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## Summary

This report details the revenue budget forecasts as at the end of Quarter 1 (April to June 2013) and highlights the major financial risks remaining in respect of the 2013/2014 General Fund revenue budget.

## 1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1. It is the responsibility of Cabinet to ensure that income and expenditure remains within the budget approved by Council.
1.2. The Cabinet is asked to accept this report as urgent to enable Cabinet to receive and consider the latest budget information at the earliest opportunity.
2. Background
2.1. At its meeting on 21 February 2013, the Council set a budget requirement of $£ 348.511$ million for $2013 / 2014$, whilst Council Tax was increased by $1.99 \%$, just below the cap imposed by Central Government.
2.2. This is the first quarter's monitoring report, summarising reports that have been considered by directorate management teams, based on returns submitted by individual budget managers. In preparing their returns, budget managers have been asked to take account of last years outturn, actual income and expenditure for the year to date and, most importantly, their knowledge of commitments and service requirements anticipated for the remainder of the financial year.
2.3. Table 1 below contains a summary of the Council's forecast position, with further detail at divisional level, prior to management action, included at Appendix 1.
2.4. The budgets shown against services differ from the totals agreed by the Council at budget setting in February, reflecting various virements and transfers, however the most significant of these is the transfer of the Independent Reviewing Officers from BSD, back to the Children and Adults directorate (circa £1.1 million).

## 3. Summary Revenue Budget Position 2013/2014

3.1 It can be seen from Table 1 that, including the management action reported by RCC, the forecast outturn for 2013/2014 is estimated at $£ 4.6$ million. Management action plans are being formulated and it is anticipated that this figure will come down as the year progresses.

Table 1: Quarter 1 Summary

| Directorate | Budget <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 2 0 1 4}$ <br> $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 s}$ | Forecast <br> variance <br> $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 s}$ | Proposed <br> action <br> £000s | Adjusted <br> variance <br> $£ 000 \mathbf{s}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Children and Adult Services: |  |  |  |  |
| - DSG and School Specific Spend | 137,807 | 620 | 0 | 620 |
| - General Fund services | 119,770 | 3,600 | 0 | 3,600 |
| Regeneration, Community and <br> Culture | 59,404 | 1,744 | $(1,195)$ | 549 |
| Business Support Department | 9,309 | 207 | 0 | 207 |
| Public Health | 12,925 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest \& Financing | 14,892 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Levies | 879 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Better for Less | $(912)$ | 212 | 0 | 212 |
| Budget Requirement | $\mathbf{3 5 4 , 0 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 1 9 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 9 6}$ |
| Funded by: |  |  |  |  |
| Dedicated Schools Grant | $(139,303)$ | $(620)$ | 0 | $(620)$ |
| Formula Grant | $(105,430)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Council Tax | $(87,565)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Health Grant | $(13,170)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Specific Grants | $(7,636)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Use of Reserves | $(970)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Available Funding | $\mathbf{( 3 5 4 , 0 7 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 2 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 2 0 )}$ |
| Net Forecast Variance | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 1 9 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 6}$ |

## 4. Children and Adults Services

4.1 The directorate is currently forecasting a $£ 3.6$ million overspend against its general fund budgets, prior to agreeing management action. The principal reasons for this are:

- A predicted overspend of £2.2 million within the Children’s Care division, partly as a result of pressure on the looked after children's placements budget. However, there has also been a need for supernumerary staffing to cover a high level of vacancies in the service and support the improvement programme following an inadequate rating in January 2013 for our safeguarding services for children. The latter is critical to ensure we are able to deliver safe statutory services for children and young people in Medway whilst addressing areas for improvement both for the immediate and longer terms against
- A£1.14 million overspend in relation to home to school transport for children with special needs. Whilst this does represent a predicted overspend, the context is that the budget setting exercise reduced the base budget, anticipating savings to be made through a reprocurement of routes. The forecast is approximately $£ 400,000$ more than last year, with the difference being due to increased transport costs. Expenditure on hired transport has increased from $£ 23,000$ per day to $£ 25,500$ per day, between May 2012 and June 2013 (almost 11\%).
4.2 The directorate management team have agreed in principle management action to address and minimise the overspend in Children's Social Care, but they have not applied any financial targets at this stage:
- A reconciliation of all budget lines to ensure projections for placements of looked after children and staffing are as accurate as possible and not over-stated;
- Work with our commissioners, business team and operational staff to reduce the high number of children placed in independent fostering agencies, by developing our in-house foster care capacity and more effectively managing and leveraging the market;
- An urgent review of our adoption charges for POLA children in Medway in line with an almost doubling of charge by other local authorities from $£ 13,000$ to $£ 27,000$;
- undertaking a whole scale restructure of the Children's Social Care division (due for formal consultation in August 2013) in conjunction with a robust recruitment strategy, connected to our Improvement Plan to ensure more effective and efficient ways of working, improve demand management and reduce the reliance on agency staff in the medium to longer term.


## 5 Regeneration Community \& Culture

5.1 The directorate is forecasting an overspend of $£ 549,000$ after revisiting some forecasts felt to be overly pessimistic by DMT and after further management action. The original forecasts from Divisional Service managers reported a forecast overspend of $£ 1.744 \mathrm{~m}$ with the principle reasons being:

- The Major Project service is forecasting a shortfall against its income target of $£ 227,000$ due to a reduced capital programme. Not recruiting to permanent vacancies and restrictions on consulting and temporary staff reduce the pressure to $£ 173,000$;
- Economic Development includes a pressure of $£ 59,000$ in respect of the Innovation Centre, principally due to less than budgeted broadband \& rental income;
- Development Management is forecasting a shortfall of $£ 214,000$ in fee income due to the poor economic climate.
- Housing Solutions is expected to overspend by $£ 159,000$ as a result of higher than budgeted temporary accommodation, costs offset by savings on the prevention budgets.
- Events, Arts \& Theatres are forecasting overspends of $£ 96,000, £ 34,000$ and $£ 213,000$ respectively mainly due to shortfalls in income to budget; and
- Directorate Support includes a pressure of $£ 280,000$ as required savings, in relation to prudential borrowing costs, had not been identified.
5.2 Consideration by the RCC DMT has identified the following revisions and management actions to reduce the deficit by $£ 1.195 \mathrm{~m}$ to $£ 549,000$ :
5.2.1 Front Line Services:
- A saving of $£ 100,000$ in recognition that the service manager post in Capital Projects will not be filled although the salary and associated income target remain in the budget.
- A saving of $£ 80,000$ from Waste by revisiting forecasts effected by the new services funded from DCLG money and savings via improved ratios against landfill; and
- Increasing forecast income by $£ 50,000$ from Parking Services to bring it in line with outturn 2012/13.
5.2.2 This leaves a £19,000 forecast overspend still to be managed in Front Line Services.
5.3 Housing \& Regeneration:
- Revise forecasts to assume $£ 25,000$ extra in planning fees income;
- $£ 140,000$ saving by assuming same level of court costs awarded and income from Public Enquiry costs as per 2012/13 outturn;
- £80,000 saving from rent support bond in Housing Solutions.
5.3.1 This leaves a $£ 259,000$ forecast overspend in Housing and Regeneration.


### 5.4 Leisure \& Culture:

- Additional measures totalling $£ 160,000$ from theatres to be reflected in revised forecasts;
- Savings from vacant posts of $£ 100,000$ to offset vacancy factor;
- Reported revisions for Greenspaces saving £50,000;
- A reduction of $£ 50,000$ to be found against forecasts for Tourism budgets; and
- $£ 80,000$ to be found to manage the forecast overspend on Leisure and Sports and to bring it more in line with 2012/13 outturn.
5.4.1 This leaves a forecast overspend of $£ 284,000$ in Leisure \& Culture.
5.5 Directorate Support:
- Prudential borrowing related savings identified within Highways $£ 280,000$.
5.5.1 This leaves a $£ 13,000$ underspend in Directorate Support.

6. Business Support
6.1 The department is forecasting an overspend of $£ 418,000$ against its net revenue budget of $£ 8.4 \mathrm{~m}$. The principle reasons for this are as follows:

- Delays to the Better for Less (BfL) implementation programme are anticipated to create a pressure of $£ 212,000$.
- The ICT service is forcasting an overspend of $£ 96,000$ as a result of required support to the BfL programme.
- Some vacancy factors are currently not being achieved but this situation is likely to improve later in the financial year.
6.2 It should be noted that the transfer of facility management responsibilities to Medway Norse will be reflected in the next monitoring cycle. At this stage no variance has been forecast against the savings targets in place for the Agency Staff (£250k) and Facilities Management (£482k) category management workstreams.


## 7. Public Health

7.1. $\quad$ The Public Health budget is essentially funded from the new ring-fenced Public Health Grant. The Director fully expects to spend the funds she has available to deliver the joint Local Authority and Clinical Commissioning Group health agenda and is predicting a breakeven, although there is a risk that hitherto unidentified spend and commitments could materialise, as the year progresses.

## 8. Interest \& Financing

8.1 Interest rates continue to be at an all-time low and the euro crisis and financial market nervousness continues. Against this backdrop in 2012/13 Interest and Finance returned a surplus against budget of some £1.068 million. In anticipation that markets remain static Council took the decision in February to utilise this surplus to offset budget pressures elsewhere and specifically to bring to an end the repayment of borrowing costs by highways that enabled additional highways spending of $£ 480,000$ pa. As a consequence 2013/14 will be challenging given that some of the higher rate deposits held in 2012/13 will drop out. At this stage a forecast of break-even is given.
9. Levies
9.1 Overall the levies heading is forecasting an overspend of just under £8,000. These levies are not directly 'controllable' by the Council albeit there is Member representation on some of the bodies. The individual forecast amounts for 2013/14 are:

- Coroners Service (via KCC) $£ 475,000$ (overspend $£ 70,456$ )
- Kent \& East Fisheries $£ 32,505$ (underspend $£ 5,231$ )
- Environment Agency $£ 55,916$ (overspend $£ 750$ )
- Flood and Coastal Defence $£ 322,748$ (underspend $£ 58,317$ )


## 10. Dedicated Schools Grant

10.1 This grant is ring fenced to school services and any increase/decrease is matched by a compensating change in schools budgets/contingencies.
11. Council Tax Freeze Grant
11.1 Medway Council rejected the offer of a further freeze grant for 2013/14.

## 12. Specific Grants

12.1 This line includes the Education Services Grant (£3.6 million), the New Homes Bonus ( $£ 3.6$ million) and some other smaller grants.
13. Planned Use of Reserves
13.1 Council agreed to use $£ 970,000$ from the reserves to fund one-off initiatives as follows:

- Children's Social Care Improvement Plan - $£ 350,000$;
- Medway’s Sporting Legacy - £245,000;
- One-off bonus payment to staff - $£ 300,000$;
- Transitional payment to Medway Youth Trust - £75,000.


## 14. Housing Revenue Account

14.1 The Housing Revenue Account is forecasting a surplus of $£ 244,000$. This is mainly due to staff savings from vacant posts of $£ 98,000$ and savings on repairs of $£ 173,000$.
15. Conclusions
15.1 The first quarter returns from budget managers forecast a potential overspend of $£ 5.8$ million for non-DSG services. However, the Regeneration, Community and Culture directorate management team has identified actions to reduce the Council's overall forecast to a potential overspend of around $£ 4.6$ million. The first quarter is generally the most pessimistic forecast of the year albeit there are elements of the Children and Adult forecast that would appear to be intractable and may require cross-directorate compensatory action. Directorate management teams are continuing to investigate identified variations and develop actions to mitigate overspends.
16. Financial, risk management and legal implications
16.1. These are set out in the body of the report.

## 17. Recommendations

17.1. Cabinet are requested to note the result of the first round of quarterly revenue monitoring for 2013/2014 and recommended to instruct Directors to come forward with further proposals for management action to reduce the potential deficit.

## 18. Suggested reasons for decision

18.1 Cabinet has the responsibility to ensure effective budgetary control to contain expenditure within the approved limits set by Council. Where a budget overspend is forecast, Cabinet supported by the corporate management team must identify measures to remove any excess expenditure.

