A PENDIX IV: RECOMMENDATIONS AND ACTION PLAN

CON	CLUSIONS FROM WORK	RECOMMENDATIONS	MANAGEMENT RESPONSE	RESPONSIBILITY	TIMING
FINA	INCIAL STATEMENTS				
Actu	arial Assumptions				
noted the a liabil not p we w is ma	n our discussions with management we have d that management rely upon the work of actuary in estimating the year-end pension lity and related accounting entries, and do provide the level of detailed challenge which would expect around the assumptions used. It anagement's responsibility to ensure that opriate assumptions are used by the actuary.	We recommend that going forwards management carry out sufficient work to satisfy themselves that the assumptions used by the actuary are appropriate, for example by providing challenge (and retaining evidence of this challenge), particularly where assumptions may fall outside of expected parameters. Evidence of this process should be retained.	In previous years we have challenged the Actuary over the assumptions used. We will re-introduce this challenge for future years.	Head of Finance Strategy	2014/15 Accounts close down process
Annu	ual Leave Accrual				
	We found a number of issues with the Council's annual leave accrual, as follows:-		The methodology for calculating the employee annual leave accrual will be reviewed in time for the 2014/15 close down of Accounts	Head of Finance Strategy	2014/15 Accounts close
-	For teachers, the Council has not taken into account that some teachers may have taken sick leave or maternity leave during the year, as required by the Code guidance				down process
-	The Council has not adjusted the accrual for teachers who left during the month of April, as required by the Code guidance				
-	For non-teachers, no adjustments have been made for overtime or other pay elements on which annual leave will not accrue				
-	For all staff, it has not been possible to fully reconcile the inputs to the accrual calculation back to payroll records although the unreconciled difference is not material and would result in a trivial movement within the provision.				

CONCLUSIONS FROM WORK	RECOMMENDATIONS	MANAGEMENT RESPONSE	RESPONSIBILITY	TIMING
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS				
As a result, it is likely that the total accrual is misstated though the amount is not material.				
Heritage Assets				
	disclosures in respect of the acquisition, preservation, management and disposals of heritage assets to cover all non-trivial classes of heritage assets.	Agreed	Head of Finance Operations	2014/15 Accounts close down process
Although the Council has made these disclosures in respect of its museum assets at Note 39, it has not made any disclosure in respect of other classes of heritage assets.				
CONTROL ENVIRONMENT				
Related Party Transactions				
We found that the Council relies entirely upon members and senior officers to declare related party transactions through the annual declaration process. There is no additional work carried out by management to ensure that declarations are complete.	We recommend that the Council considers implementing additional controls around the annual declaration process to gain assurance over the completeness of the related party transaction disclosure. For example, by carrying out Companies House searches on members and senior officers, reviewing website profiles for relevant information, or comparing returns with prior year declarations to identify any omitted transactions.	Agreed	Head of Finance Strategy	2014/15 Accounts close down process
Council Tax and NDR - 10% Checking of Exemptions, Reliefs and Discounts				
In previous years the Council has operated a 10% sample check of all new claims for Council Tax or NDR reliefs, exemptions and discounts.	We recommend that management reviews the controls in place around new claims for exemptions, discounts and reliefs for both council tax and NDR to	Management reviewed the verification process and re-introduced the 10% check in April 2014	Head of Revenues & Benefits	In place
However, this check was only in place for five months of the current year and ceased in August	assess whether these remain appropriate. Ultimately this is a matter of management judgement.			

	1	1		1
CONCLUSIONS FROM WORK	RECOMMENDATIONS	MANAGEMENT RESPONSE	RESPONSIBILITY	TIMING
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS				
2013.				
Payroll - Employee Records				
During our substantive testing of payroll we found a number of matters relating to missing or inadequate documentation in respect of payments to or deductions from employees.	We recommend that full payroll records are retained for all employees, and that additional payments or deductions are not authorised until such documentation is on file.	Agreed	Operations Manager (Payroll)	December 2014
Of 49 items tested:-	If documentation relating to current employees is to be archived, the Council should ensure that this remains available for management or audit purposes.			
 There were two employees for whom there was no supporting evidence for union deductions 				
- There was one employee for which there was no evidence on file for the employee's pension status (i.e. opted out).				
Payroll - HMRC Returns				
From our discussions with payroll we note that copies of HMRC returns are not retained by the Council. Since returns are now submitted electronically, notification of submission is emailed to the Payroll Operations Manager when submitted - however no copy of the actual return is retained.	We recommend that HMRC forms are printed and retained following electronic submission.	Management will review the practicality of this recommendation.	Operations Manager (Payroll)	December 2014
Payroll - Authorisation of Changes				
When examining Internal Audit's testing on permanent changes to payroll records (in particular changes to post and pay details), we	We recommend that the Council continue the work they have started this year to cleanse and update the payroll authorised signatory list.	Agreed	Head of Finance Operations	December 2014
ed that some of the people who were norising the forms were not included on the coll Authorised Signatory list.	Management may also wish to consider whether the current level of checking undertaken within the payroll department is sufficient to cover the risk of inappropriate authorisation of payroll changes.			

CONCLUSIONS FROM WORK	RECOMMENDATIONS	MANAGEMENT RESPONSE	RESPONSIBILITY	TIMING
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS				
Timeliness of Reconciliations During our systems work we noted that a number of key control reconciliations were not always being completed or reviewed on a timely basis, as follows:- - Internal audit noted in March 2014 that the January 2014 housing benefit payment run reconciliation had not yet been carried out - At the time of our systems audit (April 2014), we noted that the reconciliations of IWorld to Integra for Council Tax for January, February and March 2014 had not yet been reviewed (although they had been prepared) - Four treasury reconciliations related to investments and long-term borrowing were not completed in September 2013 due to workload. The accounts were reconciled in October 2013.	We recommend that the Council reviews its key control reconciliation processes, to check that the frequency of the reconciliations is appropriate. Management may then wish to consider whether the current timetable for reconciliations is sufficient, or whether some reconciliations should be prepared or reviewed on a more timely basis.	Agreed	Head of Finance Operations	December 2014
Interests in companies and other entities Medway has not yet met the requirements of the Harbours Act 1964 and should prepare the standalone statement of accounts for the financial periods ended 31 March 2013 and 31 March 2014 to enable us to meet the audit requirements to prepare a report to the Secretary of State for Transport to accompany the annual statement of accounts relating to harbour activities as submitted by Medway Harbour Authority as required by the Harbours Act 1964.	Officers should ensure the requirements of the Harbours Act 1964 are met.	Management are currently seeking clarification from the Department for Transport whether the statement of accounts must be audited. Previous advice received from the department is that as the Medway Harbour Board is a small entity and therefore an audit is not required	Head of Finance Strategy	December 2014