

## **CABINET**

# 16 APRIL 2013

# **EFFICIENCIES OF NEW RECYCLING SERVICE**

Portfolio Holder: Councillor Phil Filmer, Front Line Services

Report from: Robin Cooper, Director of Regeneration, Community &

Culture

Author: Sarah Dagwell, Head of Waste Services

Steve Baker, Waste Development Manager

## Summary

Recycling and organics collections are to be increased to weekly; the launch is scheduled for Monday 28 October 2013. This service enhancement has been fully funded by Department for Communities and Local Government.

Alongside this change, Waste Services is recommending three service stances that could save significant revenue expenditure by reducing the cost of waste disposal and ensuring the collection fleet provides best value for Medway. This report reviews current policy positions, demonstrates the potential savings available if recommendations are agreed and how they would be implemented. The service stance reviews are:

- paper/card only to be collected if placed out separately from other recyclables (containers)
- non collection of garden waste when presented mixed with residual waste black sacks
- non collection of garden waste in excess of 1x brown wheeled bin + 2x sacks or 4x sacks or 1x 23L food bin + 4x sacks

## 1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 The recommendations set out in this report support the priorities and values contained within the Council Plan 2013-2015 (Policy Framework), specifically Giving Value for Money and the commitment to increase recycling and reduce waste going to landfill sites. If the recommendations are implemented in full savings against current

budgets can be realised, otherwise there will be an unfunded pressure. This is therefore a matter for Cabinet.

# 2. Background

- 2.1 On 22 November, the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG) confirmed that Medway's bid, in partnership with Veolia, had been awarded the full funding (£14,029,901 over three years) for the weekly collections services. This was reported to Cabinet on 27 November 2012, where the Cabinet approved a contract variation that would facilitate an increase in the frequency of recycling and organics kerbside collections from fortnightly to weekly (ref:205/2012).
- 2.2 DCLG have also confirmed that the Authority can bank any efficiency savings gained from delivering the project, hence ongoing discussions with Veolia regarding the optimum resource requirements of the weekly service and fleet.
- 2.3 Revenue savings of approximately £200,000 per annum relating to reductions in disposal tonnages are anticipated as a result of weekly recycling and organics collections alone. These have been taken into account in the budget build process for 2013/2014.
- 2.4 Further revenue savings can however be achieved by adopting the recommendations set out in sections 3 5 below.
- 2.5 A Diversity Impact Assessment screening form has been undertaken and is attached appendix 3. Whilst it is not necessary to undertake a full assessment, a number of issues have been identified to be considered at the next review.

## 3. Kerbside recycling collections

3.1 As part of the weekly collection partnership, Veolia have raised concerns over the continued collection of fully commingled materials i.e. paper and card not separated out from containers (cans, glass bottles, household packaging). A high proportion of residents continue to present fully commingled material (paper/card mixed with cans, glass, plastics).

3.2 The transportation and sorting of fully commingled material currently costs Medway £34.03 per tonne. However, this price assumed full separation and is not sustainable for the contractor if they are required

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From set out survey undertaken in February 2013 of a high performing area, 18% of participants made no attempt to separate out paper/card from other recyclables, presenting fully commingled materials instead.

- to continue manual sorting. Paper/card presented separately incurs no charge at all.
- 3.3 The DCLG funding represents an opportunity for Medway to review its service provision with regards collecting fully commingled materials with minimum impact on residents. Moving to weekly collection services effectively doubles storage and container capacity for recycling.
- 3.4 To ensure maximum efficiency, Waste Services are proposing a service stance that will cease the collection of fully commingled materials. However, residents will still be able to use their clear sacks for the 'container mix' (cans, glass, foil, mixed household plastic packaging), with paper and card put into the blue reusable bags. This aligns with the ethos of Veolia's original variant bid as accepted by Cabinet at time of tender and the existing instructions to residents.
- 3.5 Veolia have agreed, as long as residents clearly separate out clean paper/card, it will be collected as paper/card recycling regardless of the method of its containment.