## Lead officer contacts

Kevin Woolmer, Finance Manager, Gun Wharf, Tel (01634) 332151, e-mail kevin.woolmer@medway.gov.uk
Phil Watts, Finance Manager, Gun Wharf, Tel (01634) 331196
e-mail phil.watts@medway.gov.uk

## Background papers

Revenue budget approved by Council 21 February 2013
http://democracy.medway.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=122\&MId=2580\&Ver=4
Monthly monitoring returns submitted by budget managers

| Assistant Director | Expenditure |  |  |  | Income |  |  |  | NetForecastVariance£000's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2013 / 2014 \\ \text { Budget } \\ £ 000 \text { 's } \end{gathered}$ | Actual to date £000's | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2013 / 2014 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ £ 000 \text { 's } \end{gathered}$ | Forecast Variance £000's | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2013 / 2014 \\ \text { Budget } \\ £ 000 \text { 's } \end{gathered}$ | Actual to date £000's | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2013 / 2014 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ £ 000 \text { 's } \end{gathered}$ | Forecast Variance £000's |  |
| Children and Adult Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult Social Care | 89,022 | 20,425 | 88,281 | (741) | $(20,369)$ | $(2,999)$ | $(19,627)$ | 742 | 0 |
| Children's Care | 30,711 | 6,521 | 32,951 | 2,240 | (896) | (250) | (930) | (33) | 2,207 |
| Commissioning and Traded Services | 6,899 | 2,218 | 6,983 | 85 | $(1,377)$ | (105) | $(1,373)$ | 4 | 89 |
| Inclusion and School Improvement | 42,853 | 7,792 | 43,953 | 1,101 | $(5,865)$ | (20) | $(5,795)$ | 70 | 1,171 |
| Schools Retained Funding and Grants | 7,657 | 4,512 | 7,834 | 176 | (426) | (526) | (492) | (65) | 111 |
| Schools Delegated Funding | 109,368 | 24,115 | 109,368 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transfer to DSG Reserve | 0 | 0 | 643 | 643 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 643 |
|  | 286,510 | 65,583 | 290,014 | 3,503 | $(28,933)$ | $(3,900)$ | $(28,216)$ | 717 | 4,220 |
| Regeneration, Community and Culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Front Line Services | 51,071 | 20,991 | 51,711 | 640 | $(14,771)$ | (994) | $(15,162)$ | (391) | 249 |
| Housing, Development and Transport | 10,464 | 2,125 | 13,208 | 2,744 | $(2,945)$ | (435) | $(5,185)$ | $(2,240)$ | 504 |
| Leisure and Culture | 22,665 | 3,832 | 22,999 | 333 | $(7,813)$ | $(1,543)$ | $(7,422)$ | 391 | 724 |
| Directorate Support | 815 | 124 | 1,096 | 281 | (82) | (13) | (94) | (13) | 268 |
|  | 85,016 | 27,072 | 89,013 | 3,997 | $(25,611)$ | $(2,986)$ | $(27,864)$ | $(2,253)$ | 1,744 |
| Business Support Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate Services | 8,391 | 2,726 | 8,355 | (36) | $(10,130)$ | $(2,787)$ | $(10,006)$ | 124 | 88 |
| Financial Services | 120,091 | 22,525 | 121,600 | 1,509 | $(110,346)$ | $(20,772)$ | $(111,970)$ | $(1,624)$ | (115) |
| Democracy and Customer First | 10,837 | 3,637 | 10,923 | 86 | $(8,784)$ | $(3,436)$ | $(8,856)$ | (72) | 14 |
| Communications, Performance and Partnership | 4,852 | 1,947 | 5,846 | 994 | $(5,061)$ | $(1,557)$ | $(5,922)$ | (861) | 133 |
| Organisational Services | 12,420 | 3,374 | 11,852 | (568) | $(12,961)$ | $(3,491)$ | $(12,306)$ | 655 | 87 |
|  | 156,591 | 34,209 | 158,576 | 1,985 | $(147,282)$ | $(32,043)$ | $(149,060)$ | $(1,778)$ | 207 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Director of Public Health | 13,017 | 1,978 | 13,063 | 46 | (92) | (64) | (138) | (46) | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and Financing | 19,788 | 2,997 | 19,788 | 0 | $(4,896)$ | (158) | $(4,896)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Levies | 911 | 226 | 919 | 8 | (32) | (10) | (32) | 0 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Better for Less' Savings Target | (912) | 0 | (700) | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directorate Total | 560,921 | 132,065 | 570,673 | 9,752 | $(206,846)$ | $(39,161)$ | $(210,206)$ | $(3,360)$ | 6,392 |
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Portfolio Holder: Councillor Alan Jarrett, Finance

Report from: Mick Hayward, Chief Finance Officer
Author: Phil Watts, Finance Manager C\&A
Kevin Woolmer, Finance Manager BSD / RCC

## Summary

This report presents the capital monitoring for the period to June 2013, with an outturn forecast for 2013/14.

## 1. Budget and policy framework

1.1 It is the responsibility of Cabinet to ensure that expenditure for each capital scheme remains within the budget approved by the Council, but it remains the responsibility of Council to approve schemes for inclusion in the capital programme.
1.2 The Cabinet is asked to accept this report as urgent to enable Cabinet to receive and consider the latest budget information at the earliest opportunity.

## 2. Background

2.1 The approved capital programme for $2013 / 14$ and future years is $£ 76.8 \mathrm{~m}$, being $£ 41.6 \mathrm{~m}$ in respect of brought forward schemes and $£ 35.2$. m in respect of new approvals.
2.2 This report consolidates the capital monitoring undertaken by each directorate as summarised in the appendices.

## 3. Progress

3.1 Table 1 below summarises the current overall expenditure and the forecast position against the capital programme, representing a summary of the detailed information at Appendix 1. Appendices 2 to 5 show the position by directorate.

Table 1: Summary - capital spend and forecasts

| Directorate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| Business Support Department | 34,150 | 28,528 | 2,798 | 2,697 | (127) |
| Children and Adult Services | 155,611 | 123,865 | 22,460 | 9,341 | 55 |
| Regeneration, Community and Culture | 91,746 | 52,914 | 26,614 | 12,218 | 0 |
| Member Priorities | 1,332 | 707 | 618 | 1 | (6) |
| TOTAL | 282,839 | 206,014 | 52,490 | 24,257 | (78) |

3.2 The progress reports utilise a 'smiley face' project monitoring system that indicates progress at a glance. With only a few exceptions, the relevant budget manager has provided the information in the progress fields of the report. The criteria for the 'smiley' rating is as follows:
(-) Scheme progressing on time and within budget
$\because \quad$ Scheme progressing on time but not within budget or scheme progressing on budget but not within expected timescales

Scheme neither progressing within expected timescales nor within budget.

Table 2 summarises the projects progress. Full detail is shown in the appendices:

Table 2: Project Progress Summary

| Progress <br> Monitoring | $\ddots$ | $\ddots$ | $\ddots$ | Total <br> Schemes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BSD | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Children \& Adults | 36 | 16 | 0 | 52 |
| RCC | 38 | 5 | 0 | 43 |
| Member Priorities | 18 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| Total | 101 | 23 | 0 | 124 |

## 4. Specific Scheme Monitoring Issues and Completions

$81 \%$ of schemes are progressing well, forecast to complete on time and to budget.

### 4.1 Business Support (Appendix 2)

4.1.1 Council has currently agreed one addition to the Business Support Department's capital programme for 2013-2014 and beyond, an increase of $£ 1.591 \mathrm{~m}$ in respect of the ‘Thin Client’ project. To this has been added $£ 4.03$ million rolled forward from the 2012/13 programme, giving the directorate a total capital programme of $£ 5.62$ million this year.
4.1.2 The directorate forecast reflects the planned phasing of expenditure and forecasts a roll forward of $£ 2.8 \mathrm{~m}$ into future years. There are no areas of concern to report.

### 4.2 Children and Adults (Appendix 3)

4.2.1 Since the 2013/14 capital programme was agreed by Council, the directorate's final 2012/13 carry forward has been confirmed at £19.0 million. The 2013/14 grant settlement was also confirmed comprising the following:

- Condition Programme - $£ 3.0$ million;
- Basic Need - $£ 5.0$ million, covering 2013/14 and 2014/15;
- Adult Social Care - $£ 537,000$;
- Schools Devolved Formula Capital - £532,000.
4.2.2 The agreed capital programme also included $£ 1.3$ million of new developer contributions to supplement the Basic Need Grant.
4.2.3 Since Council agreed the capital programme the following additions have been made, under delegated powers, being fully funded from external sources;
- Adjustment to the Academies Grant figures £1.6 million;
- 2012-13 Aiming High Grant £204,000;
- 2012-13 Early Years Grant for two years old nursery places $£ 561,000$.
4.2.4 The Children and Adult Services directorate is currently working to a 2013/14 capital programme of $£ 31.7$ million.
4.2.5 A number of virements to tidy up minor overspends and underspends have been agreed under the Director's delegated authority, however there are two more significant virements, which require Cabinet approval:
- Transfer of the unspent balance of the Inspiration Centre budget, funded from Target Capital Grant, to supplement the SEN programme £557,829;
- Transfer of the unspent 2012-13 Capital Maintenance Grant from the Condition programme to supplement the funding for provision of additional primary school places $£ 550,202$;
4.2.6 Based on the quarter 1 monitoring returns, as discussed and agreed by the directorate's capital review group, the directorate is forecasting capital expenditure of $£ 22.5$ million this year, $£ 9.3$ million in future years, and a total overspend of $£ 54,000$ although it is anticipated that this will be managed during the year.
4.2.7 The capital monitoring includes a standing item to update Members on the progress of the Council's Academies programme:


## Strood Academy

It is now a year since completion of the main buildings and BAM Construction are carrying out defects rectification over the summer holiday period. The landscaping and demolition works are now complete. This project was awarded a Considerate Contractor Scheme Bronze Award in Spring 2013, which recognised the exceptional standards of consideration shown towards their local neighbourhoods, the general public, the workforce and the environment.

Bishop of Rochester Academy
The new building was completed on 28 March 2013 and the academy decanting over the Easter holiday period. Following the demolition survey results, BAM Construction have confirmed that additional asbestos has been discovered, encased within the floor voids of the old Medway Community College building. We are currently awaiting confirmation of the financial implications of this, which are due shortly.

## Brompton Academy

The new building was completed two weeks ahead of programme on 18 June 2013. The decanting process is now underway in readiness for the students first days in the building in September 2013. It is anticipated that additional asbestos maybe found when the demolition surveys are undertaken by BAM Construction next month. This is based on the knowledge that during the demolition of the Youth House buildings in 2011 additional encased asbestos was discovered. This site was also awarded a Considerate Contractor Scheme Bronze Award in Spring 2013, which recognised the exceptional standards of consideration shown towards their local neighbourhoods, the general public, the workforce and the environment.

### 4.3 Regeneration, Community and Culture (Appendix 4)

4.3.1 In agreeing the 2013/14 programme, Council approved additions to the capital programme totalling $£ 13.287 \mathrm{~m}$ :

- Local Transport Plan £3.729m
- Eastgate House £2.069m
- Highway Investment $£ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
- HRA related $£ 5 \mathrm{~m}$
- Disabled Facility Grants $£ 0.989 \mathrm{~m}$


### 4.3.2 Remaining approvals rolled forward from $2012 / 13$ amounted to $£ 17.969$ m.

4.3.3 The following further additions (externally funded) have been made under delegated powers giving a total remaining programme of $£ 38.832 \mathrm{~m}$ :

- Rochester Castle Floodlighting (grant) £26,500
- Beechings Pavilion (developer contributions) $£ 40,852$
- Capstone Country Park (developer contributions) $£ 30,000$
- Highway Maintenance (grant) £390,000
- Weekly waste collection (grant) £7.0 million
- Disabled Facility Grants (grant) $£ 4,717$
- Artlands (grant) £84,000
4.3.4 There are currently no schemes forecasting an overspend.


### 4.4 Members Priorities (Appendix 5)

4.4.1 Approvals outstanding are RCC $£ 324,830$ and C\&A $£ 16,459$ leaving an unallocated balance in BSD of $£ 283,929$. Allocations new in 2013/14 are;

- Medway Park - Memorial Wall $£ 8,500$
- Queen Elizabeth II Park - Path $£ 3,750$
- Princes Avenue - Traffic Calming $£ 62,060$
- Rainham - Road Network £73,200


## 5. New Schemes

5.1 At its meeting on 25 July 2013, Council agreed to add $£ 4,400,000$ to the capital programme to fund improvements at Rochester Airport. This is made up of $£ 4,000,000$ as a contribution towards the works which the airport operator will carry out and the balance of $£ 400,000$ to fund professional fees and works on the Council's retained land. This addition will be reflected in the monitoring report for quarter 2.
6. Conclusions
6.1 This report provides an analysis of the projected expenditure against the Council's capital programme, based upon the quarter 1 monitoring returns submitted by managers during July 2013.

## 7. Risk Management

7.1 Cabinet are asked to note the risk alluded to in 4.2.7, in relation to the asbestos discovered under the former Medway Community College building and the potential for more asbestos on the Brompton site. This potentially has a significant cost implication, which would fall to the local authority.
8. Financial and Legal Implications
8.1 The financial implications are fully analysed in the report.

## 9. Recommendations

9.1 Cabinet are requested to:

- Note the spending forecasts summarised at Table 1;
- Note the virements outlined at paragraph 4.2.5;
- Note the increase to the total Academies programme, reflecting the final ringfenced grant figure following changes to the assumptions in the gateway 3 reports;
- Note additions to the capital programme made under delegated powers as outlined in paragraphs 4.2.3 and 4.3.3.


