Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
S1 Development Strategy	✓				
S2 Strategic Principles	✓				
S4 Landscape and Urban Design	✓				
S5 Medway's "City" Centre	✓				
<b>S6</b> Planning Obligations		✓			
<b>S7</b> Rochester Riverside Action Area		✓			
S8 Chatham Maritime	✓				
S9 Chatham Historic Dockyard	✓				
<b>S10</b> Strood Waterfront Action Area		<b>√</b>			
S12 Kingsnorth	✓				
S13 Isle of Grain	✓				
<b>S14</b> Ministry of Defence Estate, Chattenden		✓			
<b>BNE1</b> General Principles for Built Development	✓				
BNE2 Amenity Protection		✓			
BNE3: Noise Standards				<b>✓</b>	NPPF policies to protect new and existing development from noise pollution that would give rise to significant adverse impacts on

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
					health & quality of life  Linked to Noise Policy Statement for England (issued by DEFRA)
BNE4 Energy Efficiency		✓			
BNE5 Lighting	✓				
BNE6 Landscape Design	✓				
BNE7 Access For All	✓				
BNE8 Security and Personal Safety		✓			
<b>BNE9</b> Design of Commercial Frontages	✓				
BNE10 Advertisements		✓			
BNE11 Hoardings		✓			
BNE12 Conservation Areas	✓				
BNE13 Demolition in Conservation Areas		✓			
BNE14 Development in Conservation Areas		✓			
BNE15 Advertisements Within Conservation Areas		✓			
BNE16 Demolition of Listed Buildings		✓			
BNE17 Alterations to Listed Buildings		✓			

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
BNE18 Setting of Listed Buildings		<b>✓</b>			
BNE19 Advertisements on Listed Buildings		✓			
BNE20 Scheduled Ancient Monuments		✓			
BNE21 Archaeological Sites		✓			
BNE22 Environmental Enhancement	✓				
BNE23 Contaminated Land	$\checkmark$				
BNE24 Air Quality	✓				
BNE25 Development In The Countryside			•		<ul> <li>Policy definition of countryside as area outside of settlement boundary on proposals map remains applicable</li> <li>Protection remains at local and/or national level for various specific features of rural areas, including:         <ul> <li>Recognition that the countryside has an intrinsic character &amp; beauty</li> <li>Emphasis on high quality design, which includes taking into account the character of the area</li> <li>Conservation and enhancement of the natural environment, including "valued landscapes" and biodiversity</li> <li>Best and most versatile agricultural land</li> <li>Sustainable patterns of land use and development (including encouragement for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Policy	Consistent	Partially	Significant	Not	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or
		consistent	issues	consistent	significant issues)
					re-use of brownfield land)  - Enhancing and maintaining the vitality of rural communities  - Avoiding isolated new houses in the countryside except in specified exceptional circumstances. MLP policy H11 defines an isolated new house as anything outside of defined settlement boundaries, although this does have to be read in the context of the other provisions of the NPPF  • Medway's Landscape Character Assessment provides evidence base for identifying sensitive views/sites and assessing visual impact of proposals  • Where re-use of existing buildings is proposed, BNE27 is still relevant and has recently been supported at appeal  • Significant recent extension of permitted development rights for agricultural buildings mean that some changes of use, together with minor facilitating works, no longer require consent; this is also likely to be a material consideration as a fallback position where applications are still required  • Existing guidance relating to extensions to dwellings in the countryside – officers are also reviewing whether this guidance could be updated as a short-term measure to provide a stronger basis for this and applicability to a wider range of proposals