## 3.6 Financial rationale

DCLG model: full implementation of Twin-stream (paper/card fully separated		
from commingled)		
Material Recycling Facility gate fee including haulage	£34.03	
Tonnage of commingled (assumes 83% of available paper/card successfully extracted at source)	8079	
Tonnage of clean paper/card	10121	
Total processing charge of commingled (A)	£274,928	

AS IS model: continued collection of fully commingled material (fortnightly collections)			
Material Recycling Facility gate fee including haulage	£34.03		
Tonnage of commingled (based on 2012/13 performance)	11380		
Tonnage of clean paper/card	6820		
Total processing charge of commingled (B)	£387,261		

Veolia model: continued collection of fully commingled material after weekly collections launch and full MRF used		
Material Recycling Facility gate fee including haulage	£63.82	
Tonnage of commingled (based on 2012/13 performance)	11380	
Tonnage of clean paper/card	6820	
Total processing charge of commingled (C)	£726,272	

Potential savings against forecasted budget if service stance	
adopted for weekly collections (B-A)	£112,333

Potential pressure if service stance not adopted for weekly	
collections (C-B)	£339,011

# 3.7 Implementation of recommended service stance

- 3.7.1 Between now and the launch date of 28 October 2013, an extensive communication campaign will be taking place as detailed in Appendix 1. Once the message has been embedded with residents, at the start of the launch of weekly collections, the dry recycling collection service will not collect bags consisting of fully commingled material, i.e. where obvious quantities of paper/card can be identified in with glass, cans and plastic packaging.
- 3.7.2 From this point, the procedure for engaging residents who incorrectly present waste and recycling is written into the waste collection contract and appears in Appendix 2 of this report. In summary, the collection operative affixes a note to any receptacle containing fully mixed recyclate (i.e. containers mixed with paper and card). These items will be left for the resident to re-sort and the address reported to Waste Services using existing procedure. A follow-up letter is then sent by Waste Services to the resident explaining the service provision and advising accordingly. If necessary a visit will be made by a Waste Services' officer to help assist the resident to sort their waste and ensure they have the correct containers to enable them to recycle properly.

# 3.8 Risks

Risk	Initial Rating	Mitigation	Revised Rating
Lots of recycling left on the street. It is likely that, in the first few months, bags of recycling will need advisory notices attached to them. We expect the majority of householders to re-bag what they have put out and present correctly the following week. However, some residents will complain and/or not comply. Since a change in the law, the powers that local authorities have to persuade residents to comply have diminished.	B2	The strength of the extensive communications campaign (see appendix 1 for details) supporting the launch of weekly collections and any service stance will reduce the chance of large-scale problems. An intensive education and leniency period of two months prior to launch will provide Waste Services with time to inform residents that have not yet understood the message. The Community Officers will engage those that leave waste and recycling out for extended periods (2-days+ after collection day).	C3
Increased pressure on existing resources to manage change There will be significant strain on existing Waste Services and Community Safety human resources during the bedding in period of this service stance.	B2	Waste Services will have a dedicated project officer that can assist in engaging residents having difficulty or issue with the service provision. Additionally, the proposed new structure for the Community Officers will align with staff being able to assist with projects such as this in the local community. Help will also be sought from community groups and Medway Waste Forum to engage with local residents groups.	C3

Residents' dissatisfaction leads to recycling being put in black sacks instead  This will impact upon the savings predicted in 2.3 & 3.6	B2	As per above, a high level of education with the local community to explain why they are being asked to split out paper and card, the cost savings being achieved by the local authority and the environmental benefits to recycling. Medway has over 74% participation in the recycling services, and this will be closely monitored.	D3
--	----	--	----

## 4. Non collection of garden waste presented within residual waste

4.1 On 5 August 2008 Cabinet agreed "...not to accept garden waste if placed within domestic residual waste but accept only as part of the garden waste collection scheme" (decision 167/2008 refers). As a result, clause 8.5 of the current Waste Collection Contract held with Veolia states

"The Contractor shall ensure that no Garden Waste shall be deposited within Residual Household Waste collection vehicles"

This decision has never been actively enforced either by Medway Council or Veolia.

4.2 Due to the weekly collections and borough wide distribution of wheeled bins, bags and smaller food bins for organic waste (food and garden waste), it is expected the implementation of this service stance would have minimum impact on the residents of Medway.