## 10. Suggested Reasons for Decision

10.1 Cabinet has the responsibility to ensure effective budgetary control to contain expenditure within the approved limits set by Council.

## Lead officer contact

Phil Watts, Finance Manager C\&A, Gun Wharf, Tel. (01634) 331196. e-mail phil.watts@medway.gov.uk.gov.uk
Kevin Woolmer, Finance Manager BSD/RCC, Gun Wharf, Tel (01634) 332151
e.mail kevin.woolmer@medway.gov.uk

## Background papers

Capital budget approved by Council 21 February 2013 http://democracy.medway.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=122\&MId=2580\&Ver=4

Monthly monitoring returns submitted by budget managers
Cabinet Report Quarter 1 2013-2014

| Name of Directorate | Approved gross cost of scheme | Total Expenditure from adoption to 31 March 2013 | 2013-2014 Approved Programme |  |  |  |  | Approved Spend forecast for later years |  |  | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rolled forward from earlier years | Approvals for 2013-2014 | Remaining scheme budget | Spend and commitments to date | Forecast spend in 2013 2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |
|  | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| Business Support | 34,150,357 | 28,528,627 | 4,030,147 | 1,591,583 | 5,621,730 | 2,183,018 | 2,798,239 | 1,667,092 | 662,812 | 366,202 | $(127,385)$ |
| Children \& Adults | 155,611,040 | 123,864,548 | 19,032,655 | 12,713,837 | 31,746,492 | 17,978,882 | 22,459,891 | 9,139,703 | 201,723 | 0 | 54,825 |
| Regeneration Community \& Culture | 91,745,833 | 52,913,765 | 17,968,999 | 20,863,069 | 38,832,068 | 18,448,534 | 26,613,815 | 10,607,927 | 1,610,326 | 0 | 0 |
| Member's Priorities | 1,332,208 | 706,989 | 616,719 | 8,500 | 625,219 | 133,770 | 617,968 | 1,165 | 0 | 0 | $(6,086)$ |
| Grand Total | 282,839,438 | 206,013,929 | 41,648,520 | 35,176,989 | 76,825,509 | 38,744,204 | 52,489,913 | 21,415,887 | 2,474,861 | 366,202 | $(78,646)$ |

Cabinet Report Business Support Department Quarter 1 2013-2014

| Description Of Scheme | Approved Gross Cost of Scheme | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  |  |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | Total Project Variance | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rolled <br> Forward from Earlier Years | New Approvals | Remaining Scheme Budget | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outturn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 and future years |  |  |
| ICT Strategic Fund - Grant \& Capital Receipts Funded | 2,104,391 | 1,972,765 | 131,626 | 0 | 131,626 | 2,608 | 81,626 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Thin Client | 1,591,583 | 0 | 0 | 1,591,583 | 1,591,583 | 66,225 | 517,065 | 864,321 | 82,812 | 0 | $(127,385)$ | - |
| Total for ICT | 3,695,974 | 1,972,765 | 131,626 | 1,591,583 | 1,723,209 | 68,833 | 598,691 | 914,321 | 82,812 | 0 | $(127,385)$ |  |
| Mercury Abatement - Dev \& Other Contributions and Prul Borrow Funded | 2,724,938 | 1,860,990 | 863,948 | 0 | 863,948 | 1,860,990 | 841,177 | 22,771 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Total for Bereavement Services | 2,724,938 | 1,860,990 | 863,948 | 0 | 863,948 | 1,860,990 | 841,177 | 22,771 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Better for Less - Mobile Working | 319,280 | 109,623 | 209,657 | 0 | 209,657 | 131,858 | 209,657 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Better for Less CRM System | 1,277,443 | 1,485,092 | $(207,649)$ | 0 | $(207,649)$ | 65,294 | 65,294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(272,943)$ | $\because$ |
| Better for Less Document Manager | 803,277 | 236,947 | 566,330 | 0 | 566,330 | 17,599 | 293,387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272,943 | $\because$ |
| Total for Better for Less | 2,400,000 | 1,831,662 | 568,338 | 0 | 568,338 | 214,751 | 568,338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Building Repair and Maintenance Fund | 4,129,500 | 2,373,254 | 1,756,246 | 0 | 1,756,246 | 37,764 | 667,000 | 530,000 | 380,000 | 179,246 | 0 | - |
| Pentagon Staff Car Park (BRMF funded) | 160,000 | 149,662 | 10,338 | 0 | 10,338 | 0 | 10,338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Gun Wharf Reception \& Signage | 100,000 | 87,305 | 12,695 | 0 | 12,695 | 680 | 12,695 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Strood Riverside supporting work for CPO and land acquisition | 20,939,945 | 20,252,989 | 686,956 | 0 | 686,956 | 0 | 100,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 186,956 | 0 | - |
| Total for Property, Buildings \& Design | 25,329,445 | 22,863,210 | 2,466,235 | 0 | 2,466,235 | 38,444 | 790,033 | 730,000 | 580,000 | 366,202 | 0 |  |
| Grand total | 34,150,357 | 28,528,627 | 4,030,147 | 1,591,583 | 5,621,730 | 2,183,018 | 2,798,239 | 1,667,092 | 662,812 | 366,202 | $(127,385)$ |  |


| Description Of Scheme | Approved Total Scheme Budget | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  |  |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | Total Project Variance | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rolled Forward from Earlier Years | New Approvals | Remaining Scheme Budget | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outturn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 and future years |  |  |
| Modernisation and Transformation | 1,804,562 | 915,838 | 586,723 | 302,001 | 888,724 | 0 | 888,724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Occupational Therapy Adaptations | 1,311,084 | 1,068,279 | 8,205 | 234,600 | 242,805 | 34,881 | 242,805 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Mental Health - Vocational Rehabilitation, Community bridge-building and basic IT skills provision | 297,364 | 297,364 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,064 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Changing Places | 105,000 | 45,321 | 59,679 | 0 | 59,679 | 0 | 59,679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| ASC Mobile Working | 114,501 | 0 | 114,501 | 0 | 114,501 | 0 | 114,501 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Integrated Care Management System | 1,317,895 | 299,015 | 1,018,880 | 0 | 1,018,880 | 201,723 | 617,157 | 200,000 | 201,723 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Total for Adult Social Care | 4,950,406 | 2,625,817 | 1,787,988 | 536,601 | 2,324,589 | 248,668 | 1,922,866 | 200,000 | 201,723 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Aiming High for Disabled Children | 799,184 | 396,145 | 199,401 | 203,638 | 403,039 | 0 | 403,039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Total for Commissioning | 799,184 | 396,145 | 199,401 | 203,638 | 403,039 | 0 | 403,039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CYC - Gillingham North | 20,893 | 0 | 20,893 | 0 | 20,893 | 20,893 | 20,893 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Cyber Youth Connection | 19,084 | 0 | 19,084 | 0 | 19,084 | 19,084 | 33,206 | 33,206 | 0 | 0 | 47,328 | $\because$ |
| Total for Inclusion | 39,977 | 0 | 39,977 | 0 | 39,977 | 39,977 | 54,099 | 33,206 | 0 | 0 | 47,328 |  |
| All Saints Childrens Centre - Cafe Improvement Works | 65,000 | 5,763 | 59,237 | 0 | 59,237 | 890 | 59,237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Early Years Nursery Provision | 560,659 | 0 | 0 | 560,659 | 560,659 | 0 | 200,000 | 360,659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Total for Early Years | 625,659 | 5,763 | 59,237 | 560,659 | 619,896 | 890 | 259,237 | 360,659 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Medway Grid for Learning - Broadband Connectivity | 2,164,620 | 1,607,314 | 557,306 | 0 | 557,306 | 55,793 | 279,050 | 278,256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Medway UTC Development | 94,000 | 39,051 | 54,949 | 0 | 54,949 | 4,419 | 25,000 | 29,949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Will Adams Diploma Project | 50,000 | 40,585 | 9,415 | 0 | 9,415 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(9,415)$ | (-) |
| Diploma Gateway - Medway Partnership | 856,000 | 850,066 | 5,934 | 0 | 5,934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(5,934)$ | $\because$ |
| Total for Advisors Projects | 3,164,620 | 2,537,016 | 627,604 | 0 | 627,604 | 60,212 | 304,050 | 308,205 | 0 | 0 | $(15,349)$ |  |
| Lordswood Primary Amalgamation Works | 1,235,956 | 1,235,956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | (-) |
| Oaklands Primary Amalgamation Works | 1,875,991 | 1,875,991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | $\because$ |
| Napier Primary Expansion following relocation of Robert Napier 6th form | 2,442,396 | 2,442,396 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,680 | 2,630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,630 | $\because$ |
| Walderslade Primary - New Build | 5,510,000 | 5,510,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,197 | 19,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,197 | $\because$ |
| Total for Primary Strategy | 11,064,343 | 11,064,343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,451 | 22,401 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,401 |  |
| Academy Prog Proj Mgmt \& Tech Advisory | 172,134 | 112,134 | 9,379,890 | $(9,319,890)$ | 60,000 | 9,379,890 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Brompton Academy - New Build | 24,190,630 | 17,581,223 | 0 | 6,609,407 | 6,609,407 | 3,594,951 | 6,609,407 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Strood Academy - New Build | 28,124,168 | 27,859,544 | 0 | 264,624 | 264,624 | 52,225 | 264,624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | $\because$ |
| Bishop of Rochester Academy - New Build | 25,353,466 | 21,322,284 | 0 | 4,031,182 | 4,031,182 | 1,821,739 | 4,031,182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Total for Academies Programme | 77,840,398 | 66,875,185 | 9,379,890 | 1,585,323 | 10,965,213 | 14,848,805 | 10,965,213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) |  |


| Description Of Scheme | Approved Total Scheme Budget | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  |  |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | Total Project Variance | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rolled Forward from Earlier Years | New Approvals | Remaining Scheme Budget | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outturn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 and future years |  |  |
| SEN Projects | 2,916,522 | 10,920 | 2,905,602 | 0 | 2,905,602 | 16,450 | 500,000 | 2,405,602 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Total for SEN Strategy | 2,916,522 | 10,920 | 2,905,602 | 0 | 2,905,602 | 16,450 | 500,000 | 2,405,602 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Basic Needs Programme | 5,707,306 | 2,660 | 3,251,959 | 2,452,687 | 5,704,646 | 0 | 0 | 4,605,646 | 0 | 0 | $(1,099,000)$ | (-) |
| Wainscott Primary Expansion to 2FE | 3,923,471 | 586,807 | $(536,807)$ | 3,873,471 | 3,336,664 | 26,413 | 3,506,664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170,000 | $\because$ |
| Basic Needs - Programme Management | 90,000 | 90,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Basic Need - Greenvale | 345,711 | 345,711 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 595 | 174,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174,000 | $\because$ |
| Elaine Primary - Expansion Works | 623,867 | 623,867 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Brompton Westbrook Primary - Expansion to 2FE | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 2,700 | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240,000 | (-) |
| Chatham Primary Academy | 50,000 | 14,587 | 35,413 | 0 | 35,413 | 41,176 | 535,413 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500,000 | (-) |
| Saxon Way Primary - Expansion to 2FE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | $\because$ |
| Total for Basic Needs | 10,750,355 | 1,663,632 | 2,760,565 | 6,326,158 | 9,086,723 | 71,007 | 4,481,077 | 4,605,646 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Various Schools - Kitchen Renovation | 589,399 | 419,739 | 69,660 | 100,000 | 169,660 | 250 | 169,660 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Abbey Court - Hydrotherapy Pool | 65,240 | 40,240 | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| The Pilgrim CE Primary School | 3,232,501 | 3,207,501 | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Total for Other School Projects | 3,887,140 | 3,667,480 | 119,660 | 100,000 | 219,660 | 50,250 | 219,660 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Condition Programme 2011/12 - Programme Management | 270,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Roofing | 414,280 | 414,280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Boilers | 1,654,270 | 1,584,270 | 0 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Asbestos | 129,339 | 109,339 | 0 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Electrical Works | 52,331 | 52,331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | $\because$ |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Fire Risk Assessment | 109,099 | 109,099 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | $(\because)$ |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Security | 15,091 | 15,091 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Water Treatment | 158,848 | 156,848 | 0 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Condition Programme 2012/13-Other | 761,291 | 271,564 | 92,000 | 397,727 | 489,727 | 489,727 | 489,727 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Condition Programme 2013/14 - Boilers | 860,000 | 0 | 0 | 860,000 | 860,000 | 860,000 | 860,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Condition Programme 2013/14-Roofing | 380,000 | 0 | 0 | 380,000 | 380,000 | 38,000 | 380,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Condition Programme 2013/14 - Electrical | 215,000 | 0 | 0 | 215,000 | 215,000 | 215,000 | 215,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Condition Programme 2013/14-Water Mgmt | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |

