

**Medway Council**  
**Meeting of Health and Wellbeing Board**  
**Tuesday, 30 June 2015**  
**4.00pm to 6.15pm**

**Record of the meeting**

**Subject to approval as an accurate record at the next meeting of this committee**

- Present:** Councillor David Brake, Portfolio Holder for Adult Services  
Councillor Adrian Gulvin, Portfolio Holder for Resources  
Councillor Anne-Claire Howard  
Councillor Andrew Mackness, Portfolio Holder for Corporate Services  
Dr Alison Barnett, Director of Public Health  
Barbara Peacock, Director of Children and Adults Services  
Ian Sutherland, Deputy Director, Children and Adult Services  
Alison Burchell, Chief Operating Officer, NHS Medway Clinical Commissioning Group  
Dr Peter Green, Chief Clinical Officer, NHS Medway Clinical Commissioning Group
- Substitutes:** Heidi Butcher, Healthwatch Manager Medway
- In Attendance:** Sue Brunton-Reed, Improvement Programme Manager  
Justin Chisnall, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, Medway CCG  
Lesley Dwyer, Chief Executive, Medway NHS Foundation Trust  
Wayne Haywood, Interim Programme Manager, Care Act  
Angela McNab, Chief Executive, Kent and Medway NHS and Social Care Partnership Trust  
Stephen Platt, Democratic Services Officer  
Simon Plummer, MSCB Business Manager  
Dr Saloni Zaveri, Consultant in Public Health Medicine

**71 Election of Chairman**

Councillor Brake was elected as Chairman for the forthcoming year.

**72 Election of Vice-Chairman**

Dr Peter Green was elected as Vice-Chairman for the forthcoming year.

**73 Statement by the Chairman**

On behalf of the Board, the Chairman expressed his thanks to Councillor Mackness, the former Chairman of the Health and Wellbeing Board, and

## **Health and Wellbeing Board, 30 June 2015**

highlighted his achievements as Chairman over the past 2 years. He said that Councillor Mackness had been instrumental in building firm foundations for the Board as it progressed from Shadow Board status by effectively promoting the importance of health and wellbeing across the Medway community.

The Chairman also paid tribute to the former Vice-Chairman of the Board, Dr Gill Fargher, who was standing down for personal reasons. On behalf of the Board, the Chairman thanked her for her contribution to both the Shadow Board and the formal Health and Wellbeing Board and wished her well for the future.

### **74 Record of meeting**

The record of the meeting held on 12 March 2015 was agreed and signed by the Chairman.

### **75 Apologies for absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Doe, Maple and O'Brien, Dr Gill Fargher of Medway CCG, Pennie Ford of NHS England (South East) and Mike Parks of Kent Local Medical Committee.

### **76 Declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests and other interests**

#### Disclosable pecuniary interests

There were none.

#### Other interests

There were none.

### **77 Urgent matters by reason of special circumstances**

There were none.

### **78 Medway Health and Wellbeing Board: Review of 2014/15**

#### **Discussion:**

The Consultant in Public Health presented a report which provided information on progress made by the Health and Wellbeing Board during 2014/15. Board members were directed to Appendix 1 to the report which provided a narrative summary of progress against Medway's Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy (JHWS) priority actions for 2014/15, and a number of key achievements were highlighted. With reference to Public Health Indicators for the HWB set out in Appendix 2 to the report, the HWB was advised that Indicators 115-127 referred to vaccinations within the routine childhood immunisation schedule. These showed a decline in the uptake of childhood vaccinations in Medway since 2013 and the trends were the subject of ongoing investigations by Public Health England, supported by Medway's Public Health Directorate.

## **Health and Wellbeing Board, 30 June 2015**

With regard to its key priority area for 2015/16, Out of Hospital Care, the HWB noted that a multi-stakeholder event had been held on 16 June 2015 to explore the wider support needs for older people in the community. The outcomes would be reported to the next meeting of the Board.

The report proposed that the Protocol for working with other Boards, which set out arrangements to ensure effective co-ordination and coherence in the work of a number of Boards/partnerships be extended to include the Community Safety Partnership.