Policy	Consistent	Partially	Significant	Not	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or
		consistent	issues	consistent	significant issues)
BNE26: Business Development In Rural Settlements				<b>✓</b>	<ul> <li>Local and national policies relating to rural development, landscape impact, design and transport impact are also applicable (see BNE25) although these would have to be weighed against strong support for rural economy in the NPPF</li> <li>Medway's Landscape Character Assessment provides evidence base for identifying sensitive views/sites and assessing visual impact of proposals</li> <li>Where re-use of existing buildings is proposed, BNE27 is still relevant and has recently been supported at appeal</li> <li>Significant recent extension of permitted development rights for agricultural buildings mean that some changes of use, together with minor facilitating works, no longer require consent; this is also likely to be a material consideration as a fallback position where applications are still required</li> <li>Guidance relating to extensions to dwellings in the countryside may have some applicability to applications for built development for other uses – officers are reviewing whether this guidance could be updated as a short-term measure to provide a stronger basis for this</li> </ul>
BNE27 Re-Use of Buildings in the Countryside		✓			
BNE28 Farm Diversification					
DNE20 Farm Diversingation	✓				

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
BNE29 Farm Shops	✓				
BNE30 Metropolitan Green Belt		✓			
BNE31 Strategic Gap		✓			
BNE32 Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty	✓				
BNE33 Special Landscape Areas		✓			
BNE34 Areas of Local Landscape Importance		✓			
BNE35 International and National Nature Conservation Sites	✓				
BNE36 Strategic and Local Nature Conservation Sites	✓				
BNE37 Wildlife Habitats		✓			
BNE38 Wildlife Corridors and Stepping Stones		✓			
BNE39 Protected Species	✓				
BNE40 Cliffe Conservation Park	✓				
BNE41 Tree Preservation Orders	<b>✓</b>				
BNE42 Hedgerow Retention	✓				
<b>BNE43</b> Trees on Development Sites	✓				

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
BNE44 Community Woodlands	✓				
BNE45 Undeveloped Coast	✓				
BNE46 Developed Coast	✓				
BNE47 Rural Lanes	✓				
BNE49 Agricultural Dwellings	✓				
BNE50 Agricultural Occupancy		✓			
<b>BNE51</b> Equestrian Developments			<b>✓</b>		<ul> <li>Criteria relating to acceptability still have some weight; this is likely to be greater for proposals for private recreational use rather than for equestrian business development which would benefit from the NPPF's strong support for the rural economy</li> <li>Local and national policies relating to rural development, landscape impact, design and transport impact are also applicable (see BNE25)</li> <li>Medway's Landscape Character Assessment provides evidence base for identifying sensitive views/sites and assessing visual impact of proposals</li> <li>Kent Downs AONB unit has produced guidance for equestrian development; although this will have limited weight outside of the AONB some of its principles may still be applicable</li> </ul>

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
					Existing Economic Strategy and Employment Land Study provide some evidence regarding
<b>ED1</b> Existing Employment Areas			<b>✓</b>		<ul> <li>need for sites. Work is being reviewed as part of the development of the new Local Plan.</li> <li>Potential for additional short-term work to be carried out to address evidence gap – "health checks" for existing retail and employment areas, engagement with management organisations and/or existing occupiers</li> <li>Additional evidence of demand/need for retention of land for specific uses likely to be required if seeking to refuse or restrict applications for alternative uses, particularly where these are now included in the definition of economic development. Some information available from Economic Development team, Locate in Kent and LEP</li> </ul>
<b>ED2</b> Employment in Actions Areas and Mixed Use Areas	✓				
ED3 Other Employment Sites		✓			
ED4 Elm Court			✓		<ul> <li>Criteria regarding impact on countryside, landscape and design considerations etc. still applicable</li> <li>Local and national policies relating to rural development, landscape impact, design and transport impact are also applicable (see BNE25)</li> <li>Medway's Landscape Character Assessment provides evidence base for identifying</li> </ul>