## 4.3 Financial rationale

4.3.1 Organic waste processing at £47.17 per tonne is significantly cheaper than landfill at £104.27 per tonne. It is impossible to estimate the total savings that could be gained through this service stance but with garden waste collected weekly from every household, there should be no reason for it to be presented as refuse.

# 4.4 Implementation of recommended service stance

- 4.4.1 From 28 October 2013, after a period of communications engagement with all residents (as detailed in Appendix 1), the refuse collection service will not collect garden waste presented as residual waste.
- 4.4.2 From this point, the procedure for engaging residents who incorrectly present waste and recycling is written into the waste collection contract

and appears in Appendix 2 of this report. In summary, the collection operative affixes a note to any receptacle presented as refuse but containing garden waste. These items will be left for the resident to resort and the address reported to Waste Services using existing procedure. A follow-up letter is then sent by Waste Services to the resident explaining the service provision and advising accordingly. If necessary a visit will be made by a Waste Services' officer to help assist the resident to sort their waste and ensure they have the correct containers to enable them to recycle properly.

## 4.5 Risk

Risk	Initial Rating	Mitigation	Revised Rating
Resident does not have a brown bin/bag. Some residents may not have been using their brown bin/bags; or these could have been lost or stolen	D3	Veolia will be working with Medway Council to ensure sufficient stock of bins and bags are available to cope with a temporary increase in demand. Additionally, the waste services officers will be used to assist with deliveries if demand is high.	E4
Black sacks left on street. Some residents may not at first be using their brown bin/bags for garden waste and some sacks may be left on the street	D3	The strength of the extensive communications campaign (see appendix 1 for details) supporting the launch of weekly collections and any service stance will reduce the chance of large-scale problems. An intensive education and leniency period of two months prior to launch will provide Waste Services with time to inform residents that have not yet understood the message. The Community Officers will engage those that leave waste and recycling out for extended periods (2-days+after collection day).	E4

## 5. Non-collection of excessive garden waste

5.1 The allocation of 240Litre brown bins for food/garden waste has always been on the basis of one per household. Since 2002, residents have been advised that only 1x brown bin + 2x open, non-black sacks of

garden waste OR 4x open, non-black sacks of garden waste can be presented per collection. Clause 8.7 in the Collection Contract states:

The Contractor shall collect side Garden Waste that is put out for the kerbside collection by householders up to a maximum of two (2) sacks supplied by the householder where these sacks are presented for collection alongside their allocated Garden/Kitchen Waste Wheeled Bin or allocated brown sacks.

- 5.2 Our contractor has made us aware of over 500 properties that have acquired two or more brown bins, regularly presenting both. Collection of these has caused fairness to be called into question when refusing to supply other householders with additional brown bins.
- 5.3 With the introduction of weekly collections, we are effectively doubling all household capacity for garden waste disposal. Excess garden waste, over and above the allocated amount, should be home composted or taken to one of the three Household Waste and Recycling Centres where Medway incurs no gate fee.

## 5.4 Financial rationale

- 5.4.1 Organic waste currently costs £47.17 per tonne to process. With collections becoming weekly, it is likely that the total amount of garden waste collected annually will increase alongside the increased convenience of the service. It therefore seems a reasonable time to be strict on the existing '1x bin + 2x sacks' policy and cease collections from multiple brown bins (as residents will have double capacity) and if possible to take back misallocated bins.
- 5.4.2 It should be noted that any garden waste taken to the three Household Waste & Recycling Centres *does not* incur a cost to Medway Council. This is covered by the annual management fee for these sites regardless of the amount of garden waste deposited at them.

## 5.5 Implementation of recommended service stance

- 5.5.1 After a period of structured engagement with all residents (detailed in Appendix 1), the organics collection service will restrict the amount of material it collects from each household from 28<sup>th</sup> October 2013. The restrictions will be:
  - 1x 240L brown wheeled bin + 2x sacks, or
  - 4x sacks, or
  - 1x 23L food bin + 4x sacks
- 5.5.2 From this point, the procedure for engaging residents who incorrectly present waste and recycling is written into the waste collection contract and appears in Appendix 2 of this report. In summary, the collection operative affixes a note to any non-collected receptacles that are overand-above the allocation specified in 5.5.1. A follow-up letter is then

sent by Waste Services to the resident explaining the service provision and advising accordingly.

