THE PROPERTY PREVIOUSLY ACCOMMODATING THE AUT EVEN SERVICE

Portfolio Holder: Councillor Andrew Mackness, Children's Services (Lead Member)

Report from: Ian Sutherland, Director of Children and Adults Services

Author(s): Jackie Wood, Head of Provider Services

Rachael Horner, Partnership Commissioning Programme Lead

for Looked After Children

Carl Burton, Interim Children's Programme Lead

Summary

The Cabinet requested a report setting out the business case for the future use of Aut Even in more detail, to include potential use for supported accommodation and other options (decision no. 142/2017 refers).

This report outlines three options considered for the use of the property: using it as Supported Accommodation for young people in care, using it as provision for parent and child placements, and disposing of the property.

The Cabinet is requested to agree the recommendation to change the use of Aut Even to a supported accommodation unit to increase the pool of available homes for Young People/Care Leavers in Medway.

1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 Local Authorities have a statutory duty to "take steps to secure as far as reasonably practicable, sufficient accommodation within the local authority's area which meets the needs of children the local authority is looking after, and whose circumstances are such that it would be consistent with their welfare for them to be provided with accommodation that is within the local authority's area."

- 1.2 This includes places for young people requiring Supported Accommodation, placements for parents and their children pending decisions relating to parental assessment, and also "Short Break" placements for disabled children and their carers.
- 1.3 Following initial consideration by the Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 5 December 2017, the Cabinet made a number of decisions on 19 December 2017 in relation to Overnight Short Breaks Provision for Children with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities. As part of the decisions made at this meeting, the Cabinet agreed to a further report being presented to the Cabinet setting out the business case for the future use of Aut Even in more detail, which will include potential use for supported accommodation and other options (decision no. 142/2017 refers).
- 1.4 This report has been included on the Forward Plan in accordance with Section 8 (key decisions) of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 and Rule 14 (publicity in connection with key decisions) of the Council's Access to Information Rules, as set out in the Constitution. However, this report has been circulated separately to the main agenda. Therefore, the Cabinet is asked to accept this report as urgent to enable officers to proceed with implementation of the preferred option at the earliest opportunity.

2. Background

- 2.1 Following the decision to transition the short breaks service to Parklands, this leaves the Aut Even property open for repurposing. This paper considers three options: using it as Supported Accommodation for young people in care, using it as provision for parent and child placements, and disposing of the property.
- 2.1 Aut Even is currently a 5 bedded facility for disabled children. The transitioning short breaks service is being provided within a building that has been adapted over the years in an attempt to meet the needs of children and young people with complex needs and disabilities. There are a number of structural challenges which prevent the service being provided to children with specific needs, it is also preventing the service from expanding. In addition, further DDA compliance issues have been identified; along with the need for a new fire escape.
- 2.2 The vacant property therefore comprises:
 - a spacious 2 floor property based in a pleasant residential area of Twydall in Medway;
 - limited off road parking (2 vehicles at front of property);
 - situated in a residential area with neighbours next door on both sides and across the road:
 - history of concern with neighbours relating to historic usage of property;
 - property is clean and has a lot of various areas for safe storage however décor basic, industrial and not particularly homely;

- good size modern kitchen (newly refurbished) equipped with necessary appliances including good size range cooker and breakfast island – ideal for group cooking;
- large enclosed well maintained garden fairly secluded garden on a flat area;
- a number of sheds and storage areas within the garden, including a wood built large outhouse (shed like) with heating and electricity – suitable for games room;
- 5 large double bedrooms + I single room large enough for desk and single bed;
- a number of rooms off a spacious communal living room area –
 which are currently office space and a sensory room;
- · spacious conservatory area; and
- no CCTV.

3. Advice and analysis - options appraisal

Option 1 - Use of property as Supported Accommodation

- 3.1 Medway Council has a need and duty to secure a sufficient range of good quality Supported Accommodation and floating support that is tailored to meet the individual needs of young people. As such Medway is in the process of identifying local and cost effective accommodation solutions to increase its pool of options that it can offer to its care leavers. The reprovisioning of existing properties such as Aut Even fits within this context.
- 3.2 Supported Accommodation is a crucial part of achieving a successful transition to independent living for young people leaving care. Especially for those young people with high or additional needs. Supported Accommodation provides support and stability for the young people, many of whom have little or no support apart from Local Authority Services and need a safe and supportive environment to handle this crucial transition.
- 3.3 Placing young people with challenging behaviour and limited supervision in a residential area that is already sensitised to disruption from the previous service is placing them in a situation that carries a high risk of negative community relationships. It is undesirable to start their adult life off with a potentially negative life experience. Existing Supported Accommodation placements in other residential areas have experienced difficulties within their surrounding communities and some complaints have been received. Ensuring that this service is correctly situated is a critical factor.
- 3.4 The specification of the provision of Supported Accommodation must consider the potential varying support needs of the young people leaving care. To adequately meet these needs a mixed economy of housing options will be required. Subject to market engagement and the procurement process, these have been identified and broken down into 5 main categories which are as follows:

- A. Emergency Crisis Care
- **B.** Stabilisation
- C. Development and training
- D. Semi-independent living
- E. Therapeutic
- 3.5 Of the five above indicated categories, four will involve accommodating and supporting young people with moderate to high levels of need frequently accompanied by challenging and difficult behaviour. The quiet and residential nature of the Aut Even setting is incompatible with these. The only category where it could be considered to be conducive to the Aut Even setting could be Category C:
- 3.5.1 Category C: Development and Training this is accommodation which supports the training and development of the individual in preparation for moving to independent living. Each respective placement should have a time span of 6-12months with support offered to the individual at a minimum of 10 hours per week. Some on-site supervision will be in place to oversee building issues only.
- 3.5.2 The estimated volume of Category C units currently is 5 units this would be a single block of 5 living units with the facility of an additional unit for staff and training facilities, ideally with a communal area for residents. This would need to be situated within a residential area close to transport links and local amenities.
- 3.6 To convert the current usage of Aut Even to a repurposed use would require a capital investment of £106,245 as detailed in the table below. Officers are currently identifying capital resources to meet this cost.

Capital costs	Estimate
Fit fire escape and updated alarm system	£ 50,000
Dropped kerb and parking space provision	£ 35,000
Technology uplift	£ 3,500
Re-fit laundry area	£ 1,000
Re-furbish and repurpose area used as staff	
sleeping accommodation	£ 500
Professional fees (builders etc)	£ 16,245
Total estimated capital investment	£106,245

- 3.7 The overall costs of running Aut Even as an accommodation facility only would be approximately £26,225. This would cover all building related costs such as electricity and other utilities, council tax as well as include an amount of circa £8000 for repairs and refurbishment per annum. It excludes all internal recharges.
- 3.8 To repurpose Aut Even as Supported Accommodation for young people requiring the Category C type of accommodation would mean 4 or 5 young people living there who have low level of needs. They would be in or attempting be in education, training or employment and therefore not at home for large sections of the day. They would be in

placements for 6-12 months before moving on to independent accommodation.

- 3.9 They would require some level of support most especially in the evenings. The support could be commissioned in from another provider or alternatively provided in house. Weekly support could either be offered individually for 10-15 hours per week or provided through a drop-in format support to the house residents in general. Using a guide price of £20 per hour, annual support costs of 10 -15 hours per week would be between £10,400-£15,600 for support to the house. Support to five individuals would come to £52,000 annually. These costs, as well as demand modelling, need further scoping if this option is agreed. However, there is the potential to have provision which will be significantly more cost effective that we currently purchase.
- 3.10 As the unit would be offering semi-independent accommodation with support hours coming into the unit there would be no staffing costs to running the unit.

		Option 1A – house support	Option 1B – individual support
	One-off Cost	Annual	Annual
Refurbishment costs	£106,245	n/a	n/a
Building costs	-	£26,225	£26,225
Staffing costs	n/a	n/a	n/a
Support	-	£10,400-£15,600	£52000
TOTAL	£106,245	£36,625-£41,825	78,225
Cost per unit		£141-£161 per week (5 residents)	£301 per week (5 residents)

- 3.11 Based on the above annual costs, an individual unit can be estimated by taking the total annual cost divided by the number of residents per week. This gives an estimated cost per unit as shown in the table.
- 3.12 The current range of externally commissioned costs per placement per week is between £300 and £3000. If the higher outlier costs are taken out of the equation (two placements at £1,300, one at £1,900 and one at £3,000 per week), the average cost per placement is £587 per week. These costs include floating support provision.
- 3.13 The estimated cost per unit for the in house provision therefore compares favourably with the cost per unit of the externally commissioned service.
- 3.14 Potential annual costs could be as below although this does need further modelling.