During discussion, the following points were made:

- The Health and Wellbeing Board had made good progress in a number of areas.
- The Better Care Fund provided a good opportunity to make the best use of public funding.
- The Board should seek opportunities to embrace technology at a strategic level.
- All NHS vanguard sites were putting in place information sharing agreements and increased use of technology was part of that initiative.
- The addition of the Community Safety Partnership to the Protocol for working with other Boards was welcomed.
- The higher than average cancer mortality rates within Medway was of concern and re-assurance was sought that this challenge was being addressed locally. It was explained that a specific concern was late presentation of cancers; local co-ordination of national campaigns had proved very effective in raising awareness of symptoms and prompting GP consultation. Medway had successfully piloted the Macmillan risk assessment tool supporting GPs in assessing patients presenting with symptoms which might be cancer related. NICE had issued guidelines for cancer referrals.

### **Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board:

- (a) considered the information provided in the report and gave comments during the discussion as set out above; and
- (b) agreed in principle to include the Community Safety Partnership in the Protocol for working with other Boards.

## **79 Health Care for the Armed Forces**

### **Discussion:**

The Chairman explained that no-one from NHS England (South East) had been available to attend the meeting to introduce this item. However, an information report had been provided to enable Board members to identify issues for further

discussion at the next meeting when a representative of the NHS Armed Forces commissioning function would be in attendance.

Referring to the paragraph within the report that outlined NHS England commissioning arrangements, the Director of Public Health advised that only NHS England, and not Local Authorities, had responsibility for commissioning screening.

Board members expressed their strong interest in this issue in view of Medway's association with the Royal Engineers and also the number of retired service personnel resident within the area.

**Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board noted the report and welcomed the attendance of an appropriate representative to the next meeting of the Board to enable a full discussion of the issues.

**80 Care Act Update**

**Discussion:**

The Interim Programme Manager updated the Board on the Care Act in Medway and outlined the next steps and actions going forward. This included improved information and advice through a new web site portal and revised deferred payment arrangements which will be presented to Cabinet for approval on 7 July 2015.

Clarification was sought regarding arrangements to meet the care and support needs of inmates in Medway's two prisons. It was noted that to date there had been 4 referrals and national modelling had revealed that the number of expected referrals was low. Nevertheless, staff had been vetted and trained in readiness for any increase in numbers that may arise. The Director of Children and Adult Services added that nationally, demand would rise as the prison population aged. However, Cookham Wood was a young offenders institute and HMP Rochester had a mix of younger and adult prisoners and unless this position changed, no issues were envisaged.

In response to a question regarding the resettlement of those released from prison, it was explained that decisions on whether to resettle a released prisoner to their previous area, to Medway, or to another area rested with the prison authorities. If resettlement was within Medway then the responsibility for providing care needs rested with the Council; if it was within another area, the Council was responsible for notifying the other area.

With regard to number of carers coming forward for assessment, it had been estimated that there were around 1,800 carers in Medway that had not previously come to the Council's attention. During April and May 2015, 150 new carers had sought assessment and the figure for June was a further 88. As

these applications were processed a better understanding of carers' eligibility and support needs would be gained.

**Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board noted the report.

**81 Quality Premium**

The Deputy Chief Operating Officer, Medway CCG, presented a report outlining the composition and application of the Quality Premium during 2015/16. The report also set out the proposal for the selection of indicators for Medway CCG for measurement in 2015/16 as submitted to NHS England on 14 May 2015.

It was noted that the maximum quality premium payment for a CCG was expressed as £5 per head of population based on the quality of services commissioned by them. If targets were achieved the funding would be released during 2016/17. Quality Premium measures included:

- Reducing potential years of life lost through causes considered amenable to healthcare (10% of available quality premium).
- Urgent and emergency care (30%)
- Mental health (30%)
- Improving antibiotic prescribing in primary and secondary care (10%)
- Two local priority measures (10% each).

The two local priority quality premium measures selected by Medway CCG were increased access to spirometry testing services in primary care and a reduction in acquired (when under the care of a healthcare provider) and unacquired (pre-existing) grade 2 and above ulcers, as reported in the Safety Thermometer.

With regard to the Urgent Care measure selected by Medway CCG, this being an increase in the number of patients admitted for non-elective reasons who are discharged at weekends and bank holidays, clarification was sought on how realistic and achievable the target was. The Chief Operating Officer of Medway CCG responded that this was a priority area for all partners and a discharge improvement programme was in place at Medway NHS Foundation Trust.

Noting that one of the two Mental Health measure selected concerned the reduction in the number of people with severe mental illness who were currently smokers, it was questioned whether there were not higher priority areas. In response, the Chief Clinical Officer of Medway CCG highlighted the importance of dealing with the physical health of people with mental illness to ensure that their life span was not shortened.