Cabinet Report Children and Adults Quarter 1 2013-2014

| Description Of Scheme | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Approved } \\ \text { Total Scheme } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  |  |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | Total ProjectVariance | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rolled Forward from Earlier Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Approvals } \end{gathered}$ | Remaining Scheme Budget | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outturn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 and future years |  |  |
| Condition Programme 2013/14-FRA | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Condition Programme 2013/14-Asbestos | 125,000 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Condition Programme 2013/14-Security | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Total for Condition Programme | 5,794,549 | 2,832,822 | 92,000 | 2,869,727 | 2,961,727 | 2,620,172 | 2,962,172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 |  |
| Sub Total | 121,833,153 | 91,679,123 | 17,971,924 | 12,182,106 | 30,154,030 | 17,978,882 | 22,093,814 | 7,913,318 | 201,723 | 0 | 54,825 |  |
| Schools Devolved Formula Capital | 33,777,887 | 32,185,425 | 1,060,731 | 531,731 | 1,592,462 | 0 | 366,077 | 1,226,385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Total for Devolved Formula Capital | 33,777,887 | 32,185,425 | 1,060,731 | 531,731 | 1,592,462 | 0 | 366,077 | 1,226,385 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Grand total | 155,611,040 | 123,864,548 | 19,032,655 | 12,713,837 | 31,746,492 | 17,978,882 | 22,459,891 | 9,139,703 | 201,723 | 0 | 54,825 |  |
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| Description Of Scheme | Approved Gross Cost of Scheme | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  | 2013/2014 |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | Total Project Variance | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rolled <br> Forward from <br> Earlier Years | New Approvals | Remaining Scheme Budget | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outturn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 <br> and future years |  |  |
| Eastgate House Improvements | 105,183 | 89,054 | 67,129 | $(51,000)$ | 16,129 | 0 | 16,129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Gillingham Park | 611,938 | 583,259 | 28,679 | 0 | 28,679 | 28,126 | 28,679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| English Heritage - Local Management Arrangement | 750,000 | 607,838 | 142,163 | 0 | 142,163 | 0 | 142,163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Upnor Castle Visitor Interpretation | 91,489 | 78,071 | 13,418 | 0 | 13,418 | 388 | 13,418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Rochester Castle Keep Floodlighting | 178,952 | 11,650 | 140,802 | 26,500 | 167,302 | 0 | 167,302 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Beechings Way Pavillion | 343,043 | 17,369 | 284,822 | 40,852 | 325,674 | 0 | 325,674 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Gillingham Green Enhancements | 99,751 | 15,552 | 84,199 | 0 | 84,199 | 74,147 | 84,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| 2011-12 Greenspaces Section 106 Schemes | 287,467 | 179,619 | 107,848 | 0 | 107,848 | 82,998 | 107,848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Capstone Country Park Environmental Improvements | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 22,500 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Eastgate House Refurbishment - HLF Stage 2 Award | 2,120,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,120,000 | 2,120,000 | 0 | 272,302 | 1,772,378 | 75,320 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total for Leisure and Culture | 4,617,823 | 1,582,412 | 869,059 | 2,166,352 | 3,035,411 | 185,659 | 1,180,214 | 1,776,128 | 79,070 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Highways - Planned Works Fabric (Capital Receipts) | 2,142,814 | 1,861,497 | 30,317 | 251,000 | 281,317 | 281,317 | 281,317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Medway Tunnel (LTP) and Grant DfT | 6,400,000 | 2,717,298 | 3,682,702 | 0 | 3,682,702 | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 | 2,482,702 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Leviathan Way | 350,000 | 216,525 | 133,475 | 0 | 133,475 | 133,475 | 133,475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Island Way | 660,000 | 474,524 | 185,476 | 0 | 185,476 | 17,844 | 185,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Four Elms to Tunnel Improvement | 224,566 | 5,795 | 218,771 | 0 | 218,771 | 15,000 | 50,000 | 168,771 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Horsted Gyratory and Ped Improvements | 244,104 | 35,630 | 208,474 | 0 | 208,474 | 408 | 8,500 | 199,974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Highways - Design and Resurfacing (Capital Receipts) | 7,088,725 | 5,993,001 | 156,724 | 939,000 | 1,095,724 | 1,095,724 | 1,095,724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Highways - Structures and Tunnels (Capital Receipts) | 2,248,462 | 1,683,737 | 254,725 | 310,000 | 564,725 | 564,725 | 564,725 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Highways Maintenance LTP3 | 6,856,000 | 4,129,552 | 573,448 | 2,153,000 | 2,726,448 | 2,273,000 | 2,273,000 | 453,448 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Darnley Arches Subway (Third Party Contributions) | 708,403 | 181,730 | 526,673 | 0 | 526,673 | 15,000 | 100,000 | 426,673 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Section 106 Contributions | 690,939 | 540,955 | 149,984 | 0 | 149,984 | 88,469 | 149,984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Integrated Transport LTP3 | 4,875,000 | 2,389,005 | 909,995 | 1,576,000 | 2,485,995 | 2,485,995 | 2,485,995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Civic Centre Car Park (Invest to save) | 300,000 | 51,180 | 248,820 | 0 | 248,820 | 128,126 | 248,820 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Railway Street Car Park (Invest to Save) | 235,117 | 42,947 | 192,170 | 0 | 192,170 | 28,066 | 192,170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\odot$ |


| Cabinet Report Regeneration Community and Culture Quarter 1 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description Of Scheme | Approved Gross Cost of Scheme | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  | 2013/2014 |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | Total <br> Project <br> Variance | Status |
|  |  |  | Rolled <br> Forward from Earlier Years | New Approvals | Remaining Scheme Budget | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outturn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 and future years |  |  |
| Energy Efficiency Scheme | 421,500 | 0 | 421,500 | 0 | 421,500 | 421,500 | 421,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Highways Maintenance Fund | 390,000 | 0 | 0 | 390,000 | 390,000 | 390,000 | 390,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Weekly Waste Collection Support Scheme | 7,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 7,000,000 | 7,000,000 | 6,902,373 | 7,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total for Front Line Services | 40,835,628 | 20,323,374 | 7,893,254 | 12,619,000 | 20,512,254 | 16,041,022 | 16,780,686 | 3,731,568 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pentagon BS Lease Settlement | 381,100 | 196,100 | 185,000 | 0 | 185,000 | 0 | 0 | 185,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| World Heritage Site \& Great Lines Heritage Park - Funding from Chatham History Dockyard Trust \& English Heritage | 407,265 | 346,756 | 60,509 | 0 | 60,509 | 15,494 | 50,509 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| At Fort Project | 160,000 | 14,997 | 145,003 | 0 | 145,003 | 619 | 88,863 | 56,140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Townscape Heritage Initiatives - Grant funded - Heritage Lottery fund and Capital Receipts | 1,574,789 | 1,512,400 | 62,389 | 0 | 62,389 | 38,994 | 62,389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Watermill Wharf Strood - Funded from Capital Receipts | 434,501 | 431,501 | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Gillingham Gateway - Section 106 Funding | 321,128 | 316,015 | 5,113 | 0 | 5,113 | 121 | 5,113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Walls \& Gardens | 230,985 | 126,337 | 104,648 | 0 | 104,648 | 6,169 | 88,148 | 16,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Total for Housing and Regeneration | 3,509,768 | 2,944,106 | 565,662 | 0 | 565,662 | 61,397 | 298,022 | 267,640 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Planned Maintenance | 22,418,998 | 17,480,063 | 188,935 | 4,750,000 | 4,938,935 | 895,355 | 4,938,935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Disabled Adaptations to Council Dwellings | 1,543,437 | 1,196,364 | 97,073 | 250,000 | 347,073 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 97,073 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Total for Housing Revenue Account | 23,962,435 | 18,676,427 | 286,008 | 5,000,000 | 5,286,008 | 1,145,355 | 5,188,935 | 97,073 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Housing Renovation Loans | 1,870,787 | 1,687,451 | 183,336 | 0 | 183,336 | 183,336 | 183,336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Disabled Facilities Grants | 6,327,099 | 4,872,356 | 461,026 | 993,717 | 1,454,743 | 717,209 | 918,561 | 536,182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Artlands North Kent | 502,292 | 418,292 | 0 | 84,000 | 84,000 | 45,625 | 84,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Rochester Riverside Phase 1a Infrastructure | 2,500,000 | 2,308,631 | 191,369 | 0 | 191,369 | 13,382 | 35,500 | 155,869 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Rochester Riverside Phase 1a Other | 211,000 | 90,972 | 120,028 | 0 | 120,028 | 6,344 | 76,561 | 43,467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (-) |
| Rochester Riverside GPF | 4,410,000 | 4,744 | 4,405,256 | 0 | 4,405,256 | 70 | 600,000 | 3,000,000 | 805,256 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ |
| Chatham WaterFront GPF | 2,999,000 | 5,000 | 2,994,000 | 0 | 2,994,000 | 49,135 | 1,268,000 | 1,000,000 | 726,000 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total for Housing \& Regeneration | 18,820,178 | 9,387,446 | 8,355,015 | 1,077,717 | 9,432,732 | 1,015,101 | 3,165,958 | 4,735,518 | 1,531,256 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 4

| Description Of Scheme | Approved Gross Cost of Scheme | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  | 2013/2014 |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | Total <br> Project <br> Variance | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\quad$ Rolled <br> Forward from <br> Earlier Years | New Approvals | Remaining Scheme Budget | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outturn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 <br> and future years |  |  |
| Grand total | 91,745,833 | 52,913,765 | 17,968,999 | 20,863,069 | 38,832,068 | 18,448,534 | 26,613,815 | 10,607,927 | 1,610,326 | 0 | 0 |  |

Cabinet Report Member Priorities Quarter 1 2013-2014

| Description Of Scheme | Approved Gross Cost of Scheme | Total Expenditure from date of adoption to 31 March 2013 | Remaining Approval |  |  | 2013/2014 |  | Spend Forecast for Later Years |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Variance } \end{gathered}$ | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rolled Forward from Earlier Years | New Approvals | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Remaining } \\ \text { Scheme Budget } \end{array}$ | Spend and Commitments | Forecast Outurn | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 and future years |  |  |
| Unallocated Member Priorities | 283,929 | 0 | 422,939 | $(139,010)$ | 283,929 | 0 | 283,929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Total for Member Priorities - BSD | 283,929 | 0 | 422,939 | $(139,010)$ | 283,929 | 0 | 283,929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hempstead Allotment | 203,175 | 199,800 | 3,375 | 0 | 3,375 | 3,375 | 3,375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Phase 8 Allotments | 75,325 | 73,576 | 1,750 | 0 | 1,750 | 0 | 1,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Traffic calming scheme - Meresborough Road | 80,000 | 59,373 | 20,627 | 0 | 20,627 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(5,627)$ | -) |
| Roundabout \& Road Improvements | 150,000 | 149,493 | 507 | 0 | 507 | 507 | 507 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Improvements to Gillingham High Street | 50,000 | 45,486 | 4,514 | 0 | 4,514 | 1,548 | 4,514 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Magpie Hall Road sewer connection | 12,450 | 6,285 | 6,165 | 0 | 6,165 | 0 | 5,000 | 1,165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Grange Road Footway Renovation | 28,359 | 22,431 | 5,928 | 0 | 5,928 | 5,585 | 5,928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | -) |
| Medway Rugby Club Electrics | 21,750 | 11,755 | 9,996 | 0 | 9,996 | 11,755 | 9,996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Cliffe Woods Play Area | 39,400 | 0 | 39,400 | 0 | 39,400 | 27,058 | 39,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Sundridge Hill Crash Barrier | 34,170 | 0 | 34,170 | 0 | 34,170 | 1,729 | 34,170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Theodore Place Road Improvements | 28,000 | 18,322 | 9,678 | 0 | 9,678 | 0 | 9,678 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| The Magpie Centre | 23,140 | 16,929 | 6,211 | 0 | 6,211 | 6,211 | 6,211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Priestfields Play Area | 35,000 | 0 | 35,000 | 0 | 35,000 | 0 | 35,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Memorial Walls Medway Park | 28,500 | 20,000 | 0 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,217 | 8,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Queen Elizabeth 2nd Fields Fencing, Cinder Path | 3,750 | 0 | 0 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 0 | 3,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Princes Avenue Traffic Calming | 62,060 | 0 | 0 | 62,060 | 62,060 | 0 | 62,060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Rainham Issues | 73,200 | 0 | 0 | 73,200 | 73,200 | 38,285 | 73,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -) |
| Total for Member Priorities - Regeneration, Community \& Culture | 948,279 | 623,448 | 177,321 | 147,510 | 324,831 | 119,270 | 318,039 | 1,165 | 0 | 0 | $(5,627)$ |  |
| Rainham Urbie and Parkwood YC | 100,000 | 83,541 | 16,459 | 0 | 16,459 | 14,500 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (459) | $\because$ |
| Total for Member Priorities - Children and Adults | 100,000 | 83,541 | 16,459 | 0 | 16,459 | 14,500 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (459) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand total | 1,332,208 | 706,989 | 616,719 | 8,500 | 625,219 | 133,770 | 617,968 | 1,165 | 0 | 0 | $(6,086)$ |  |
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## Summary

This report summarises the performance of the Council's Key Measures of Success for April - June (quarter 1) 2013/14 as set out in the Council Plan 2013/15.