Residents		Unit cost pw	Total annual cost	Potential annual saving compared to externally commissioned price
5	External average	£587	£152,620	
5	In house option with individual support	£301	£78,260	£74,360
5	In house with house support	£161	£41,860	£110,760

- 3.15 A variance on the above could be that the units for residential use are reduced to maximum of 3 units with the remaining space utilised to provide an open drop-in and contact facility for Care Leavers from across Medway. Although this would provide a dedicated provision for Care Leavers, there are several objections to this option.
 - It is highly unlikely to be acceptable to *any* young person to reside on top of a publically accessible drop-in centre.
 - It would not be advisable to provide open drop-in provision in a residential area, the nature of the open drop-in could be a significant disturbance to local residents. It is also unlikely the facility will be used to a point to warrant such a provision, due to location, travel considerations for those attending and the fact that the facility would be viewed as a place of residence, which may discourage many care leavers from attending. It is noted though that the cost of this type of provision would be the same as detailed above, as support costs in this case would equate to the cost of staffing the drop-in aspect as well as offering support to the residence.

3.16 Benefits

Using Aut Even as in-house Supported Accommodation would:

- increase the pool of accommodation available for Medway's young people and/or care leavers;
- provide a location for a drop-in service for care leavers; and
- potentially contribute savings to placement budgets.

3.17 **Risks**

The risks of using Aut Even as in-house Supported Accommodation are:

the risk of creating conflict with residents may be high;

- the risk of creating a negative experience for care leavers may be high; and
- the risk of under-utilisation of the drop-in centre would be high.
- 3.18 Option 1 is the preferred option. A Diversity Impact Assessment has been carried out and is set out in Appendix One.

4 Option 2 - Use of property for parent & child placements

- 4.1 A second consideration is the utilisation of Aut Even as a Parent and Child Placement Unit. It should be noted however that to utilise the facility in this way fundamentally changes the approach to how this facility is managed and would be subject to the Care Standards Act 2000, Residential Family Centres Regulations 2002 and the National Minimum Standards.
- 4.2 To use Aut Even to house care leavers with children in a communal setting and provide them with 10 hours of support per week brings significant accompanying problems. The assessed needs of the individuals and their child/ren placed there would have to be very low and even then in a communal setting and in close proximity with other families in need, the matching of families together becomes incredibly difficult due to the range of safeguarding concerns that would need to be addressed.
- 4.3 Currently commissioned housing-related support for those mothers and babies who need low levels of support in their own accommodation (Elisabeth Court) costs the Authority on average £109 per week. This option has the parent as a tenant in her own right and in a contract for 2 years, whereas residing at Aut Even would require the parent to share space with potentially 5 other families.
- 4.4 The next higher cost for Parent and Child placements is the use of Assessment Independent Fostering Agencies (IFA) placements. The average cost of an IFA Parent and Child placement is £1,300 per week. Aut Even could not supply this service as it would not be placing within a family context.
- 4.5 The highest cost for Parent and Child Assessment (P&C) placements however, is for residential placements which average £3,625 per week. The demand for residential P&C placements however is very low with only 3 placements occurring within the last year at a total cost to the Local Authority, of approximately £120,000.
- 4.6 If Aut Even was solely used for Parent and Child Assessment Placements this makes it a regulated facility subject to Ofsted inspection and therefore would require a much higher level of staffing and supervision to meet all of the legislative requirements and would therefore increase the costs significantly.
- 4.7 The estimated revenue cost for Aut Even as a residential Parent and Child Assessment facility is approximately £286,172, per annum which includes basic staffing, clinical staff, building costs and repairs and replacement budget.

- 4.8 This would therefore be the cost as a minimum to the Local Authority irrespective of the demand for this type of placement. In addition the capital investment cost of £106,245, would be the minimum cost as increased capital alterations and refurbishment would be required to ensure the facility is suitable for this type of placement. With regards to cost effectiveness, this option is not cost effective to the Local Authority as the demand is not sufficient to require a dedicated facility of this type.
- 4.9 Having a permanent Parent and Child Assessment Unit, would also be against the preferred option of having those with high need placed in a family environment. This option would also effectively remove the use of the facility as supported accommodation for care leavers and other young people. To utilise the facility in this way would therefore not only increase annual costs to the Local Authority but the Authority would also still require the supported accommodation provision commissioned at a higher cost than what could potentially be provided by Aut Even, as stated earlier.

4.10 Benefits

Using Aut Even as in-house Parent & Child placement unit would:

 increase the pool of accommodation available for Medway's young people and/or care leavers especially those with families

4.11 Risks

The risks of using Aut Even as an in-house parent and child facility are:

- there is a risk that placements might be made to this facility rather than within a family setting which is the preferred policy option
- there is a risk that demand may not be sufficient to sustain full capacity therefore making the facility inefficient.