Other points raised during the discussion were as follows:

- The second Mental Health measure selected, which sought to increase the proportion of adults in contact with secondary mental health services who are in paid employment, was welcomed and linked with the Council's initiative to increase the number of apprenticeships. It was also a key performance indicator within the KMPT contract.
- The Quality Performance measures selected by Medway CCG were consistent with those of Medway NHS Foundation Trust.
- Where targets were not being met in a particular area, commissioners, providers and other partners would work collaboratively to seek the necessary improvements.

**Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board endorsed the quality premium measures adopted from the Urgent Care and Mental Health menus and those selected for the two local priorities.

**82 National Diabetes Prevention Programme**

**Discussion:**

The Director of Public Health introduced a report advising the Board that Medway Council and Medway CCG had been selected as a demonstrator site for the implementation of the National Diabetes Prevention programme. The submitted joint proposal built on existing initiatives to identify people at high risk of diabetes and offer them intensive lifestyle change programmes to reduce their risk.

**Discussion:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board noted the report and congratulated both organisations on this successful submission.

**83 Update on Progress in relation to the Children's Safeguarding Improvement Notice**

The Improvement Programme Manager presented a report which summarised progress made on the implementation of Medway's Improvement Plan and outlined the priorities for the updated Plan which drove improvement work across Children's Services during 2015-16. A summary of the revised Improvement Plan was provided for the Board's information. The Plan outlined key outcomes and high level actions, taking into account the findings of Peer Reviews, visits by the Chair of Improvement Board and review visits by the Department of Education. A recent DfE visit had resulted in formal recognition of the progress made.

The Chairman reported that, together with Councillor O'Brien, he had attended the launch of a programme for young people who had previously offended. This had highlighted the practice whereby insurance companies required foster

patents to acquire a crime number if their property was damaged by a vulnerable child in their care.

**Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board noted the progress made and agreed to support the continued implementation of the Improvement Plan.

**84 Medway Safeguarding Children Board (MSCB) Update Report**

**Discussion:**

The Medway Safeguarding Children Board (MSCB) Business Manager presented a report that updated the Health and Wellbeing Board on the work of the MSCB and provided an update on the Peer Review undertaken in February 2015. It was noted that the MSCB's Annual Report for 2014-15 was currently under development and would be presented to the HWB after October 2015 following approval by the MSCB Executive and Board.

The HWB was advised that the LGA Peer Review team had identified a number of strengths and the MSCB Executive was currently developing a Response Plan to address identified areas for development. These would be incorporated into the Action and Improvement Plan, the latest version of which was presented as an appendix to the report for the HWB's consideration.

To enhance the free flow of information, it was suggested that consideration be given to the further extension of the Protocol for working with other Boards to incorporate the MSCB. It was also suggested that further work be undertaken on the issue of gang culture. In response to a question regarding female genital mutilation the MSCB Business Manager confirmed that he had been in contact with Medway NHS Foundation Trust regarding relevant data.

**Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board considered the contents of the update report and provided comments on the draft MSCB Action and Improvement Plan during the discussion as set out above.

**85 Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults Annual Report**

The Deputy Director, Children and Adults Services presented a report which summarised Medway Council's response to the new duties and requirements under the Care Act 2014 and adult safeguarding activities undertaken by the Council during 2014/2015. The HWB noted that the Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board was currently approving a 3 year strategy with a view to improving outcomes for those subject to a safeguarding enquiry.

The HWB commented favourably on the proposal that the Council should establish a Safeguarding Adult Board, recognising that it would allow a clearer focus on the specific safeguarding needs of vulnerable adults in Medway and

## **Health and Wellbeing Board, 30 June 2015**

would also enable closer collaboration with the Medway Safeguarding Children's Board on shared areas of activity.

### **Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing noted the contents of the report and endorsed the proposal to establish a Medway Safeguarding Adults Board.

## **86 Work Programme**

### **Discussion:**

The Board considered the latest version of its work programme and proposed a number of amendments.

### **Decision:**

The Health and Wellbeing Board agreed that:

- (a) a report on the CCG's application for delegated commissioning be added to the work programme for the meeting on 15 September 2015. Prior to this, a briefing paper would be provided in mid to late August;
- (b) the report on the Community Safety Partnership Action Plan be moved to the meeting on 3 November 2015;
- (c) the Deputy Director, Children and Adult Services establish whether the report on the Children's Action Network Action Plan would to be presented at the meeting on 15 September; and
- (d) that quarterly informal meetings of the HWB would be arranged.

### **Chairman**

### **Date:**

### **Stephen Platt, Democratic Services Officer**

Telephone: 01634 332011

Email: [democratic.services@medway.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@medway.gov.uk)