The following information is provided for each of the Council's four priorities and two values:

- Summary of key measures of success (performance indicators)
- Service comments
- How our performance compares with other authorities (benchmarking) where this is available


## 1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 This report summarises the performance of the Council's Key Measures of Success for 2013/14 as set out in The Council Plan 2013/15.
1.2 The Cabinet is asked to accept this report as urgent to enable Cabinet to receive and consider the latest performance monitoring information at the earliest opportunity.
1.3 This report will be presented to:

Business Support Overview \&Scrutiny (O\&S)
O\&S Regeneration, Community and Culture.
O\&S Health and Adult Social Care
O\&S Children and Young People

13 August
14 August
20 August
26 September

## 2. Background

2.1 This report sets out the performance summary for 2013/14 against the Council's four priorities and two values:

## Medway's Priorities

- Adults maintain their independence and live healthy lives
- Children and young people have the best start in life in Medway
- Everyone benefiting from the area's regeneration
- Safe, clean and green Medway


## Medway's Values

- Putting our customers at the centre of everything we do
- Giving value for money
2.2 The layout of the report is as follows:
2.2.1 Section 3 - an overall summary showing where we performed well and where we did not perform well.
2.2.2 Section 4 - an overall summary showing how we have compared to others. This shows where we have compared well and where we have not compared well
2.2.3 Sections 5 to 8 - these sections show how we have performed in respect of each of the Councils priorities. Where it is available comparative data is also shown.
2.2.4 Sections 9 and 10 - these sections show how we have performed in respect of the Councils values.
2.3 This report focuses on how we have performed against targets, and compares current performance against both the previous quarter (short trend), and also the average data for the previous 4 quarters (long trend).
2.4 Detailed background information supporting this report can be found at:

Appendix 1 Explanation of the Benchmarking methodology.
Appendix 2 Performance tables (4 Priorities/commitments, Better for Less Council Plan 2013/15)

## 3. Summary of performance

3.1 Where we performed well in Quarter 1 2013/2014;

There are 90 quarterly key measures of success for that are monitored to assess the delivery of the Council Plan. Not all of these measures have been reported for Q1 resulting in the differences in the number of measures outlined in the summary below. There are various reasons for this, including new indicators that have no historical data to compare against, indicators that are not reported each quarter and indicators where the data has not been available by the reporting deadline.

- 33 out of 62 Council Plan Key measures of success were on target/exceeded their target. This compares with 44 out of 79 in 2012/13.
- 20 out of 51 of Key measures of success improved over the short term (compared with the previous quarter).
- 25 out of 52 of Key measures of success improved over the long term (compared with average performance over previous four quarters)
- $92 \%$ (373/405) were satisfied with their phone contact with Medway Council (GovMetric)
- $65 \%(1,507 / 2,321)$ were satisfied with their face to face contact
- $52 \%(295 / 572)$ were satisfied with their web contact
- $65 \%(270 / 418)$ of complaints were dealt with within 10 working days


### 3.2 Where we did not perform well in Quarter 1 2013/14;

- 22 out of 62 Key measures of success were significantly below target
- 27 out of 51 Key measures of success have decreased in performance over the short term (compared with the previous quarter)
- 24 out of 52 Key measures of success have decreased in performance in the long term (compared with average performance over previous four quarters)


## 4 Summary of Benchmarking

4.1 This comparative information is reported here for the first time. Appendix 1 provides further information on the benchmarking process.

### 4.2 Where we compared well with other authorities (top quartile or equivalent)

- Delayed transfer of care - total number of days delayed per 1,000 adults 65+
- Pupils achieving 5 or more $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ GCSEs inc. English and Maths
- Pupils with statements of special educational needs achieving 5 or more A*-C GCSEs inc. English and Maths
- Gross number of new affordable homes
- Current tenant arrears as a percentage of annual rent debit
- Customer satisfaction with repairs service (housing)
- Customer satisfaction with contact via Medway's website


### 4.3 Where our performance is average compared with other authorities (mid quartiles or equivalent)

- $\quad$ Secondary schools persistent absence rate
- Additional affordable homes as a percentage of net additional homes
- Principal roads where maintenance should be considered
- Customer satisfaction with face to face and telephone contact
- Household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting


### 4.4 Where we did not compare well with other authorities (bottom quartile or equivalent)

- Pupils achieving level 4 or above in English and Maths at Key Stage 2
- Number of households living in temporary accommodation

5. Adults maintain their independence and live healthy lives
5.1 Key measures of success - Summary

There are 8 quarterly key measures of success for this Council Priority. Not all of these measures have been reported for Q1 resulting in the differences in the number of measures outlined in the summary below. There are various reasons for this, including new indicators that have no historical data to compare against, indicators that are not reported each quarter and indicators where the data has not been available by the reporting deadline.
5.2 Details of the 8 key measures of success for this Council priority are included in Appendix 2

- 3 out of 5 measures of success have achieved/exceeded target
- 1 out of 6 measures have improved since last quarter
- 1 out of 6 measures have improved compared with 2012/13 average


### 5.3 Service Comments

5.3.1 Project - Link Service Centres for older people

The Link Service Centre programme is progressing well. Shalder House was successfully de-commissioned, Platters Farm Lodge transferred smoothly to local Kent based charity Strode Park Foundation and Nelson Court and Robert Bean Lodge transfer to family-run care provider Agincare on 1 August 2013.
5.3.2 Project - Supporting the transition of children with special needs to adult services

Arrangements to support the transition of children with special needs to adult services are being established. The transition database is now in use and planning arrangements support earlier engagement between young adults, their families, Children's Social Care, Adult Social Care and Special Educational Needs to support the transition of young adults. Further development is planned as part of the Special Educational Needs Pathfinder programme to ensure wrap-around of health, education and social care support for children and young adults and the framework for this will be personalisation, offering greater choice and control.

### 5.3.3 Project - Local Healthwatch

The local healthwatch contract has been let to a local consortium and we are working with them to establish the new service.

### 5.3.4 Project - Core Logic/Frameworki

The project to launch the new social care system, Frameworki, for Adult Social Care is progressing well towards an agreed go live date of 2 September 2013. As with Children's Social Care the Best Practice Configuration is being implemented for Adults Social Care, with only minor changes being made.
5.3.5 Public Health - Medway Health and Wellbeing Board: The first meeting of Medway Health and Wellbeing Board took place, and will drive forward improvements in the health and wellbeing of the population. The Board will oversee the delivery of the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy for Medway, which was developed in consultation with a range of partners and stakeholders. The key themes fit well with the Council's priorities and values: Give every child a good start; Enable our older population to live independently and well; Reduce health inequalities; Prevent early deaths and increase years of healthy life; Improvement physical and mental health and wellbeing.

Transfer of public health functions to the Council in April has facilitated a more integrated approach to the wider determinants of health, in order to ensure that health and health outcomes are promoted in all areas of council work, and the reduction of health inequalities considered. A review has been undertaken to consider how the council's planning and licensing powers can be utilised to shape a healthier environment, particularly in respect of fast food outlets and alcohol licenses.

### 5.4 How our performance compares with other authorities

5.4.1 Our benchmarking summary has referred to the Unitary Place Benchmarking group which includes 55 unitary authorities.

Delayed transfers of care, total number of days delayed per 1,000 adults aged 65+

| Medway <br> (days) | Success <br> is | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Average <br> (days) | Unitary <br> Average <br> (value) | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17.4 |  | 27.1 | 39 | $6(6 / 16)$ | $9(9 / 55)$ | - |

Source: Audit Commission. Date: Q4 2012. Note: corporate indicator is delayed discharge - average weekly rate per 100,000 population 18+. Success is: lower figure is better.

## 6. Children and young people having the best start in life

### 6.1 Key measures of success - Summary

There are 40 quarterly key measures of success for this Council Priority. Not all of these measures have been reported for Q1 resulting in the differences in the number of measures outlined in the summary below. There are various reasons for this, including new indicators that have no historical data to compare against, indicators that are not reported each quarter and indicators where the data has not been available by the reporting deadline.

### 6.2 Details of the 40 key measures of success for this Council priority are included in Appendix 2.

7 out of 23 measures of success have achieved/exceeded target
9 out of 17 measures have improved since last quarter
8 out of 17 measures have improved compared with 2012/13 average

### 6.3 Service Comments

6.3.1 Inspections: The Improvement Board which was put in place in response to the outcome of the Safeguarding children inspection in February, has been meeting monthly to review progress against the action plan.

During the first quarter there have been 12 section five inspections of schools in Medway, 10 of which took place during an Ofsted LA coordinated inspection, week beginning 24 June 2013. Grades for these inspections are not yet publicly available.
6.3.2 Challenge, Progress \& Review: 22 Challenge, progress and review meetings have taken place in the last quarter and local leaders of education have been deployed to support schools causing concern.
6.3.3 Funding secured: Funding has been secured from the National College and four schools are now working with National Leaders of Education. The continuing professional development programme continues and schools have selected training to meet their needs.
6.3.4 Young people in B\&B: Medway Council is committed to reducing the reliance on Bed and Breakfast accommodation for young people aged under 25. As at the 30th June 2013 there were 0 young people residing in this type of accommodation placed by Children's Services and there is 1 household headed by a person aged 18 or under in B\&B (Placed by Housing Services).
6.3.5 During the 1st quarter, 4 households headed by a person aged 18 or under and placed by housing services left B\&B accommodation, the average length of stay was 1.32 weeks. This is an increase on the previous quarter of 0.32 ( 2.2 days) week. 2 young people residing in B\&B placed by Children's Services left in Q1, the average length of stay was 4.85 weeks ( 34 days) and 0.45 weeks (3 days).

Housing Services is working jointly with Social Services through the Joint Housing Assessment (JOHAN) programme to prevent young people from becoming homeless and reduce the number placed into Bed and Breakfast. Weekly JOHAN assessments are conducted where young homeless people's needs are assessed and appropriate advice and assistance such as family mediation is provided. This programme has been successful in reconciling young people with their families to prevent homelessness.
Where homelessness cannot be avoided a managed move into appropriate accommodation takes place rather than placement into Bed and Breakfast. However appropriate accommodation is limited and demand sometimes means that very occasionally Bed and Breakfast is required. If young people are placed into Bed and Breakfast, proactive work is undertaken by the
temporary accommodation team to arrange a move into more suitable accommodation to minimise the length of stay.

### 6.3.6 Project - SEN strategic plan

The council has put in bids through the Department for Education's targeted basic need programme for additional funding to support the council's key project to develop additional Medway based SEN provision, and we are awaiting the outcome of those bids.

### 6.3.7 Project - Establishing a triage approach to children's social care services

A new triage service for children's social care services was successfully launched on $29^{\text {th }}$ April 2013. This will ensure that children who need specialist help are enabled to access the appropriate service in a timely way. Early indications are that the new approach is already starting to have a positive impact, with positive feedback received from partners, parents and families.

### 6.3.8 Project - Core Logic/Frameworki

A new electronic management system for children's social care called Frameworki, went live on the 2 April 2013 as planned. The system has been implemented with the Best Practice Configuration (BPC) and although a number of changes have taken place since "Go Live" to ensure the division can record information that is relevant to Medway, the fundamental workflow process has not changed.

Fortnightly Foster Care payments are being made from Frameworki; minor problems with the first three payment runs have been resolved and the process is stable with the payment officer managing the process well. The second phase of Children's Finance is currently being configured in the system. This will ensure that all payments made in respect of children and young people known to Social Care will be recorded in and paid from Frameworki.

The first tranche of data migration, for any open clients, or those known to the authority within the last three years was completed successfully, and the second phase of data migration, any clients within retention periods, and document migration is planned to take place at the end of July 2013. This will mean all records are in Frameworki and there will no longer be the need to view RAISE, the previous social care system, to view data.

### 6.3.9 Project - Medway Action for Families

Medway Action for Families continues to meet national targets and is being seen as an area of good practice in both its strategic vision and operational implementation with over 270 families identified.

Meeting Year 1 targets: Medway is one of 20 (from 155 local authorities/ councils), to hit year 1 targets, and predicted 'payment by results' nationally is forecasted at $5-20 \%$, with Medway expecting $35 \%$ in its first year claims.