4.12 **Costs**

	One-off Cost	Annual
Costs fit for purpose	£106,245	£0
Revenue costs	£0	£286,172
TOTAL	£106,245	£286,172

5. Option 3 – dispose of property

- 5.1 The final option would be to dispose of Aut Even as a provision held by the Local Authority. The current estimated value of the building on the open market is in the order of £350,000.
- 5.2 There would also be the associated savings year on year of disposing of the facility's revenue costs which are, as stated, estimated to be £26,225 excluding internal service charges.

5.3 **Benefits**

This option would allow the Council to divest itself of a service potentially running at a loss.

5.4 **Risks**

There may be a risk that the property does not realise the market value indicated.

5.5 **Cost**

There would be a positive short term financial gain to this option.

6. Risk management

Risk	Description	Action to avoid or mitigate risk	Risk rating
There is a risk that setting the service up as Supported Accommodation may generate complaints from residents	Residents may take exception to the more challenging behaviour of some care leavers	Target the service at care leavers where the level of need is low and ability to live in quiet neighbourhood	C2
There is a risk that setting up as a drop-in centre may not be well-attended by care leavers AND generate complaints	The site is not central and care leavers are across the whole area with limited transportation	This is highly likely to be an issue rather than a risk and therefore no mitigation is envisaged	B2
There is a risk that the property doesn't fetch the asking price on the open market	The risk is that the price obtained for the property will be lower than that indicated in paragraph 5.1.	Ensure that internal Valuation and Asset team are included in determining market value before advertising	D3

7. Consultation

7.1 No consultation has been done as yet. Young people will be consulted regarding location for a drop-in centre; also for their views on converting the property to in-house Supported Accommodation; and as a matter of course during the procurement of the new Supported Accommodation Framework.

8. Financial implications

- 8.1 The financial implications are contained in the main report above.
- 8.2 The costs and savings associated with each of the three proposed options have been summarised in the table below.

	One-off Capital Costs	Annual Revenue Costs	Annual Revenue Saving	Disposal Costs Return
Option 1	£106,245	£36,625 to £78,225	(£74,360 to £110,760)	£0
Option 2	£106,245	£286,172	(£166,172)	£0
Option 3	£0	£26,225	£0	(£350,000)

9. Legal implications

9.1 The legal implications are set out within the report.

10. Recommendation

- 10.1 The Cabinet is asked to agree Option 1 to the change the use of Aut Even to a supported accommodation unit to increase the pool of available homes for Young People/Care Leavers in Medway, as set out in section 3 of the report, subject to suitable capital financing identified.
- 10.2 The Cabinet is asked to delegate authority to the Director of Children and Adults Services in consultation with the Chief Finance Officer and the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services (Lead Member) to determine the exact specification of the Supported Accommodation service within the agreed budget for the service and following consultation with service users.

11. Suggested reasons for decision

- 11.1 The other options explored, bar disposal of Aut Even, are not cost effective, would add ongoing revenue liabilities to the Local Authority, be potentially against best practice and not provide the best environment for the needs of the individual and/or young person.
- 11.2 Utilising the Aut Even building as Supported Accommodation will in part assist the Council to fulfil its legislative duties for Care Leavers by providing good quality accommodation which is fit for purpose and will help maintain local young people in a local resource where they can easily access leaving care services and sustain their links to the local community.

Lead officer contact

Jackie Wood, Head of Provider Services, Broadside, Leviathan Way.

Tel: 01634 331241

E Mail: jackie.wood@medway.gov.uk

Appendices

Appendix 1: Diversity Impact Assessment

Background papers

None



Appendix 1

TITLE Name / description of the issue being assessed	Reprovisioning of the property previously accommodating the Aut Even service
DATE Date the DIA is completed	24/01/18
LEAD OFFICER Name, title and dept of person responsible for carrying out the DIA.	Rachael Horner Partnership Commissioning Programme Lead on Looked After Children

1 Summary description of the proposed change

- What is the change to policy / service / new project that is being proposed?
- How does it compare with the current situation?

The proposal is to the change the use of the Aut Even property to a semiindependent supported accommodation unit to increase the pool of available homes for Young People/Care Leavers in Medway.

The property is currently being used to provide a short breaks facility and service to families and children with disabilities.

