Medway Council Meeting of Planning Committee Wednesday, 10 September 2014 6.30pm to 9.55pm

Record of the meeting

Subject to approval as an accurate record at the next meeting of this committee

Present: Councillors: Avey, Baker, Bowler, Carr (Vice-Chairman),

Mrs Diane Chambers (Chairman), Gilry, Christine Godwin,

Griffin, Griffiths, Adrian Gulvin, Hubbard, Iles, Purdy, Royle and

Smith

Substitutes: Councillors:

Hicks (Substitute for Mackness)

In Attendance: Amanda Berger-North, Locum Legal Representative

Chris Butler, Planning Manager - West

Michael Edwards, Principal Transport Planner

Simon Fowler, Planner

Dave Harris, Head of Planning

Mark Lawson, Environmental Services Manager Danielle Matthews, Derelict Buildings Officer

Councillor Kelly Tolhurst

Councillor Les Wicks - Ward Councillor Ellen Wright, Democratic Services Officer

291 Record of meeting

The record of the meeting held on 13 August 2014 was agreed and signed by the Chairman as correct.

292 Apologies for absence

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Mackness.

293 Urgent matters by reason of special circumstances

There were none.

294 Chairman's announcements

At the commencement of the meeting, the Chairman announced that the following planning applications had been withdrawn from consideration at this meeting:

Planning application MC/14/1484 – Corporation Street Car Park, Corporation Street, Rochester

Planning application MC/14/1418 – Flanders Farm, Ratcliffe Highway, St Mary Hoo, Rochester

Planning application MC/14/1429 – Flanders Farm, Ratcliffe Highway, St Mary Hoo, Rochester

Planning application MC/14/1555 – Land adjacent to 4 Berengrave Lane, Rainham.

295 Declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests and other interests

Disclosable pecuniary interests

There were none.

Other interests

Councillor Smith referring to planning application MC/14/1599 (Former Temple High School, Cliffe Road, Strood, Rochester) informed the Committee that as she was a Governor of Abbey Court School, she would withdraw from the Committee and not take part in the discussion or voting on this planning application.

296 Planning application MC/13/3301 - Restoration House, 17 Crow Lane, Rochester ME1 1RF

Discussion:

The Committee was reminded that this application had been the subject of a site visit on 3 September 2014 at which the Planning Manager (West) had explained the proposed development, summarised the material planning representations received and set out the issues that needed to be considered as they related to matters of principle; the impact of the development on the character, appearance and setting of the listed buildings/structures and the conservation areas within which the site was located and adjoined; issues of archaeology; issues related to the residential amenity and issues related to highway safety.

A summary of the pointed raised at the site visit by the applicant's agent, residents and Members were summarised on the supplementary agenda advice sheet.

At the conclusion of the site visit, Members had viewed the site and then looked at the access onto East Row.

The Committee was informed that since despatch of the agenda, further representations had been received from both English Heritage and Kent County

Council Archaeology, details of which were summarised on the supplementary agenda advice sheet along with Officer comments.

Arising from discussions at the site visit, a Member requested clarification as to the ownership of a section of the site and the Planning Manager (West) confirmed that the applicant had supplied certificates as proof of ownership.

The Committee considered the application noting the historic importance of the application site.

Decision:

Approved with condition 1 as set out in the report for the reason stated in the report.

297 Planning application MC/13/3305 - Restoration House, 17 Crow Lane, Rochester ME1 1RF

Discussion:

The Committee was reminded that this application had been the subject of a site visit on 3 September 2014 at which the Planning Manager (West) had explained the proposed development, summarised the material planning representations received and set out the issues that needed to be considered as they related to the impact of the development on the character, appearance and setting of the listed buildings/structures and the conservation areas within which the site was located and adjoined.

A summary of the pointed raised at the site visit by the applicant's agent, residents and Members were summarised on the supplementary agenda advice sheet.

At the conclusion of the site visit, Members had viewed the site and then looked at the access onto East Row.

The Committee was informed that since despatch of the agenda, further representations had been received from both English Heritage and Kent County Council Archaeology, details of which were summarised on the supplementary agenda advice sheet along with Officer comments.