## 5.6 Risk

Risk	Initial Rating	Mitigation	Revised Rating
Residents complain about second bin not being emptied.	C3	Dialogue will take place with the resident to explain the service stance around one bin per household. Bins will only be removed with resident's permission unless left 'fly tipped' on the public highway.	E3

## 6 Financial and legal implications

- 6.1 Any changes in contract terms are being reviewed as part of the contract variation agreed at Cabinet on 27 November 2012 (ref 205/2012).
- 6.2 Financial implications are detailed in sections 3.6, 4.3 and 5.4 above.
- 6.3 If the recommendation in relation to paper and card separation is not adopted, a budget pressure of £339,000 will arise.

## 7. Recommendations

- 7.1 It is recommended that Cabinet adopt the service changes:
- 7.1.1 Paper/card only to be collected if placed out separately from other recyclables (containers)
- 7.1.2 Non-collection of garden waste when presented mixed with residual waste black sacks
- 7.1.3 Non-collection of garden waste in excess of
  - 1x brown wheeled bin + 2x sacks: or
  - 4x sacks or
  - 1x 23L food bin + 4x sacks

## 8. Suggested reasons for decision(s)

- 8.1 For the majority of residents, weekly collections of recycling and organic waste will provide an increased level of convenience whilst overcoming a number of barriers to participation, e.g., storage of materials, fear of smell/flies from food waste, etc.
- 8.2 These recommendations offer best value to the local tax payer, both financially and environmentally.

8.3 If the recommendations are not adopted, a financial pressure will be placed on the service.

# Lead officer contact

Sarah Dagwell, Head of Waste Services Steve Baker, Waste Development Manager

# Background papers

None

## **Communications Plan Summary**

## Local promotions commence May and run throughout 2013

• Public events, roadshows, markets, shopping centres, community talks, members information events

## Residents' focus groups during May

 Two groups of differing age ranges critically analyse the draft designs of each message to be circulated via the weekly collections communications campaign, i.e., leaflets, advice stickers, instructions, adverts

## Social Media commencing July

 Regular tweets, Yammer and Facebook updates informing followers of weekly collections progress and service information

## **Medway Matters**

- June/July issue: at least half page article on weekly collections
- August/September: 4-page centre spread providing full instructions on how to use weekly recycling and organics kerbside services

# 5<sup>th</sup> August to 26<sup>th</sup> October - period of targeted education and engagement

 Reminder notices of the correct way to present waste and recycling service are sent to households as necessary.

# Community officer support 5<sup>th</sup> August to 26<sup>th</sup> October

 After relevant training, Community Officers assist Waste Services in advising householders identified by crews as having difficulties presenting waste and recycling in the correct way.

## General advertising commencing September

- Billboards, bus stops, railway stations
- Contact point/library posters

## **Door-stepping** September

 Team of 3-4 trained personnel visiting targeted areas to engage residents door-to-door, offering advice and any containment required (such as blue reusable bags).

## Kitchen caddy/liners delivery September/October

 Leaflet to be delivered along with caddy/liners promoting weekly services, importance of food waste recycling and any service restrictions for garden waste.

#### CONTAMINATION POLICY

## (as per waste collection contract)

Contamination policy for kerbside refuse, garden/food and co-mingled dry recycling collections (Draft)

#### Introduction

- 1. Contractor's procedure for refuse collection
- 2. Contractor's procedure for dry recycling/garden/food collection
- 3. Contractor's procedure for repeat contamination offenders
- 4. Council procedures

## Introduction

This procedure is specifically design to ensure that all residents using the kerbside services have been provided with adequate information on how to do so properly. It seeks to deliver a chain of engagement that proves the council and its contractors have been as informative and as helpful as reasonably possible in engaging residents who have presented wrong materials in their designated receptacles.