Policy	Consistent	Partially	Significant	Not	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or
		consistent	issues	consistent	significant issues)
					<ul> <li>sensitive views/sites in the area and assessing visual impact of proposals</li> <li>Existing Economic Strategy and Employment Land Study provide some evidence regarding need for sites Work is being reviewed as part of the development of the new Local Plan.</li> <li>Potential for additional short-term work to be carried out to address evidence gap – "health checks" for existing retail and employment areas; engagement with management organisations and/or existing occupiers</li> </ul>
ED5 Proposed Employment Areas			✓		<ul> <li>Kingsnorth and Isle of Grain/Thamesport also covered by strategic policies S12 and S13, and provisions in existing permissions also still apply</li> <li>Existing Economic Strategy and Employment Land Study provide some evidence regarding need for sites. Work is being reviewed as part of the development of the new Local Plan.</li> <li>Potential for additional short-term work to be carried out to address evidence gap – "health checks" for existing retail and employment areas, engagement with management organisations and/or existing occupiers</li> <li>Additional evidence of demand/need for retention of allocation for specific uses likely to be required if seeking to refuse or restrict applications for alternative uses, particularly where these are now included in the definition of economic development. Some information</li> </ul>

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
					available from Economic Development team, Locate in Kent and LEP
ED6 Small Business Complexes		✓			
ED7 Special Industrial Uses		✓			
ED8 Industrial Uses Not In a Use Class	✓				
ED9 Chatham Port	✓				
ED10 Working From Home	✓				
ED11 Existing Tourist Facilities		✓			
ED12 New Tourist Facilities	✓				
ED13 Hotels		✓			
ED14 Bed & Breakfast Accommodation and Guest Hotels	✓				
ED15 Self-Catering Accommodation		✓			
ED16 Tourist Facilities For Walkers and Cyclists	✓				
H1 New Residential Development		✓			
H2 Retention of Housing	✓				
H3 Affordable Housing	<b>√</b>				

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
H4 Housing in Urban Areas		✓			
H5 High Density Housing		✓			
H6 Flat Conversions		✓			
H7 Multiple Occupation		✓			
H8 Residential institutions	✓				
H9 Backland and Tandem Development	<b>√</b>				
H10 Housing Mix	✓				
H11 Residential Development in Rural Settlements		<b>✓</b>			
H12 Mobile Home Parks		✓			
H13 Gypsy Caravan Sites And Travelling Showpeople's Quarters			<b>✓</b>		<ul> <li>National Planning Policy for Travellers is set out separately from the NPPF and will be the main consideration.</li> <li>The recent Medway Gypsy &amp; Traveller Accommodation Assessment sets out the level of need for gypsy and traveller accommodation up to 2028, including a specific requirement for the first five years.</li> <li>An additional briefing paper carried out for Medway by Aspinall Verdi contains criteria to be used when considering site allocation, which may also have some relevance to individual applications. However, it should be</li> </ul>

Policy	Consistent	Partially	Significant	Not	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or
		consistent	issues	consistent	noted that the absence of sufficient 5 year land supply will be given significant weight and therefore these criteria are more likely to be useful where seeking to justify a temporary consent rather than enabling outright refusal in most circumstances.  • Additional considerations will apply where proposed sites are in the countryside, particularly in designated areas (see BNE25), but again these will need to be weighed against the identified need and absence of 5
R1 Chatham – Comparison Retailing		<b>√</b>			year land supply.
R2 Chatham – Convenience Retailing		✓			
R3 Chatham – The Brook and High Street		✓			
R4 Retailing in Strood		<b>✓</b>			
R5 Retailing in Gillingham		✓			
R6 Retailing in Rainham		✓			
R7 Hempstead Valley Shopping Centre			✓		Hierarchy of town centres set out in MLP     Remaining policies (especially R1, R2) require direction of major retail floorspace and similar to be directed to Chatham so sequential and impact tests could still be required for relevant applications (subject to other provisions of the