Arising from discussions at the site visit, a Member requested clarification as to the ownership of a section of the site and the Planning Manager (West) confirmed that the applicant had supplied certificates as proof of ownership.

The Committee considered the application noting the historic importance of the application site.

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1 - 3 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report.

298 Planning application MC/14/1484 - Corporation Street Car Park, Corporation Street, Rochester

Decision:

It was noted that consideration of this application had been deferred for further negotiations.

299 Planning application MC/14/1599 - Former Temple High School, Cliffe Road, Strood, Rochester ME2 3DL

Discussion:

The Planner outlined the planning application in detail and informed the Committee that since despatch of the agenda further representations had been received from Sport England, details of which were set out on the supplementary agenda advice sheet along with Officers response.

It was suggested that if the Committee was minded to approve the application, proposed condition 17 be amended and a new condition 29 be approved.

The Committee discussed the application with particular reference to parking provision, access and egress into and out of the site, highway implications and boundary treatment

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1 - 16 and 18 - 28 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report and revised condition 17 and new condition 29 as set out below:

- 17. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in strict accordance with the Construction Environment Management Plan (ref; report dated 19 August 2014) as received on 19 August 2014. Demolition and construction works shall not take place outside the hours of 08:00 to 18:00 hours on Mondays to Fridays and 08:00 to 13:00 on Saturdays with no working on Sundays or Public Holidays
 - Reason: In order to limit the impact of the development on the living conditions of neighbours including with regard to Policy BNE2 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.
- 29. Use of the development shall not commence until a community use agreement prepared in consultation with Sport England has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and a copy of the completed approved agreement has been provided to the Local Planning Authority. The agreement shall apply to the multi

use games area and remaining playing field and include details of pricing policy, hours of use, access by non-educational establishment users, management responsibilities and a mechanism for review, and anything else which the Local Planning Authority in consultation with Sport England considers necessary in order to secure the effective community use of the facility. The development shall not be used at any time other than in strict compliance with the approved agreement.

Reason: To secure well managed safe community access to the sports facility, to ensure sufficient benefit to the development of sport.

300 Planning application MC/14/1760 - Former Temple School, Brompton Farm Road, Strood ME2 3NP

Discussion:

The Planner outlined the planning application and advised the Committee that since despatch of the agenda, one further letter of objection had been received and further representations had been received from Sport England and Kent County Council Biodiversity. Details of these representations were set out on the supplementary agenda advice sheet along with Officer's comments.

It was suggested that if the Committee was minded to approve this application, a new condition 20 be approved.

The Committee discussed the application and referred in particular to the provisions of the proposed Section 106 agreement. Members expressed a preference for some of the funding to be directed locally via Frindsbury Extra Parish Council for instance to meet costs associated with the upkeep of the village hall.

Attention was also drawn to a correction to the wording of element 9 of the proposed Section 106 agreement in that the word 'house' should read 'housing'.

The Principal Transport Planner reassured the Committee that the existing access to the site will be widened and that the site could accommodate two way traffic and was of a sufficient size to accommodate refuse vehicles.

Decision:

Approved subject to

- A) the applicant entering into an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act to secure:
 - i) A contribution of £149,768 towards the improvement and maintenance of outdoor play areas. Part of the contribution towards the improvement and maintenance of outdoor play areas.