If at any stage there is no alternative but to raise legal proceedings against a household that repeatedly attempts to misuse any of the kerbside services, it will be imperative that the chronological evidence of all engagement has been documented and that individuals have had plenty of opportunity to discuss issues and alter their behaviour.

#### 1. Contractor's procedure for refuse collection

- 1.1 Refuse crews may be presented with items not accepted on the kerbside refuse service. Such items include:
  - Bulky Household Waste;
  - Construction and demolition waste (as per the Controlled Waste Regulations 1992) including rubble, bricks, tiles, metal and soil;
  - Garden Waste.

Where such item/s are presented for collection, refuse crews should leave them in situ and attach an advisory sticker/tag (as approved by the council) to the item/s A note of the house number, street name and route number should be made on the driver's log sheet along with a brief description of the item/s left behind. If the origin of these items

- cannot reasonably be ascertained, then the collection crew must provide an accurate location of where the waste was presented.
- 1.2 It is the contractor's responsibility to update the relevant IT system by 10am on the following working day so that the council can retrieve all information regarding any contamination or non-collected waste items.

## 2. Contractor's procedure for dry recycling/garden/food collection

- 2.1 When crews are presented with a heavily contaminated recycling receptacle (one clearly containing general refuse or consisting of an obviously high level of unacceptable items), they should attach an advisory sticker/tag (as approved by the council) to the receptacle and leave it in situ. A note of the house number, street name and route number should be made on the driver's log sheet along with a brief description of the item/s left behind. If the origin of these items cannot reasonably be ascertained, then crew must provide an accurate location of where the receptacle was presented.
- 2.2 When the recycling crew are presented with a lightly contaminated receptacle (one containing one-off items such as a sizeable piece of polystyrene or an item of clothing), they should attempt to remove the item before emptying the receptacle into their freighter, replacing the previously removed item back in to the same receptacle afterwards. If the removal of the contaminant/s cannot be done safely and without causing street litter, then an advisory sticker/tag should be attached and the receptacle left in situ. Either action should be noted on the drivers log sheet.

A note of the house number, street name and route number should be made on the driver's log sheet along with a brief description of the contaminants left behind. If the origin of these items cannot reasonably be ascertained, then crew must provide an accurate location of where the receptacle was presented.

2.3 It is the contractor's responsibility to the update the relevant IT system by 10am on the following working day so that the council can retrieve all information regarding any contamination or non-collected waste items.

## 3. Contractor's procedure for repeat contamination offenders

- 3.1 The council reserve the right to issue the contractor with list of repeat offenders at intervals determined by the council
- 3.2 If the further inappropriate use of the kerbside services is discovered amongst this list (NOTE: this implies at least three incidences of

contamination per household) within a three-month period of its issue, then an alternative advisory sticker/tag (as approved by the council) must be attached to the receptacle and the relevant stages for refuse (1.1, 1.2) or recycling/garden/food (2.1, 2.2, 2.3) must be followed.

## 4. Council procedure

- 4.1 The council reserves the right of instructing the contractor to clear and dispose of any non-collected/contaminated refuse, recycling, garden and food waste at any time.
- 4.2 For first-time incidents of non-collections/contamination, a notice will be posted to the household stating the reason for waste/recycling being left behind or separated out along with any relevant leaflets/waste information.
- 4.3 For first-time reports of non-collection/contamination occurring at undefined locations, the council reserves the right to instruct the contractor to clear the waste.
- 4.4 For second-time incidents of non-collection/contamination within a three month period, either a posted or hand delivered notice stating the duty of care that the household has, will be delivered to the offending household.
- 4.5 For second-time reports of non-collection/contamination occurring at undefined locations, the council will attempt to deliver information/education to relevant households by a method of their choice. The council reserves the right to instruct the contractor to clear the waste.
- 4.6 For more than two incidents within a three-month period, the council reserves the right to instruct the contractor accordingly. It is likely that there will be some liaison or handover to an enforcement team at this stage. The councils reserves the right to instruct the contractor to clear and dispose of the waste

