Council Plan Monitoring - Q1 2014/15

| PI Status | Trend Arrows | Success is |
|--|---|---|
| This PI is significantly below target | The performance of this PI has improved | Higher figures are better |
| This PI is slightly below target | The performance of this PI has worsened | Lower figures are better |
| This PI has met or exceeded the target | The performance of this PI is static | N/A - Desired performance is neither too high nor too low |
| This PI is data only. There is no target and is provided for reference only. | N/A – Rating not appropriate / possible | |



1.1 Working with partners to ensure the most vulnerable CYP are safe

| Code | Short Name | Success | | |
|-------------------------|---|---------|--|--|
| PAF- CF/C21 NI 64 | Child Protection Plans lasting 2 years or more | | | |
| NI 147 | Care leavers in suitable accommodation | • | | |
| A1 | Average time between a child entering care and moving in with adoptive family | | | |
| CA10 | Rates of re-referrals within 12 months of a previous referral | | | |

| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014, | Q1 2014/15 | | | | 2014/15 |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------|------------|----------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| 7.1% | 8.7% | 2.8% | 5.3% | 5.0% | | • | | 5.0% |
| 94.9% | 91.8% | 89.5% | 73.3% | 90.0% | | • | • | 90.0% |
| 660 | 723 | 723 | 749 | 520 | | • | • | 480 |
| | 22.48% | 24.78% | 20.46% | 25% | ② | 1 | 1 | 26% |

Note

15-Aug-2014 The Q1 outturn of 5.3% missed the target of 5.0% but is close to the 2012-13 national average of 5.2%. This indicator refers to CP plans that ended in the period so a relatively small cohort means that this indicator is prone to fluctuations. The Q1 outturn is a result of four children from the same family all ceasing to be subject to CP after two years.

15-Aug-2014 The Q1 outturn of 73.3% missed the target of 90.0%. A small cohort means that this indicator is prone to large fluctuations. In Q1, 15 care leavers had their 19th birthday and of these, four were counted as not in suitable accommodation. This included two that the service was in contact with- one of whom was in custody. The other 2 included 1 who despite numerous efforts the service has been unable to locate and another that is no longer eligible for care leaving services. We are awaiting confirmation from the DFE as to whether such young people can be omitted.

15-Aug-2014 The Q1 outturn of 749 missed the target of 520. This is a challenging national target set for all local authorities. However Medway has successfully adopted a higher proportion of children with their siblings, with a disability and over the age of five than nationally.

18-Jul-2014 Continues to be on target with improvements seen in the number of re-referrals.

| Code | Short Name | Success Is |
|------|--|---------------|
| N14 | (N14) Timeliness of assessments | • |
| N15 | (N15) Timeliness of Initial Child Protection Conference | • |
| N23 | (N23) Vacancy rate of social workers | |

| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014, | 1 5 | | | | 2014/15 |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------|------------|--------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| | 69.5% | 83.4% | 64.6% | 80.0% | | 1 | • | 80.0% |
| | 58.3% | 68.0% | 57.1% | 72.0% | | • | | 72.0% |
| | 30% | 35.86% | 32.67% | 30% | | • | - | 15% |

Note

- 21-Jul-2014 Historically high volume of assessments is still impacting on Q1 with management action plan in place and reviewed fortnightly with DCS and AD.
- 21-Jul-2014 Volume of referrals for conference is still very high. However, review of processes has taken place to ensure efficiency and a management instruction is to be issued.
- 15-Aug-2014 This indicator refers to the percentage of substantive posts not filled by social workers. Following investment to create additional social worker posts, the number of vacancies has reduced in Q1 and marginally above the Q1 target.

1.2 We will champion strong leadership and high standards in schools

| Code | Short Name | Success |
|------|---|---------|
| CA13 | Permanent exclusion rates - % of children excluded from school | |
| EDU3 | % of young people who are absent from school for 15% or more days in the school year. | |

| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014, | 21 2014/15 | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------|------------|--------|----------------|---------------|--------|
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| | 0.05% | 0.05% | 0.04% | 0.01% | | | | 0.01% |
| 5.7% | 4.63% | 4.7% | 3.4% | 3% | | | | 3% |

Note

- 15-Aug-2014 The Q1 outturn of 0.04% represents 17 permanent exclusions that were upheld, out of 43,441 children on roll (from the January Schools Census). The Q1 outturn has missed the ambitious target of 0.01% but is better than the England average of 0.07% (latest published data from 2011-12).
- 15-Aug-2014 The Q1 outturn of 3.4% missed the target of 3.0%. This is a challenging target given that the latest available national average is 4.6%. In primary schools, 1.9% of pupils had an absence rate of 15% or more. In secondary schools, the figure was 5.5%. These figures

| Code | Short Name | Success |
|--------|--|---------|
| | | |
| EY2 | Number of children attending a funded early education place, as a proportion of the total number (popn) entitled to a place | |
| SE1a | Difference made to schools by Local Authority support - Schools in Special Measures (formerly SIS2a (amended)) | |
| SE1c | Difference made to schools by Local Authority support - Schools below floor threshold (LA maintained schools only) (formerly SIS2c (amended)) | |
| SE2 LM | % Ofsted school judgements - schools judged good or better for Leadership & Management | • |

| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014, | Q1 2014/15 | | | | 2014/15 |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------|------------|--------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 76.6% | 55.0% | | N/A | N/A | 70.0% |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | | _ | • | 3 |
| 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | | _ | • | 3 |
| 69.0% | 72.4% | 72.4% | 72.4% | 78.0% | | _ | • | 78.0% |

Note

are calculated from locally collected persistent absence returns and so should be treated as provisional until national data is published.