- figure to be agreed, to be spent on improved community facilities in agreement with Frindsbury Extra Parish Council,
- ii) A contribution of £8,496.60 towards the upkeep and maintenance of the Great Lines Heritage Park
- iii) A contribution of £273,416.80 towards the costs of new school places in the development catchment area to meet the demands which result from the development
- iv) A contribution of £9,296.28 towards the creation (or upgrade) of youth, community, library and museum provisions
- v) A contribution of £13,600 towards the improvement of local labour opportunities
- vi) A contribution of £31,820.60 towards the investment in local GP practice premises, to support an demands placed by new residents.
- vii) A contribution of £11,213.88 to provide brown bins, reusable bags and waste information leaflets to the new dwellings, to provide new litter bins within the vicinity and to contribute towards a new compactor at Cuxton Household Waste Recover Centre
- viii) A contribution of £16,660 towards public realm improvements in Strood Town Centre
- ix) 25% affordable housing
- B) Conditions 1 19 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report and condition 20 as follows:
 - 20) No development shall take place (including any demolition, ground works, site clearance) until a method statement for the protection of bats and nesting birds during building demolition tree and shrub works has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The content of the method statement shall include the:
 - a) Purpose and objectives for the proposed works;
 - b) Extent and location of sensitive areas and proposed works shown on appropriate scale maps and plans;
 - c) Detailed design(s) and/or working method(s) necessary to achieve stated objectives;
 - d) Timetable for implementation, demonstrating that works are aligned with the proposed phasing of construction;
 - e) Persons responsible for implementing the works;

The works shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To secure the habitats of bats and nesting birds, in accordance with Policies BNE37 and BNE39 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.

301 Planning application MC/14/1414 - Flanders Farm, Ratcliffe Highway, St Mary Hoo, Rochester ME3 8QD

Discussion:

The Planning Manger (West) outlined the planning application in detail.

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1-7 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report.

302 Planning application MC/14/1415 - Flanders Farm, Ratcliffe Highway, St Mary Hoo, Rochester ME3 8QD

Discussion:

The Planning Manager (West) outlined the planning application and advised the Committee that since despatch of the agenda the applicant's agent had written and requested that if approved, the time period of the permission be extended beyond a 12 month period. The Committee was advised that bearing in mind the location of the development, including its proximity to the open countryside and neighbouring properties, together with the intention to relocate the facility to the other side of the packhouse, it was not considered that the temporary period of 12 months was unreasonable.

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1-4 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report.

303 Planning application MC14/1418 - Flanders Farm, Ratcliffe Highway, St Mary Hoo, Rochester ME3 8QD

Decision:

It was noted that the applicant's agent had written and raised various points that required additional investigation therefore consideration of this application had been deferred to enable further investigations to take place.

304 Planning application MC/14/1429 - Flanders Farm (land adjacent to Bellwood Cottages), Ratcliffe Highway, St Mary Hoo, Rochester, ME3 8QD

Decision:

It was noted that the applicant's agent had written and raised various points that required additional investigation therefore consideration of this application had been deferred to enable further investigations to take place.

305 Planning application MC/14/1737 - Flanders Farm, Ratcliffe Highway, Hoo, Rochester, ME3 8QD

Discussion:

The Planning Manager (West) outlined the planning application in detail and informed the Committee that since despatch of the agenda, the applicant's agent had written in response to the report and had made a number of observations in relation to lighting and timing, details of which were set out on the supplementary agenda advice sheet along with Officer's response.

During discussion on this application, a Member suggested that an additional condition be approved to ensure appropriate bunding is in place to protect from fuel spillage.

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1-7 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report and an additional condition 8 as follows:

- 8. Prior to the installation of the diesel fuel storage tanks and delivery point, full details of the construction of the fuel storage tanks and delivery point that demonstrates:
 - A) that the fuel storage tanks and delivery point are fully containment vessels with an inner tank, which will contain the diesel and an outer tank, which provides security against any loss of containment or leak from the inner tank entering the environment: or
 - B) that any facilities for the storage of oils, fuels or chemicals are sited on impervious bases and surrounded by impervious bund walls, which have a bund capacity of 100% of the total volume for single tanks and in the case of multiple tankage on site 110% of the largest tank or 25% of the total capacity of all the tanks, whichever is the greatest.

have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

In the case of bunding, all filling points, vents gauges and sight glasses and overflow pipes shall be located within the bund. There shall be no outlet connecting the bund to any drain, sewer, or watercourse or discharging onto the ground. Associated pipework

shall be located above ground where possible and protected from accidental damage.

The details as approved pursuant to this condition shall be installed prior to the first use of the diesel fuel storage tanks and delivery point and thereafter maintained.

Reason: To prevent pollution of watercourses and in compliance with Policy BNE23 of the Medway Local Plan 2013.