Directorate R&D	Name	e of Function or Policy or Major Service Change			
	<b>Waste Services:</b> Weekly recycling and organic kerbside collections, the option of smaller food waste bins to residents living in certain roads where the housing type cannot accommodate brown wheeled bin and provision of a kitchen caddy and roll of liners to all households receiving a kerbside collection.				
Officer responsible for	assess	ment	Date of assessme	ent	New or existing?
Sarah Dagwell			February 2013		Updated from 2010 version
Defining what is be	eing as	sessed			
1. Briefly describe th			e access for all abilit	ties a	nd disabilities to
purpose and objective		Medway's kerbside recycling twin stream and organics collections.			stream and organics
2. Who is intended to benefit, and in what		Residents in Medway will be able to recycle as much of their waste as possible, minimising the amount sent to landfill or energy recovery.			
3. What outcomes ar wanted?	·e	Clean, safe and environmentally sound district, where all residents can easily access services.			
4. What factors/force could contribute/det from the outcomes?	ract	Contribut Financia Political Legal		Detract Financial Political Legal	
5. Who are the main stakeholders?		Residents; council; contractor			
6. Who implements t and who is responsi		Council and waste services officers			

Assessing impact		
7. Are there concerns that		
there <u>could</u> be a differential		
impact due to racial groups?	NO	
	NO	
What evidence exists for this?	service Munici consul includi author organi and in forum,	idents receive the same waste collection es/cleansing regime; In developing the ipal waste management for Medway, we led with a very wide range of stakeholders ing councillors, parish councils, other local ities, internal officers, waste and recycling sations, charities, resident groups churches terested parties, including Medway diversity Medway ethnic minority senior citizens ation. No issues were raised.
8. Are there concerns that there <u>could</u> be a differential	Yes	1) Could be problems for identification of the different bags for the different materials
impact due to <i>disability</i> ?		for blind or partially sighted.  2) Receptacles are difficult/impossible for people of certain disabilities to get them to the kerbside for collection
What evidence exists for this?	reside bag fo releva decide it was situation	e twin stream recycling service relies on onts sorting paper and card into a separate or the mixed containers. After consulting a ont representative disability group, it was not that not one solution fits all purposes and best left to the individual to manage the on. The Waste Team will continue to assist over requested.
	assiste from the bags weither The into week matericurren individ 23L sr been of testing The 5L difficul waste converting the development of the strong the	ere a resident is less able bodied we offer an ed collection where we collect their waste heir front/back doors, this applies for black waste, recycling and bulky items. This can be temporary or permanent.  crease of recycling and organics collections ekly is likely to spread the load of these als, assisting a number of residents that may tly be having difficulties with the weight of fual bags/bins each fortnight.  mall food bins and 5L kitchen caddies have distributed across whole communities in trials a level and ease of use  kitchen caddy may assist those that have ties in making many trips to their outdoors receptacles by providing them with a mient indoor storage container  eloping the municipal waste management for any, we consulted with a very wide range of a laboration and the contains a laboration and the consulted with a very wide range of a laboration and the consulted with a laboration and the consulted with a laboration and the consulte
	stakeh other I recycli church	nolders including councillors, parish councils, ocal authorities, internal officers, waste and ing organisations, charities, resident groups nes and interested parties, including Medway lity forum. No issues were raised.

9. Are there concerns that		
there <u>could</u> be a differential impact due to <i>gender</i> ?		
	NO	
What evidence exists for this?	questic the wa differe Citizer weekly 23L sn been c	n poles asked to all genders, as was the oners associated with the development of aste strategy. Analysed and no significant neces in responses in respect of gender. In panel questionnaire tested response to collections from all genders. In panel food bins and 5L kitchen caddies have distributed across whole communities in trials a level and ease of use
10. Are there concerns there could be a differential impact		
due to sexual orientation?	NO	
What evidence exists for this?	All ser	vices are offered to all people.
11. Are there concerns there could be a have a differential		
impact due to religion or belief?	NO	
What evidence exists for this?	Medwa stakeh other I recycli church church raised 23L sn been c	eloping municipal waste management for ay, we consulted with a very wide range of olders including councillors, parish councils, ocal authorities, internal officers, waste and ang organisations, charities, resident groups les and interested parties, including various les and religious groups. No issues were mall food bins and 5L kitchen caddies have distributed across whole communities in trials a level and ease of use
12. Are there concerns there could be a differential impact		
due to people's age?	NO	
What evidence exists for this?	are no Opinio was the develor no sign of age The term consider Citizer weekly 23L so been of the consideration of	ed collections are offered to the elderly who table to handle wheeled bins or bags. In poles asked to wide variety of ages, as e questioners associated with the apment of the waste strategy. Analysed and inficant differences in responses in respect groups. In a groups, am also works with the youth parliament to expect the views of young people are also ered. In panel questionnaire tested response to a collections from all ages. In all food bins and 5L kitchen caddies have distributed across whole communities in trials a level and ease of use