21-Jul-2014 This data will be the same as Q1 due to reporting cycles.

21-Jul-2014 At the end of Q1, 5 schools were in Special Measures. The target has not been reached and the trend on this measure is static

08-Jul-2014 The figure is unchanged for Q1. The provisional figures for 2014 will be available in Q2. The figure of 10 previously reported included academies. There are 6 schools which are LA maintained which were below the floor on 2013 results.

08-Jul-2014 This figure is a snapshot at the end of Q1 and includes the judgements on the 98 state funded schools (not including the 2 PRUs). At the end of Q1, 71 Medway schools (67.5% of primaries and 88.2% of secondaries) had an Ofsted judgement of good or better for leadership and management. The figure has remained static since last quarter, but is a slight increase on the Q1 from last financial year.

1.3 We will promote and encourage healthy lifestyles for CYP

| Code | Short Name | Success Is |
|--------|---|---------------|
| NI 117 | 16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) | |
| NI 148 | Care leavers in education, employment or training | • |
| CA17 | % of children in need aged 0-4 attending local Sure Start Children's Centre | • |
| EY1b | Total number of attendances at Sure Start Children's Centres by families with children 0-4 | • |

| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014, | 1 2014/15 | | | | 2014/15 |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| 6.60% | 6.41% | 6.41% | 6.77% | 6.00% | | • | • | 6.00% |
| 51.3% | 57.5% | 52.6% | 53.3% | 60.0% | | • | • | 60.0% |
| | 17.0% | 17.0% | 15.5% | 15.0% | ② | • | • | 30.0% |
| 235,564 | 277,857 | 277,857 | 67,400 | 63,700 | | • | • | 245,000 |

Note

18-Jul-2014 The percentage of young people aged 16-18 who were not in employment, education or training at the end of June was 6.77%. This is an increase on the previous four quarters and is off the 6% target. For those aged 16, the NEET level was 5.24%

For those aged 17, the NEET level was 7.14%

For those aged 18, the NEET level was 7.98%

15-Aug-2014 The Q1 outturn of 53.3% missed the target of 60.0%. A small cohort means that this indicator is prone to large fluctuations. In Q1, 15 care leavers had their 19th birthday. Of these seven were counted as NEET. The service was unable to contact a further two, 1 of whom, despite numerous efforts the service has been unable to locate and another that is no longer eligible for care leaving services. We are awaiting confirmation from the DFE as to whether such young people can be omitted.

15-Aug-2014 This indicator provides a rolling snapshot and has been amended to reflect more robust matching processes between children attending Sure Start Centres and those who are children in need. At the end of June there were 400 children in need under the age 5. Of these, 62 were attending a children's centre. This is 15.5% of the cohort.

21-Jul-2014 In Q1 there were 67,400 attendances across the 19 children's centres in Medway. This figure includes all contacts for example, at families' homes.

| Code | Short Name | Success Is |
|------|--|---------------|
| | years | |
| РН8 | Percentage of children and young people achieving a lifestyle improvement as a result of completing a young people weight management service | • |

| | l . | l . | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------|------------|----------|----------------|---------------|--------|
| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014, | Q1 2014/15 | | | | |
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 59.1% | 50.0% | ② | N/A | N/A | 50.0% |

Note

The number of attendances is showing a steady increase. The Q1 outturn is an 8% increase on the same period in 2013-14 and exceeds the target for Q1, 63,700.

10-Jul-2014 Some programmes did not commence until end of April due to late Easter holidays, therefore some outcome data will not be available until Q2. This data does not include 3 MEND programmes, still to complete. However the data reflects a rolling 12 month average across all programmes.

5.1 Putting the customer at the centre of everything we do

| Code | Short Name | Success Is |
|------|---|---------------|
| MCV1 | How satisfied are residents with the way Medway Council runs its services | • |

| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014/ | 2014/15 | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|--------|----------------|---------------|--------|
| Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| | | | 63.00 | N/A | | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Note

16-Jul-2014 Almost two thirds of all respondents were very/fairly satisfied with the way Medway Council runs its services (63%) – with 11% very satisfied. Only 8% were very/fairly dissatisfied with only 2% very dissatisfied; however almost a quarter of respondents were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied (23%). A further 3% answered 'don't know' and 4% gave no response to this question. Those respondents living in Rainham were more likely to be satisfied/very satisfied with the way Medway Council runs its services (74%); whereas those living in Gillingham and Strood were less likely to be satisfied (49% and 51% respectively compared to 63% of the group as a whole). There were no marked differences by gender, age, disability and socio economic group.

| Code | Short Name | Success |
|------|------------|---------|
| | | |

| 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Q4 2013/14 | Q1 2014/15 | | | | | 2014/15 |
|---------|---------|---------------|------------|--------|--------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Value | Value | | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend | Target |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

This is a measurement only indicator as it is the first year that this measure has been recorded. Performance will be baselined over 2014/15, with a view to set a target for 2015/16.