306 Planning application MC/14/1401 - 33 Goddington Road, Strood, Rochester, ME2 3DE

Discussion:

The Planner reported on the planning application in detail.

A Member drew attention to a correction in that the application site was located in Strood North Ward and not Watling Ward.

The Head of Planning referring to the proposed conditions advised Members that if the Committee was minded to approve the application, proposed conditions 4, 6 and 7 required amendment. He outlined the amendments at the meeting.

The Committee discussed the application and requested that a further condition be imposed to require details of the boundary and internal fencing to be submitted to the Local Planning Authority.

Decision:

- a) Approved with conditions 1 3, and 5 and 8 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report, amended conditions 4, 6 and 7 and new condition 9 as set out below:
 - 4. The hallway window in the rear elevation shall be fitted with obscure glass and, apart from any top-hung light shall be non-opening. This work shall be carried out and completed before the annex is occupied and shall be retained at all times thereafter.
 - Reason: To ensure the development does not prejudice conditions of amenity by reason of unneighbourly overlooking of adjoining property, in accordance with Policy BNE2 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.
 - 6. The area shown on the submitted drawings for vehicular parking shall be kept available for such use and, no permanent development, whether or not permitted by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any Order revoking and reenacting that Order), shall be carried out on the land so shown or in

such a position as to preclude vehicular access to the reserved vehicle parking area.

Reason: To ensure that the development does not prejudice conditions of highway safety or efficiency.

7. The single storey extension and converted garage hereby permitted shall only be occupied as an annex ancillary to the main dwelling and shall not be occupied as a separate hereditament.

Reason: To regulate and control the permitted development in the interests of amenity in accordance with Policy BNE2 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.

- 9. Details of the boundary and internal fencing shall be provided to the Local Planning Authority prior to first occupation of the annex.
- b) The Head of Planning be granted delegated authority to confirm the wording of this additional condition with the Chairman and Vice Chairman outside of the meeting.

307 Planning application MC/14/1498 - 217 - 225 High Street, Rainham Gillingham ME8 8AY

Discussion:

The Head of Planning outlined the planning application in detail and informed the Committee that as a result of noise assessments undertaken by the Council's Environmental Health Officers it was proposed to restrict the hours of use of the car wash and for tanker deliveries and he referred to proposed conditions 3 and 6 to take account of this.

The Committee discussed the application and expressed concern that the car wash facility at this site was already operating outside the conditioned hours. The Head of Planning confirmed that he would refer this matter to the Enforcement Team for investigation.

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1 - 6 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report.

308 Planning application MC14/1555 - Land Adjacent 4 Berengrave Lane Rainham Kent ME8 7LS

Decision:

Consideration of this application was deferred to enable further negotiations to take place and Officers were requested to note that when the application is resubmitted, proposed condition 3 be reworded to be clearer.

309 Planning application MC/14/1581- Land Rear Of 172-176 Maidstone Road and adjacent To Randall Court, Randall Road, Chatham, ME4 6JW

Discussion:

The Planner outlined the report in detail.

The Committee discussed the planning application having regard to the planning history for this site.

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1 - 10 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report.

310 Planning application MC/14/1695 - Land at 35 Powlett Road, Strood, Rochester, Kent ME2 4RD

Discussion:

The Planning Manager (West) outlined the planning application in detail referred in particular to proposed condition 3 which required the access from Basi Close to be constructed before any development commenced on the application site.

The Committee discussed the application and concern was expressed as to the loss of the green area and the proposed access into the site.

Decision:

a) Refused on the following ground:

The proposed development by virtue of its siting in relation to adjoining properties and the contrived nature of the development is out of character and detrimental to the character of the area and the amenity of neighbouring properties and is of poor design.

b) The Head of Planning be granted delegated authority to agree the specific wording of the refusal ground with the Chairman and Vice Chairman outside of the meeting.

311 Planning application MC/14/1697 - 371 - 375 Maidstone Road, Rainham, Gillingham ME8 0HX

Discussion:

The Head of Planning outlined the planning application in detail and advised the Committee that the site plan included with the Committee report was

incorrect. A correct version of the site plan was attached to the supplementary agenda advice sheet.