Meeting Year 2 targets：Medway is already ahead and on target for year 2 and remains a top performing area．

DCLG visit：DCLG are coming to Medway in September to look at how we are developing the programme and ECORYS are completing the evaluation of the project and looking at Medway to have case studies for all to learn from．

## 6．4 How our performance compares with other authorities

6．4．1 Our benchmarking summary has referred to the Unitary Place Benchmarking group which includes 44－55 unitary authorities．

Percentage of pupils achieving 5 or more A＊$^{*}$ to C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths

| Medway <br> $(\%)$ | Success <br> is | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61.2 | P | 58.6 | 58 | $6(6 / 16)$ | 12 <br> $(12 / 55)$ | 个 |

Source：Audit Commission．Date：September 2011 to August 2012．Note：Success is：higher figure is better
Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2

| Medway <br> $(\%)$ | Success <br> is | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | P | 80 | 78 | $16(16 / 16)$ | $50(50 / 50)$ |  |

Source：Audit Commission．Date：September 2011 to August 2012 Note：Success is：higher figure is better
Percentage of pupils with statements of special educational needs achieving 5 or more A＊$^{*}$－C GCSEs including English \＆Maths

| Medway <br> $(\%)$ | Success <br> is | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.3 | - | 8.9 | 7.7 | $2(2 / 15)$ | $3(3 / 44)$ | 人 |

Source：Audit Commission．Date：September 2011 to August 2012 ：Note：Success is：higher figure is better
Secondary school persistent absence rate

| Medway <br> $(\%)$ | Success <br> is | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Statistical <br> Neighbours <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.3 | - | 7.3 | 7.8 | $8(8 / 16)$ | 24 <br> $(24 / 55)$ | 个 |

[^0]
## 7. Safe, Clean and Green Medway

### 7.1 Key measures of success - Summary

There are 18 quarterly key measures of success for this Council Priority. Not all of these measures have been reported for Q1 resulting in the differences in the number of measures outlined in the summary below. There are various reasons for this, including new indicators that have no historical data to compare against, indicators that are not reported each quarter and indicators where the data has not been available by the reporting deadline.

### 7.2 Details of the 18 key measures of success for this Council priority are included in Appendix 2.

5 out of 11 measures of success achieved/exceeded target
4 out of 10 measures have improved since last quarter
7 out of 10 measures have improved compared with 2012/13 average

### 7.3 Service Comments

7.3.1 Fire hot spots: The Council works with communities and partners to keep Medway clean and safe. Waste material left in open spaces can pose a fire risk to the public. Work continues with Kent Fire and Rescue Service (KFRS) to implement the "Medway Matter" scheme, which aims to address target hot spots identified by KFRS for fire setting using waste material that has been left lying around in open spaces and alleyways. We are aiming to implement the clearances and gating of six alleyways identified by KFRS as higher risk. In addition we plan to clear 11 areas of open space to reduce the risk of deliberate fire setting.
7.3.2 Street cleaning: Satisfaction with street cleaning is currently 74\% for Q1 against a target of $75 \%$ with performance remaining stable for the past two years. There has been a general increase in satisfaction over recent years (Residents opinion poll 2006-07 outturn $55 \%$ ). Further work is planned with corporate services to help understand why the public perception of cleaning is lower than expected. Work continues with our contractor to ensure levels of cleanliness are high. A particular area of focus for Q1 was on the weedspraying programme.
7.3.3 Grounds maintenance: Rather than using the weed spraying programme as the primary technique for removal, Medway Council Waste Services and Veolia Environmental Services have opted to trial removing all clearly visible weeds at the point of cleanse combined with the spraying programme as the secondary means for dealing with problem of weed and weed growth. Veolia completed a full weed spray of Medway on 26th June 13. Waste services contract-monitoring team are working in partnership with the community wardens reporting dead weeds needing removal direct to Veolia. Dead vegetation is being removed/pulled at point of cleanse by Veolia. Waste services will continue to monitor this is taking place during on going street inspections.
7.3.4 Community Officers: The reorganisation of Community Officers was completed on 1st June 2013. The service is now separated into two teams,
the Community Wardens Team and Street Scene Enforcement Team. The aim is to have a clear demarcation between community engagement and environmental enforcement. The new Street Scene Enforcement Team have investigated almost 300 fly tips clearing 75\% themselves on the same day. The remaining $25 \%$ were passed to our waste contractor for clearance, as they were larger fly tips. Evidence has been found in 33 cases and referred to the Environmental Enforcement Team for further investigation. Four cases were dealt with by way of fixed penalty notices.

### 7.3.5 Pan Kent IDVA (Independent Domestic Violence Advisor) service:

 commenced operation on 1 April 2013 by a consortium of providers. The service provider for Medway is Kent Domestic Abuse Support and Help (KDASH), who have been working in the Maidstone and Medway areas for several years. The performance reporting framework is being finalised and performance figures to support the main outcomes of the service will be available from Autumn 2013, these will include:- Reducing Repeat Victimisation
- Increasing Client Safety
- Improved Health and Wellbeing
- Increased Client Independence
- Optimise Legal Remedies and Specialist Domestic Violence Courts
7.3.6 Details are also being recorded for an annual analysis on the age ranges of clients, age ranges of children, gender, ethnicity, disability, sexuality and referral sources. As performance information is currently not available from the partnership the Council continues to track the numbers of incidents of Domestic Abuse (DA) and the percentage of repeat victims of DA as an indication of demand. Figures for the first two months of Q1 (Apr - May) have been received from Kent Police. June figures will be published in August, as figures received from Kent Police are always two months in arrears. Initial analysis shows that for repeat victims of DA Q1 13/14 performance is similar as Q1 $11 / 12$ and $12 / 13$ around $34 \%$.
7.3.7 Green spaces: The Council works hard to maintain and improve access to green spaces through the maintenance of parks and open spaces. Green Flag Action Plans are being delivered to programme and budget. Site assessments are being undertaken in May and June for The Vines, Riverside Country Park, Capstone Country Park, Hillyfields and Broomhill. Green Flag awards are due to be announced in July/August (Quarter 2). Satisfaction with parks and open spaces for Q1 13/14 is marginally below target at $81 \%$ against a target of $85 \%$.

The service gives focus to supporting and developing Green Spaces Friends Groups with over 25 groups working across Medway undertaking a range of activities from volunteer task days, supporting the Council on delivering site improvement works to securing external awards (Green Flag).

Volunteer hours for April/May are 3,508 against an annual target of 13,803. Friends of Horsted Valley and Friends of Reed Common undertaken multiple tasks in April/May have contributed to this increase.

Projects delivered this period include the Play Trails at Gillingham Park and the play area at Hundred of Hoo and secured very positive publicity and were well received by the local communities they serve.

### 7.3.8 Project - Weekly kerbside recycling and composting service

Work continues on the DCLG Weekly Recycling Service project, which is being managed using the Council's Project management process, and includes oversight by a project group consisting of senior members and Council Officers. All 46 waste and re cycling collection vehicles have been ordered. To aid health and safety and direct monitoring of contractor performance standards, 360-degree coverage cameras are being fitted to all vehicles. This system will relay a live feed to the Waste Services office. Satisfaction with recycling facilities remains high and continues to rise. In Q1 $13 / 14$ figures were $88 \%$ against a target of $85 \%$, which is an increase of $3 \%$ from Q112/13 (85\%) and 8\% from Q1 11/12 (80\%).

After a successful procurement partnership exercise with the London Waste and Recycling Board, we have secured a supplier for an additional 80,000 kitchen caddies plus liners and 2,500 small food bins. Planning has commenced on the delivery process to residents. Cabinet has agreed to engage residents to encourage twin-stream recycling and from 28th October 2013, place reasonable restrictions on garden waste and not accept garden waste presented as refuse.

Emphasis is currently being focused on agreeing the weekly recycling contract with Veolia and delivering the communication plan that will encourage our residents to help to make the project a success. Our current recycling performance $42 \%$ for Q1 has achieved target of $42 \%$, however the forthcoming introduction of the weekly collection of recycling waste in October is expected to improve our performance further - in line with our top performing Unitary benchmarking group. Two residents' focus groups will review the draft literature at the end of June and will be asked various questions relating to misconceptions and barriers to people using recycling services correctly. The waste team have attended 7 promotional events including the Inspirer Energy Day, Hempstead Garden Week and Inspirer Sweep visit. In total the 7 events have attracted 2,950 attendants and the number of activities will increase from mid-July onwards.

### 7.4 How our performance compares with other authorities

7.4.1 Our benchmarking summary has referred to the Unitary Place Benchmarking group which includes 37-56 unitary authorities.

Spend on principal roads per head

| Medway <br> （value） | Family <br> Average <br> （value | Unitary <br> Average <br> （value） | Family <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $£ 11.10$ | $£ 5.66$ | $£ 8.26$ | $2(2 / 16)$ | $15(15 / 55)$ | 个 |

Source：Communities and Local Government－Revenue Outturn RO2．Data is for 11－12

Spend on non principal roads per head

| Medway <br> （value） | Family <br> Average <br> （value | Unitary <br> Average <br> （value） | Family <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $£ 13.93$ | $£ 21.16$ | $£ 17.49$ | $15(15 / 16)$ | $34(34 / 55)$ | 个 |

Source：Communities and Local Government－Revenue Outturn RO2．Data is for 11－12

Percentage of household waste sent for reuse，recycling and composting

| Medway <br> $(\%)$ | Success <br> is | Family <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Family <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.88 | - | 37.50 | 42.1 | $7(7 / 16)$ | $42(42 / 56)$ | 个 |

Source：DEFRA Waste Dataflow．Data is for 11－12（Formerly NI 192）Note：Higher figure is better

## 8．Everyone benefiting from the area＇s regeneration

## 8．1 Key measures of success－Summary

There are 23 quarterly key measures of success for this Council Priority．Not all of these measures have been reported for Q1 resulting in the differences in the number of measures outlined in the summary below．There are various reasons for this，including new indicators that have no historical data to compare against，indicators that are not reported each quarter and indicators where the data has not been available by the reporting deadline．

8．2 Details of the 23 key measures of success for this Council priority are included in Appendix 2.

16 out of 22 measures of success have achieved／exceeded target
6 out of 17 measures have improved since last quarter
9 out of 18 measures have improved compared with 2012／13 average