12 Are there concerns that		
13. Are there concerns that		
there <u>could</u> be a differential		
impact due to being trans-		
gendered or transsexual?	NO	
What evidence exists for	All ser	vices are offered to all people.
this?	7 111 001	vices are energy to an people.
14. Are there any other		Which group(s)?
groups that would find it		Boats/ Very rural properties
difficult to access/make use		Residents who are non-literate.
of the function (e.g. people		Those where domestic economics may not
with caring responsibilities		stretch to affording kitchen caddy liners
or dependants, those with an		
offending past, or people	NO	
living in rural areas)?		
,		
What evidence exists for		areas and caravan parks and boats are
this?	offered	same service but we have to work with
	them r	egarding reasonable collection points.
		residents can read English due to literacy
		ms or English as a second language. We
		ranslation facilities as and when required
		se pictures to explain messages whenever
		le. Working with social regeneration team to
		we are aware of areas where there is a
		ntration of non-English speaking residents
		we can target appropriate communication
	materi	als as and when needed.
	As par	t of the launch of weekly recycling and
		cs collections, Waste Services will be
	_	ring a full and comprehensive
		unications campaign to ensure all residents
		access to any necessary information and that
		ation is easily understood. That information
		lude the option of using newspaper to wrap
		food in as opposed to having to by caddy
	lines.	
15. Are there concerns there		
could be a have a differential		
impact due to multiple		
discriminations (e.g. disability and age)?	NO	
What evidence exists for	No dot	ailed in all sections above
this?	As det	alleu III all Sections above
uno (		
	J	

Conclusions & recommenda	ation	
16. Could the differential		
impacts identified in		
questions 7-15 amount to		
there being the potential for	NO	
adverse impact?		
17. Can the adverse impact		Not applicable
be justified on the grounds		
of promoting equality of		
opportunity for one group?		
Or another reason?		

Recommendation to proceed to a full impact assessment?

This function/ policy/ service change complies with the requirements of the legislation and there is evidence to show this is the case.

NO

Work under taken during the development of Medway's Municipal Waste Strategy to consult with different racial, age and disability groups exist.

Action plan to make	Minor modifications	
Outcome	Actions (with date of completion)	Officer responsible
Refuse wheeled bin introduction: assessment of impacts to elderly/ infirm etc	Contract option not taken up by Medway	Sarah Dagwell
Public convenience DDA	Review provision of disabled facilities at the remaining toilets and when the APC contracts expire	Michelle Chambers
Provide option of smaller 23L food bin to housing types that cannot accommodate a wheeled bin and a 5L kitchen caddy to all households receiving the kerbside organics collection service	Trial within Luton and Strood conducted under EU-funded initiative from September 2011. No adverse feedback regarding DIA issues has been reported to Waste Services.	Steve Baker
Weekly Collections of recycling and organic waste	Two independent questionnaires have been circulated testing the response to an increase in these services: one being circulated to the Citizens Panel and one via a partnership initiative with BASF. Both confirmed potential increases in usage of these services if frequencies were to increase. No negative feedback received relating to DIA issues	Stave Baker

	2017 (before procurement work commences for next waste collection contract)
Areas to check at next review (e.g. new census information, new legislation due)	Place survey Census Waste Strategy review docs Impact of wheeled bins Impact of 23litre food waste bins
to be considered next time? Signed (completing officer	/service manager) Date

Date

Signed (service manager/Assistant Director)