Policy	Consistent	Partially	Significant	Not	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or
,		consistent	issues	consistent	significant issues)
					<ul> <li>NPPF) and therefore would be a consideration when determining them</li> <li>Medway's Retail Needs Study provides some evidence against which applications could be considered, although this evidence is aging and officers are currently reviewing whether there is a requirement for this evidence to be updated.</li> <li>Potential for additional short-term work to be carried out to address evidence gap – "health checks" for existing retail and employment areas</li> </ul>
R8 Rochester City Centre	✓				0.700.7
R9 Retail Provision in New Residential Developments	✓				
R10 Local Centres, Village Shops and Neighbourhood Centres		✓			
R11 Town Centre Uses and the Sequential Approach		✓			
R12 Mixed Use Schemes	✓				
R13 Retail Uses and the Sequential Approach		✓			
R14 Ancillary Retail Development	<b>√</b>				
R15 Amusement Arcades, Etc.		<b>√</b>			
R16 Restrictions on Goods For	✓				

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
Sale					
R17 A2 and A3 Uses and Change of Use	✓				
R18 Take Away Hot Food Shops, Restaurants, Cafes, Bars and Public Houses	✓				
R19 Vehicle Sales and Showrooms		✓			
L1 Existing Leisure Facilities	✓				
L2 New Leisure Facilities	✓				
L3 Protection of Open Space	✓				
L4 Provision of Open Space in New Residential Developments		✓			
L5 Open Space in Employment Areas		✓			
L6 Open Space Allocations		✓			
L7 New Playing Fields		✓			
L8 Dual Use of Recreational Facilities		✓			
L9 Country Parks	<b>✓</b>				
L10 Public rights of Way		<b>✓</b>			
L11 Riverside Path and Cycleway	<b>√</b>				

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
L13 Water Based Leisure	✓				
T1 Impact of Development		✓			
T2 Access to the Highway	✓				
T3 Provision for Pedestrians	✓				
T4 Cycle Facilities	✓				
T5 Bus Preference Measures	✓				
<b>T6</b> Provision for Public Transport		✓			
T7 The Rail Network	✓				
T9 River Buses and Piers	✓				
T10 Wharves	✓				
T11 Development Funded Transport Improvements	✓				
T12 Traffic Management	✓				
T13 Vehicle Parking Standards	✓				
T14 Travel Plans	✓				
T15 Parking Strategy	✓				
T17 Park and Ride	✓				

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
T18 New Transport		./			
Infrastructure		V			
T19 Road Schemes	✓				
T20 Development Related	<b>√</b>				
Road Schemes	•				
T21 Motorway Service Areas		✓			
T22 Provision For People With	<b>√</b>				
Disabilities	•				
T23 Aviation Related	<b>√</b>				
Development	•				
CF1 Community Facilities	$\checkmark$				
CF2 New Community Facilities		✓			
<b>CF3</b> Sites For Local Healthcare Facilities	✓				
<b>CF4</b> Primary Healthcare Facilities	✓				
CF5 Nursing and Special Care	✓				
CF6 Primary Schools	✓				
<b>CF7</b> Further, Higher and Adult Education	✓				
CF8 Cemetery Extension	<b>√</b>				
CF10 Overhead Supply Lines	<b>√</b>				

Policy	Consistent	Partially consistent	Significant issues	Not consistent	Alternative coverage (where not consistent or significant issues)
CF11 Renewable Energy		✓			
CF12 Water Supply	✓				
CF13 Tidal Flood Risk Areas				<b>✓</b>	<ul> <li>NPPF policies seek to direct development away from areas at highest risk of flooding, or to make it safe from flooding where it must be located in such areas</li> <li>Technical guidance on flood risk published alongside NPPF</li> <li>Environment Agency advice will continue to be sought on applications for major development and in areas of flood risk</li> </ul>
CF14 Telecommunications	<b>√</b>				

## Notes

This schedule excludes those policies which were not saved and are therefore no longer applicable in any case.

Where a policy is listed as partially consistent, this can be for a number of reasons:

- The policy is consistent enough to be applied in decision making, but may be challengeable in some circumstances
- Parts of the policy are consistent but other parts are not
- Although the policy is broadly consistent, it needs to be read in the context of the more up-to-date policies set out in the NPPF.