## 8．3 Service Comments

8．3．1 Public transport：The Council is committed to secure a reliable and effective local transport network．We continue to monitor the average journey time along 6 primary transport corridors into Chatham（minutes per mile）． Current performance is on target for Q1 13／14 at 2.18 minutes against a target of 4 minutes．The overall average journey time in minutes per mile has reduced from 3.49 minutes per mile in 09／10 to 2.18 minutes per mile in the first quarter of $2013 / 14$ ，a percentage reduction of $38 \%$ ．This performance measure will continue to be measured until the new networks for monitoring have been agreed with members．Routes are due to be finalised in September 13／14．
8.3.2 Road maintenance: Continued active network management, including the management of road works and street works, seems to be reducing congestion on the network and providing more reliable journey time, for both private and public transport. In Q1 3,956 road works were carried out on the highway. A total of 2,461 roadwork inspections were carried out and 97 defect notices were issued to the works promoter i.e. gas, water, telephone, electric. Road works are published on the council website to provide details of current and planned schemes.
8.3.3 Customer satisfaction with road maintenance has decreased from Q4 12/13 ( $43 \%$ ) to $38 \%$ in Q1 and is below the target of $50 \%$. The conventional resurfacing programme has commenced with micro surfacing sites due to be carried out in August 2014. All resurfacing works are due for completion by October 2013 and as a result customer satisfaction is expected to increase in Q2.
8.3.4 Bus station: Medway Council works in partnership to improve public transport patronage in the area. As a result of the Chatham Waterfront Bus Station Passenger Satisfaction survey completed in Q4 12/13, extra seating and bike racks have been installed; readability of the totem screens has been improved and work has progressed to introduce the sale of hot drinks and cold food to bus passengers.
8.3.5 SEN home/school strategy: Officers in the Specialist Transport Unit have commenced work on a new commissioning strategy for Special Educational Needs (SEN) home to school transport. It is programmed for tenders for three pilot schools to be issued in October 2013 and the new contracts for these schools to be operational in April 2014. The process will then be rolled out to other schools.
8.3.6 New affordable housing: Medway's provision of housing over recent years has seen exceptional level of performance given the national economic situation and virtual collapse in house building in many parts of the country. We will be in receipt of the net additional homes provided figure in August 2013 for 12/13. For the provision of affordable home, for Q1 13/14, 5 units were delivered, this is slightly lower than expected. The number of units delivered varies greatly across quarters and is dependant upon the start of the housing scheme, over which the Council has little control. A number of housing schemes originally planned for completion in the Q1 13/14 were completed early and fell into the counting period for the Q4 12/13 (104 homes were delivered against a target of 75 ) reducing the overall number expected for delivery in Q1 13/14.
8.3.7 Homelessness: The number of people making homeless applications has increased by 25\% comparing Q1 12/13 151 to Q1 13/14 188, reflecting national and local housing pressures. Work is currently underway on a review of the Council's approach to dealing with Homelessness. This review will inform the development of a new Housing Strategy in 2014.
8.3.8 The percentage of homeless decisions made within 33 working days is not achieving the $90 \%$ target, for Q1 12/13 86\% (138 out of 161 cases). The total number of homeless decisions made in Q1 12/13 compared with the same quarter in 13/14 has increased by 19\% (135 12/13-161 13/14),
reflecting the increasing demand on the service. Whilst the percentage of decisions on time for Q1 13/14 did not meet the 90\% target, the average number of days taken to make a decision on a case was 16 working days (161 decisions taking a total of 2,954 working days). This reflects that there are a minority of complex cases that require a longer time to make a decision, and the majority of cases are decided well within the allotted target period of 33 working days.
8.3.9 The Council provides homelessness prevention services in addition to making homelessness decisions on cases. During Q1, 277 people made a homelessness prevention application, this represents a similar level of demand on the housing service to Q1 12/13.
8.3.10 Bed \& Breakfast: Where the Council cannot prevent customers from becoming homeless we work with them to find housing solutions and endeavour to keep temporary accommodation times to a minimum. The average length of stay in bed and breakfast ( $B \& B$ ) accommodation in Q1 13/14 was 2.5 weeks; this is a slight increase on Q1 12/13 at 2.39 weeks and over half a week increase on Q1 11/12 at 1.98 weeks. The number of households leaving B\&B has remained fairly static comparing Q1 12/13 29 households to Q1 13/14 30 households. The performance for the last two years highlights how the demand for temporary accommodation has increased. In Q1 11/12 only 6 households were leaving bed and breakfast accommodation.
8.3.11 Temporary Accommodation: The length of time spent in $B \& B$ accommodation varies depending upon the individual clients circumstances; effort is being made to move clients into other forms of temporary or permanent accommodation as soon as possible. However more secure forms of temporary accommodation are increasingly limited due to an increasing number of people approaching as homeless. At the end of Q1 13/14 there was 128 households in temporary accommodation, this is an $8 \%$ (10 households) increase on Q1 12/13 and a $51 \%$ (43 households) increase on the 85 households in Q1 11/12. The rate of increase in numbers in temporary accommodation has not been at the same rate of those making a homelessness application. This reflects the positive work being done by the service to prevent households from becoming homeless and finding alternatives to temporary accommodation.
8.3.12 WORK Programme: The Employ Medway service continues to work with local community partners delivering the WORK programme contract. In Q1, the team helped 54 long term unemployed customers sustain employment beyond 6 months, a total to of 290 since the WORK programme commenced in 2011/12. This demonstrates a $75.5 \%$ retention rate at the 6 -month stage for all customers who find work.
8.3.13 Community Hubs: The development of Community Hubs is the key strategic driver for libraries. Community Hubs enhance the customer experience through wider access to services and improved library offer.
8.3.14 Go-live dates have been set for Gillingham and Chatham for end of September and end of October for Rochester. Satisfaction with Libraries is on target for Q1 13/14 at 88\% against a target of $80 \%$. Libraries have
recently secured reaccreditation of Customer Service Excellence, a demanding national standard that requires evidence of continuous improvement.
8.3.15 Tourism, sport, heritage \& Culture: The Council aims to make Medway a destination for culture, heritage, tourism and sport. 2012 was a record year for visits to Medway's tourism attractions, and Quarter 1 2013/14 performance at 204,209 is on track to achieve the year end target of 700,000 visits. To further increase tourism numbers in the area an open top bus was launched on 24th June 2013. This secured significant media coverage for Medway and has been welcomed by the tourism industry. Tourism jobs are $7 \%$ of Medway's employment base and tourism brings around £290million to the local economy. Medway was runner up in the UK Coach Awards.
8.3.16 Local Development Framework: Following an Inquiry the Core Strategy Planning Inspector is suggesting our current plan is unsound. What it revolves around is a planned stand alone community based at Lodge Hill/ Chattenden on Ministry of Defence land which has been planned for 17 years. Towards the end of our Inquiry the RSPB stated that there were nightingales on the site in sufficient numbers that would trigger the site being a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) - a designation which would mean there is a presumption against development on the site. Following that, the Government agency Natural England decided to notify the whole site as a potential SSSI. There is a period until August to object to that proposal and the Council are objecting along with the Government and, we understand, the developers Land Securities. Natural England will decide by November whether to finally declare the area as a SSSI or not. Until we have that decision we cannot say whether the proposal will proceed. We have worked with a number of wildlife experts and have mitigation sites across Kent to attract nightingales who are only here for 12 weeks per year. There are around 60 nightingales on site, 7000 in the UK and over 1 million in Europe. They are not an endangered species and we are therefore challenging why Natural England thinks it merits an SSSI designation especially as they have accepted that mitigation sites are available. The developers have found mitigation sites covering 1000ha whereas the development site itself is around 140ha.
8.3.17 Apprenticeships created through Employ Medway: To date we have enabled 142 new apprenticeships and supported over 100 businesses. This quarter we have achieved 7 with a further 5 to be added once grant paperwork received. The service provides support for both the employer and apprentice for the length of the apprenticeship through workplace visits. The Council are receiving very positive feedback from apprentices such as "I now have something to live for" and my "dream job". The project is now working in partnership with Job Centre Plus to help reduce youth unemployment for the 18-24 age group ensuring we have a skilled workforce to attract future business into the area. In addition to this we are working with several different training providers. We have held events for organisations like the Construction Industry training board and JTL who provide opportunities for the larger organisations looking to recruit craft apprenticeships. Through the apprenticeship services we are also engaging with employers and informing them about other projects available to them for example Thames Gateway

Innovation, Growth and Enterprise -(TIGER) and additional Employ Medway services.

### 8.3.18 Project - Rochester Riverside

Rochester Riverside is a flagship project in Medway Council's regeneration programme. The site comprises 32 hectares (74 acres) of Brownfield development land, stretching from Rochester Bridge to the north and Doust Way to the south.

Over the summer of 2013 the Rochester Riverside Development Brief and Masterplan will be updated to reflect current economic conditions, planning policies and design standards. The new Development Brief will be subject to a period of community consultation in the autumn before being formally adopted by the Council.

Once the revised Development Brief has been adopted, the project partners will deliver the next phase of infrastructure works and housing development at Rochester Riverside. The Council has successfully been awarded Growing Places Funding (from the South East Local Enterprise Partnership) to deliver essential infrastructure on site including an extension of the Doust Way link road and improvements to the railway arches, and these will be constructed in 2014.

### 8.3.19 Project - New Rochester Station

The Council is working closely with Network Rail to enable the delivery of the new £26m Rochester Station at Corporation Street, in recognition of the economic benefits the new Station will bring to Rochester and Medway. An area of Rochester Riverside, to the north of the site, has been leased to Network Rail for the construction of site accommodation offices. The planning application for the main station has been submitted and will be presented to planning committee in August. The new Station will open in December 2015.

### 8.3.20 Project - Chatham Town Centre - Growing Places Fund

Chatham Waterfront is a regeneration scheme that will deliver new housing and public open space between the waterfront and the new Bus station. The Council has successfully been awarded Growing Places Funding from the South East Local Enterprise Partnership to deliver essential infrastructure works on the site that will enable development. These include improvements to Sun Pier and the river walk, as well as flood defence works. The first phase of the project, the construction of a new pontoon on Sun Pier, is underway and will be completed in October 2013.

### 8.3.21 Project - INSPIRER

Project INSPIRER is a 3-year social regeneration project, funded through the EU Interreg IVA programme. The project has three main activities:

- To create new green spaces, including shared gardens and play areas around social housing in the target neighbourhoods. It also promotes
physical and environmental improvements in those neighbourhoods, including targeted clean up campaigns.
- To focus on communicating and educating on delivering new or improved ways of recycling, minimising food waste, education on healthy eating habits, better use of leftover food and composting.
- Energy and water efficiency measures for householders in the target neighbourhoods through new or improved processes.

In Q1 the following outcomes were achieved:
Community Clean Ups - 33 skips deployed across two neighbourhoods and 60 tonnes of waste removed and 100\% recycled. Approx 1,000 residents have made use of this service. Greater pride in their immediate neighbourhood has resulted and a better awareness of why recycling is so important to the environment.

Eat Well - Waste Less Events - There have been 7 events held across all five of the project's target neighbourhoods, promoting the theme of healthy eating and minimising food waste. These were attended by some 1,600 residents, again taking away the message of the importance of healthy eating, recycling and home composting.

Community Gardens - Two new community gardens have been created, one at White Road Community Centre and the second at Wayfield Children's Centre. The main theme around these gardens is the growing of food. A third, somewhat larger, sensory garden will be completed during the school summer holidays at Bligh Children's Centre in Strood and two more small gardens are in the pipeline.

Sustainable Housing - For the energy activity, approximately 60 houses in Medway have received help with a range of efficiency measures and an Energy Day was held in April at Eastgate House which was attended by approximately 250 residents.

### 8.3.22 Project -Rochester Airport

The Economic Development service continues to develop the plan with Rochester Airport to secure the airport's future by developing a new airport infrastructure, including a hard surfaced runway, refurbishment of existing buildings, and a new control tower. A master plan has been commissioned to provide a coherent set of development proposals, and this is now significantly progressed.

The closure of one of the runways will allow the development of significant new employment workspace to create high skilled employment. Aviation heritage facilities currently on the site will be relocated and made into an accessible visitor attraction. The Council is committing up to $£ 4$ million to assist with the development of the airport's infrastructure. It is also negotiating with the airport operator to provide a new lease. Both of these measures will encourage additional private sector investment in the airport.

The master plan is setting out the planning framework for the airport and the proposed heritage facilities, and is providing the guidelines for employment
space development. Residents and businesses local to the airport were asked their initial views on the master plan proposals at a public exhibition in May 2013, and these have helped to refine the master plan ahead of a formal period of public consultation, including another exhibition, starting on Monday 22nd July 2013 for 9 weeks.

### 8.3.23 Project - RECREATE

Project RECREATE was formally launched in Q4 12/13. This $£ 500,000$ project, partially funded by the EU aims to support economic regeneration by transforming vacant commercial premises near Sun Pier into workspace and studio space for creative enterprises. One of the key targets is to establish 50 office bases for creative enterprises either virtually, or at the identified premises.

A total of $£ 70 \mathrm{k}$ will be invested into phase one of refurbishment works at Sun Pier House, next to Sun Pier in Chatham. Working with social enterprise Sun Pier House Community Interest Company (CIC), the Council will oversee the creation of exhibition and gallery space, café space, artists' studios, workspace and rehearsal space for performing artists, as well as communal space for a kitchen and meeting rooms. 6 artists have already moved into 6 individual studios and the rest of the building is oversubscribed awaiting its launch in the Autumn.

A Pop-Up Shop will be developed in one of the empty retail premises in the Sun Pier - Star hill zone of Chatham High Street, which will be used to showcase artists' work and to run workshops and small events.

### 8.3.24 Project - Eastgate Housing Improvements

Eastgate House is a nationally significant Grade 1 listed house in Rochester's High Street. The £2.1m restoration project will conserve the building and provide a community resource for Medway and our visitors. Restoration and renovations include repair and restoration work to internal spaces and exterior fabric. The building work will lead to the creation of function suites, galleries and office space within the building.

The project design team has been appointed and is now developing detailed proposals and discharging planning/listed building conditions. Initial meetings have been held with Heritage Lottery Fund monitors and preparation of formal permission to start documentation is underway. This will be a two-year restoration project due for completion in early 2015.

### 8.3.25 Project - Enjoy Medway

Medway continues to run an extremely popular range of free events. Q1 saw the successful delivery of The English Festival $(15,000)$, Sweeps $(90,000)$, Dickens $(60,000)$, Armed Forces Day $(15,000)$ and Fuse $(26,000$ approximate). Extremely positive feedback received around Armed Forces Day in particular, from the Lord Lieutenant of Kent and the Armed Forces. Direct user surveys were conducted at events (Dickens, Sweeps, Fuse, English festival) and performance is well above the target of $85 \%$ satisfaction.

All satisfaction Tracker scores for Leisure and Culture Services are around the mid $80 \%$ s, showing consistently high levels of enjoyment from local residents.

### 8.3.26 Project - Sporting Legacy

The Medway Sporting Legacy 'En Route To Rio' will provide the blueprint for the development of sporting initiatives across Medway for future years. It covers the breadth of interests and abilities, from helping residents to start participating through to the delivery of international sporting events. The budget for 2013/14 has been agreed to support a number of new projects alongside the continued development of existing projects established as part of the build-up to the 2016 Olympic and Paralympic Games. The legacy will be formally launched at the Medway Mile on 26 July 13.

## On Your Marks

A number of initiatives to encourage Medway residents to either take up exercise or return to exercise have been developed under the On Your Marks brand. Programmes range from sports centre activities to dance classes to community clubs and cover the age spectrum of 16-80 years. The programme is funded by Public Health and started in May. Performance progress and evaluation will be reported in Q2.