2 Summary of evidence used to support this assessment

- Eg: Feedback from consultation, performance information, service user records etc.
- Eg: Comparison of service user profile with Medway Community Profile

The following report(s) were used

- Report to Overview and Scrutiny
 "Overnight Short Breaks provision for children with special educational needs and disabilities - outcome of the consultation and business case"
- Knowledge of placements subject area
- Discussion with care leavers team manager

3 What is the likely impact of the proposed change?

Is it likely to:

- Adversely impact on one or more of the protected characteristic groups?
- Advance equality of opportunity for one or more of the protected characteristic groups?
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't?

	-	/				
(insert	V	in	one	or	more	boxes

Protected characteristic groups (Equality Act 2010)	Adverse impact	Advance equality	Foster good relations
Age		V	
Disabilty			
Gender reassignment			



Marriage/civil partnership		
Pregnancy/maternity		
Race		
Religion/belief		
Sex		
Sexual orientation		
Other (eg low income groups)	$\sqrt{}$	

4 Summary of the likely impacts

- · Who will be affected?
- How will they be affected?

Looked After Children

There is a currently a lack of appropriate and available accommodation for Looked After Children over 16 years old. If the property at Aut Even is turned into in house semi-independent accommodation it will improve the availability of suitable accommodation for this very vulnerable population.

Medway staff

Children's social workers and Care Leavers team will need to be aware of the service, provide suitable individuals to be matched to others and placed together.

Local residents

The neighbourhood is a very residential one. On the whole the relationship with neighbours has been quite positive. The nature of the children currently placed there mean that there are very few issues that would impact on the daily lives of the local community. This could be very different with care leavers as their needs are quite different. In other local supported accommodation provision Medway has experienced complaints from neighbours arising from the disruptive behaviour of young people who have limited hours of supervision. In some instances the young people have been disruptive and at other times they have been subject to potential discrimination from the surrounding community



5 What actions can be taken to mitigate likely adverse impacts, improve equality of opportunity or foster good relations?

- What alternative ways can the Council provide the service?
- Are there alternative providers?
- Can demand for services be managed differently?

Semi-independent supported accommodation for young people is usually procured externally through a Framework. The current Framework is coming to an end in November 2018 and will be re-procured. As the needs of young people are diverse, a spectrum of service needs to be secured and therefore all needs will be accounted for within the procurement. This allows the LA to be certain that it will be able to ensure sufficiency of provision for its young people. By seeking to provide an element of this through an in-house service, the LA is hoping to alleviate the pressure on its resources and maximise its ability to support young people in its care.

It is possible to mitigate against the risk of the disruption to the community from the young people by ensuring that the service is accessed by young people with lower levels of need who are actively engaged in progressing their education or employment and are therefore driven to succeed at living in the community. It is less easy to ensure that the young people are not themselves discriminated against by the community. Should this occur it could result in a negative life experience for the young people which would be an unfortunate and undesirable way to begin their independent life. Nevertheless, a positive experience of the young people initially placed there would serve to reduce any potential misgivings and wariness on behalf of the neighbouring community.

6 Action plan

 Actions to mitigate adverse impact, improve equality of opportunity or foster good relations and/or obtain new evidence

Action	Lead	Deadline or review date
To ensure that the specification for the in house supported accommodation service at Aut Even is targeted at a cohort of young people with lower levels of need and that young people are matched in such a way as to reduce the likelihood of community disruption.	Childre n's service s	Commence ment of new service



7 Recommendation

The recommendation by the lead officer should be stated below. This may be:

- to proceed with the change, implementing the Action Plan if appropriate
- consider alternatives
- gather further evidence

If the recommendation is to proceed with the change and there are no actions that can be taken to mitigate likely adverse impact, it is important to state why.

It is recommended to proceed with the change while ensuring that the appropriate mitigations of risk are in place.

8 Authorisation

The authorising officer is consenting that:

- the recommendation can be implemented
- sufficient evidence has been obtained and appropriate mitigation is planned
- the Action Plan will be incorporated into the relevant Service Plan and monitored

Assistant Director

Date 25/01/18

Contact your Performance and Intelligence hub for advice on completing this assessment

RCC: phone 2443 email: annamarie.lawrence@medway.gov.uk

C&A (Children's Social Care): contact your usual P&I contact

C&A (all other areas):

BSD:

phone 4013
phone 2472/1490
phone 2636

email: jackie.brown@medway.gov.uk
email: corppi@medway.gov.uk
email: david.whiting@medway.gov.uk