In addition, he referred to the planning appraisal section of the report and advised that the final sentence at the end of paragraph 1 on page 188 required removal as the retention of the conifers was unenforceable.

He suggested that if the Committee was minded to approve this application, proposed conditions 7 and 10 be deleted, conditions 5, 8, 9, 12 and 13 be amended and a new condition be approved to require details of the cycle and bin stores to be submitted and approved by the Local Planning Authority. Details of all amended conditions and the proposed new conditions were set out in full on the supplementary agenda advice sheet and, if approved, all conditions would require re-numbering.

With the agreement of the Committee, Councillor Wicks as Ward Councillor spoke on this planning application and expressed objections to the proposed development.

The Committee discussed the planning application and expressed concern regarding the proposed parking area at the rear of the site as this was not considered suitable for the number of vehicles proposed. The Committee drew attention to the photographs displayed at the meeting as part of the Officer's presentation and acknowledged that vehicles were already being parked on part of the area that would be set aside for tenants of the proposed flats. The Committee noted the level of objections to the proposal from local residents and the concerns expressed by the traders of the existing shops on the application site as to the affect that this proposal could have upon trade should the application be approved and future residents of the flats begin using the car parking spaces currently available directly outside the shops. The Committee also questioned the location as to where the traders of the existing shops currently parked their vehicles and whether their shop leases included provision of a parking space.

Decision:

a) Refused on the following ground:

The proposal is unacceptable by virtue of its inadequate and contrived parking provision and the likely impact this will have on general amenity and the viability of adjoining businesses and the effect that indiscriminate parking could have on the highway safety as this location is close to a busy roundabout junction.

b) The Head of Planning be granted delegated approval to determine the specific wording of the refusal ground with the Chairman and Vice Chairman outside of the meeting.

312 Planning application MC/14/1772 - 393 High Street, Chatham, ME4 4PG

Discussion:

The Head of Planning outlined the application in detail and advised the Committee that since despatch of the agenda, an adjoining land owner had written to advise that the applicant appeared to be including third party land in their proposals and had also enclosed a copy of a Land Registry Title Plan to support their argument. In response, the Head of Planning advised that the applicants had completed a Land Ownership Certificate A confirming that they were the owners of all of the land upon which the application related. The concerns of the adjoining land owner had since been drawn to their attention. The Committee was reminded that land ownership was a civil matter and therefore was not a material consideration in the determination of a planning application.

The Head of Planning suggested that should the Committee be minded to approve the application, amendments be made to the proposed Section 106 agreement and a number of changes be made to the proposed conditions as set out in the supplementary agenda advice sheet.

The Committee discussed the application and, referring to the height of the proposed development drew attention to the requirement to ensure that adequate fire protection measures were available within the development.

Decision:

Approved subject to:

- A) The applicant entering into a unilateral agreement under the terms of Section 106 Town and Country Planning Act 1990 for:
 - i) £5,997.60 towards the Great Lines Heritage Park in particular towards routine inspections of new footbridges in the Park as a result of opening up new public access into Fort Amherst;
 - ii) £45,687.60 towards recreation and play improvements to Chatham Riverside.
 - iii) £25,417.60 towards education and the provision of nursery, primary, secondary and sixth form school places
 - iv) £3,600 towards the up-skilling of local people within Medway
 - v) £3,281.04 towards the provision and maintenance of equipment, services and facilities at White Road Community Centre.
- B) Conditions 1 5, 8 12, 14 18 and 20 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report with delegated powers granted to the Head of Planning to consider the relevance of Conditions 4, 5 and 11 and amend or

remove accordingly and conditions 6, 7, 13, 19, 21 and 22 amended as set out below:

6) None of the flats hereby approved shall be occupied until underground ducts have been installed by the developer to enable telephone, electricity and communal television services to be connected to any premises within the site without recourse to the erection of distribution poles, satellite dishes and overhead lines and notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (or any order amending, revoking and re-enacting that Order), no distribution pole, satellite dishes or overhead line shall be erected within the area.

Reason: To ensure that the appearance of the development is satisfactory and without prejudice to conditions of visual amenity in the locality, in accordance with Policy BNE1 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.

- 7) No development shall take place until details of the following architectural elements have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority:
 - External wall sections and elevation
 - Balconies and their external fixings in the form of elevation and section drawings and details of the colour scheme
 - Elevations, sections and details of the colour scheme of glazing, window and external door designs including wall/window junctions
 - Elevations and sections of eaves and parapets

Any details to be submitted in a drawn form pursuant to the requirements of this condition shall be submitted at a scale of not less than1:20. The development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and shall be retained thereafter.

Reason: To ensure that the appearance of the development is satisfactory and without prejudice to conditions of visual amenity in the locality, in accordance with Policy BNE1 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.

13) Unless otherwise agreed by the Local Planning Authority, development other than that required to be carried out as part of an approved scheme of remediation must not commence until conditions 14 to 17 have been complied with. If unexpected contamination is found after development has begun, development must be halted on that part of the site affected by the unexpected contamination to the extent specified in writing by the Local

Planning Authority until condition 17 has been complied with in relation to that contamination.

Reason: To ensure that the development is undertaken in a manner which acknowledges interests of amenity and safety in accordance with Policy BNE23 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.

19) No development shall take place until a scheme detailing measures for fire protection for the proposed development, including details related to the installation/provision of dry risers and fire protection lift have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. No unit shall be occupied until the approved fire protection has been provided. The approved measures shall thereafter be maintained.

Reason: In the interests of fire protection and the safety of the prospective occupiers.

21) The ground floor commercial units shall be used only for purposes falling within classes A1 (retail), A2 (financial and professional services) and B1(a) (offices) of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) or in any provision equivalent to that class in any statutory instrument revoking and reenacting that Order with or without modification.

Reason: In order to confirm the nature of the permitted use in accordance with Policy BNE2 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.

22) Notwithstanding the approved plans, no development shall take place until details to show refuse storage for the residential development (in accordance with the Councils minimum requirements) and commercial units have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved refuse store shall be provided in accordance with the approved plans prior to the occupation of any part of the development and shall thereafter be maintained.

Reason: In order to ensure that the development has adequate refuse storage in accordance with Policy BNE2 of the Medway Local Plan 2003.

313 Planning application MC/14/1885 - 20 Cooling Road, High Halstow, Rochester, ME3 8SA

Discussion:

The Planner outlined the planning application in detail.

Decision:

Approved with conditions 1 - 4 as set out in the report for the reasons stated in the report.

314 Appeal decisions for the period April - June 2014

Decision:

The Committee received and noted the appeals decisions for the period April – June 2014.

315 Exclusion of the press and public

The Committee agreed to ask the press and public to leave the meeting because the following items contained sensitive information relating to current legal proceedings. The information was considered to be exempt under paragraph 6 of part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

316 Section 215 Enforcement for the period April - June 2014

Discussion:

The Environmental Services Manager reported upon the activities of the Environmental Enforcement Team for the period April – June 2014.

Decision:

The Committee noted the report and asked the Environmental Services Manager to pass on the Committee's appreciation to the Team for the work undertaken on 215 enforcement during the months of April to June 2014.

317 Derelict Buildings Report for the period April - June 2014

Discussion:

The Committee received a copy of the derelict buildings report for the period April – June 2014 and noted that the Derelict Buildings Officer would be leaving the employment of Medway Council in the near future to take up a post elsewhere.

Decision:

The Committee noted the report and expressed appreciation to the Derelict Buildings Officer for the work that she had undertaken on targeting derelict buildings in Medway.

318 Enforcement Proceedings for the period April - June 2014

Discussion:

The Committee received a detailed report setting out information on enforcement proceedings for the period April – June 2014.

For those sites referred to the Head of Planning prior to the meeting, further information was set out on a supplementary agenda sheet circulated at the meeting.

Decision:

The Committee noted the report.

Chairman

Date:

Ellen Wright, Democratic Services Officer

Telephone: 01634 332012

Email: democratic.services@medway.gov.uk