## Mass participation swimming/cycling events

The success of the annual Medway Mile has led to the implementation of mass cycling and mass swimming events. These will take part in the early part of 2014 but extensive preparatory work has been undertaken to develop the events in collaboration with internal departments and external partners. The events are funded by Public Health.

## Festival of Sport

Medway's biggest-ever Festival of Sport started in May and runs for ten weeks with 12,000 participants over the course of 50 events, ranging from schools competitions to new events including the Medway 10K and Medway Masters football tournament.

### 8.4 How our performance compares with other authorities

8.4.1 Our benchmarking summary has referred to the Unitary Place Benchmarking group which includes 12-55 unitary authorities.

Gross number of affordable homes

| Medway <br> (value) | Success <br> is | Family <br> Average <br> (value) | Unitary <br> Average <br> (value) | Family <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 330 | P | 196 | 208 | $1(1 / 16)$ | $8(8 / 55)$ | D |

Source: DCLG (formerly published as NI 155). Data is for 11-12 Note: Success is: higher figure is better

No of additional affordable homes as \% of net additional homes

| Medway <br> $(\%)$ | Success <br> is | Family <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Family <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | - | 37 | 40 | $5(5 / 15)$ | $24(24 / 55)$ | $\%$ |

Source: Audit Commission. (This is derived from DCLG data Gross number of additional affordable homes provided" / "Net number of additional homes provided")*100).Data is for 11-12 12 Note: Success is: higher figure is better

Current tenant arrears as \% of annual rent debit

| Medway <br> $(\%)$ | Success <br> is | Housemark <br> National <br> Club (\%) | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Housemark <br> National <br> Club Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.16 | C | 2.30 | 2.2 | $28(28 / 46)$ | $8(8 / 12)$ | 人 |

Source: Housemark benchmarking group. Date: 2012/13 - benchmarking report ran 09/07/13 Note. 12 unitary authorities benchmark with Housemark Note: Success is: lower figure is better

Number of households living in temporary accommodation

| Medway <br> (value) | Success <br> is | CIPFA <br> Average <br> (value) | Unitary <br> Average <br> (value) | CIPFA <br> Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120 | 0 | 107 | 96 | $13(13 / 16)$ | $42(42 / 55)$ | V |

Source: DCLG Q4 2012/2013 Note: Success is: lower figure is better
Customer satisfaction with the overall repairs service

| Medway <br> (value) | Success <br> is | Housemark <br> National <br> Club <br> average <br> (\%) | Unitary <br> Average <br> $(\%)$ | Housemark <br> National <br> Club Place | Unitary <br> Place | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97.7 | $\varphi$ | 93.75 | 92.32 | $9(9 / 42)$ | 3 <br> $(3 / 12)$ | n/a |

Source: Housemark benchmarking group. Date: 2012/13 - benchmarking report ran 18/07/13 Note. 12 unitary authorities benchmark with Housemark Note: Success is: higher figure is better
9. Values 1: Putting our customers at the centre of everything we do
9.1 Putting customers at the centre of everything we do is a core value that runs throughout the four themes of the 2013-15 Council Plan
9.2 How our performance compares with other authorities

- We participate in a benchmarking group comprising 70 authorities who all subscribe to the GovMetric service in England.
- We were in the top quartile for customer satisfaction on web contact in April 2013 and May 2013. (Source: GovMetric)
- We were in the medium-to-high quartile for customer satisfaction on face to face contact in April 2013 reducing to medium-to-low quartile in May 2013. (Source: GovMetric)
- We were in the medium-to-low quartile for customer satisfaction on telephone contact in April 2013 increasing to top quartile in May 2013. (Source: GovMetric)


### 9.2 Complaints

Total number of complaints received ..... 471
Total number of cases closed ..... 418
Total number of cases dealt with within 10 days ..... 270
\% of cases dealt with within 10 days ..... 65\%

### 9.3 Service Comments

Progress has been made through Quarter 1 on clearing the backlog of complaints, working in partnership with services, particularly on longer outstanding cases. Acknowledgements and routing to services within 3 working days has improved.

Over the next two months the remaining backlog of complaints will be reduced further through a concerted effort of working with service managers. This will reduce complaints to an expected caseload level. The introduction of the new Council wide approach to complaints handling using the Lagan CRM system will be a significant aid to improving performance and reaching the target performance level of $95 \%$.

## 10. Values 2: Giving value for money

### 10.1 Better for Less - transforming the way we work to deliver better outcomes for residents

10.1.1 The council's Better for Less transformation programme entered year 2 of its implementation in April. It is the umbrella programme for changes to make the council work more efficiently to meet customers' needs. Conceived in 2010 in response to the first round of government spending cuts, it was set up to transform some of the council's core functions based on the principles of simplify, standardise and share. The aim was wherever possible to improve performance whilst making efficiencies which would support the council's aim of protecting investment in frontline services. The council believed this was possible given that it spent a greater proportion of time on back office functions than many other councils. The shared services for customer contact and administration were the first two projects to be developed. These were followed by category management and performance and intelligence.
10.1.2 In terms of customer contact the aim was to create one point of contact for all customer enquiries to reverse the position where, with over 150 different phone numbers and many services without dedicated customer contact staff, the customer was the one having to do the running around, making sense of the council's arrangements. The council has introduced a new customer relationship management computer system, with integrations to back office systems to ensure seamless handling of customer enquiries. Customer contact staff have been trained to be able to deal with frequently asked customer enquiries. Frontline services were key to developing that training and customer contact scripts and e-forms. The service now covers customer contact for over 20 services including all adult social care initial contact, MacMillan welfare benefits advice, development control, revenues and
benefits. Services going live in Q1 included leisure, libraries, youth services, licensing and transport.
10.1.3 Adult learning customer contact will move into the new service in October, with Community Hubs scheduled to go live in Gillingham in September and Chatham and Rochester in October. In November, high volume services currently handled by the Customer First team will move to customer contact including waste, highways, green spaces, safer communities and student services. This will be accompanied by improved e-forms to enable customers to self serve through the website more easily - in response to customer demand, with $90 \%$ of people in Medway now having access to the internet. The remainder of council services customer contact will be incorporated within the next year.
10.1.4 Call back surveys carried out by customer contact give a customer satisfaction rating of $89 \%$. Feedback gained through the GovMetric system where at the end of the call the customer can rate the customer contact experience, gave a top quartile rating of over $90 \%$ in May 2013. Satisfaction by MacMillan clients who receive welfare benefits support is consistently $100 \%$. Call handling times have been challenging, particularly for housing and benefits related enquiries reflecting the increased volumes of contacts due to national benefits changes. The service continues to monitor this closely. Average waiting time at Riverside 1 improved during Q1.
10.1.5 The shared administration service was established to give a more consistent, resilient and professional business support service to the council, as well as improved career structures and development for staff working in administration. It has involved the creation of generic administration hubs as well as a range of more specialist support teams including those for blue badge administration, customer relations, meeting support for child and adult protection panels. The service now supports a wide range of specialist services based both within Gun Wharf and across devolved sites. Performance has improved on a number of key customer facing messages processing of blue badges, disabled bay applications and tree preservation orders. Meeting timescales for responding to complaints and freedom of information requests remains challenging. Key vacancies are being filled, including a part time qualified social worker to work with social care, which along with systems improvements should give additional capacity.
10.1.6 The customer contact and administration projects will have delivered over $£ 3.6 \mathrm{~m}$ savings off the $2010 / 11$ base by the time the latest phase goes live at the end of November. ( $25 \%$ cost savings) Compulsory redundancies have been kept to a minimum through staff retraining and redeployment - the total stands at 16 compulsory and 26 voluntary redundancies. $15 \%$ of staff seeing changes to their roles through Better for Less have secured increase in grades showing that the council has been successful in realizing the potential of its workforce. Where vacancies have been advertised externally this has been as a result of the council needing new and different skill sets or additional capacity not available within the existing staff pool.
10.1.7 The category management shared service has been live since Q4 of 2012/13. In Q1 of 2013/14, the joint venture for facilities management went live, with the company Medway Norse now providing FM services to the
value of $£ 5.7 \mathrm{~m}$ p/a including print, post, catering, security, cleaning, building maintenance, health and safety and records management.
10.1.8 The performance and intelligence teams across Children and Adults, RCC and the corporate team are all now live, with the majority of positions now filled. The C\&A hub remains under most pressure given the work associated with the Ofsted safeguarding inspection and the LAC inspection. The improvements to quarterly monitoring including benchmarking analysis have been developed for Q1.
10.1.9 Further work under the Better for Less banner commenced in Q1 with a pilot project on improving outcomes for people with physical and learning disabilities whilst managing demand. A workshop involving a range of council services and health colleagues was planned and took place in early July. User engagement on the ideas generated will follow. Business case proposals will be developed to take this work forward.
10.1.10 A project has also commenced to roll out mobile working to services where this will allow staff to provide customer service more efficiently.

### 10.2 Broader Value for Money Initiatives

10.2.1 The council is exploring the feasibility of establishing a shared communications and marketing service with East Sussex County Council. This builds on the creation of a shared head of service post. A business case for the launch of a regional communications and marketing agency for the public sector will be finalized early in Q3 for Cabinet agreement. This will give potential for efficiency savings, income generation and greater service resilience as skills are shared across the councils. Medway has already benefited from a secondment of a member of staff from East Sussex to support our work on channel shift to the web. The two councils are combining their buying power to get greater efficiency in the distribution contracts for the council magazines.
10.2.2 The enablement and prevention service within Medway Council has benefited individuals to remain healthy and independent in their own homes or chosen environment. Following the service users care pathway, minimal or no social care support is required post prevention or enablement. Each year the number of service users who have remained independent has consistently risen and therefore shows a significant cost saving for the local authority.
10.2.3 Capitalising on the public spending cuts, Medway Control Centre explored an alternative delivery model that would create a more efficient way of operating: A shared service model for Local Authority CCTV infrastructures. Following approval in 2011, MCC underwent an ambitious programme of change whereby three local authorities - Maidstone Borough Council, Gravesham Borough Council and Swale Borough Council - delegated their CCTV function to Medway Control Centre through exercising their powers under the Local Government Act 1972 to establish and participate in a Partnership. The CCTV infrastructures were transferred in April 2012, with the Partnership officially launched in December 2012. Through seamlessly transferring the three Local Authority's CCTV networks to one central location, four control
centres have been able to increase and sustain their operating hours to 24/7 365 days a year, install the most up-to-date equipment, reduce operating costs and, through a Partnership, have more control over the operation. The unique CCTV shared service model has reduced Partners' operating costs by $30 \%$ with the Host Authority reducing overhead costs by approximately 50\%.

## 11 Risk management

11.1 Risk helps to deliver performance improvement and is at the core of decisionmaking, business planning, managing change and innovation. It is practised at both management and service delivery level and enables the effective use of resources, secures the assets of the organisation and its continued financial and organisational well-being.
11.2 The purpose of the Council Plan performance monitoring reports during the year is to enable managers and members to manage the key risks identified in delivering priorities.

## 12 Financial and legal implications

12.1 There are no finance or legal implications arising from this report.

13 Recommendations
13.1 It is recommended that

Cabinet members consider the first quarter performance against the Key Measures of Success used to monitor progress against the Council Plan 2013/15 and identify any areas for remedial action to build on current achievements.

## 14 Suggested reasons for decision(s)

14.1 Regular monitoring of performance by management and members is best practice and ensures achievement of corporate objectives.

Lead officer contact
Anthony Lewis, Corporate Performance and Intelligence Manager, Gun Wharf, ext. 2092

## Background papers

Council Plan 2013/15
http://www.medway.gov.uk/councilanddemocracy/performanceandpolicy/councilplan .aspx

## Appendix 1

## Benchmarking Methodology

## 1 What is Benchmarking?

1.1 Benchmarking is a structured and focused approach to assess how Medway's services are provided and the performance levels achieved compare with other Local Authorities
1.2 Benchmarking helps to understand why there are differences in performance between organisations this involves looking in detail at the way services are delivered and managed and at the processes and activities involved in service delivery that lie behind the benchmark measures of performance

2 What are the Benefits of Benchmarking?
2.1 Benchmarking can assist Medway Council to find and implement better practice and performance by assisting our understanding of:

- what others are doing and how they are doing it
- where Medway Council is doing well in comparison with others
- where Medway can do better
- how Medway can do better by seeing how others do things.

3 Data Collated To Benchmark Medway Indicators
3.1 Benchmarking data has been identified for 12 of the Council's key measures of success, plus customer satisfaction in contacting Medway through the Web, Face to Face and Telephone. Benchmarking information is provided by the Audit Commission, government departments (DCLG, CLG, DFT, DEFRA), GovMetric and Housemark.
3.2 Each dataset is compared against a group of other local authorities and ranked in terms of performance. We currently participate in benchmarking groups varying in size from 70 (GovMetric), 55 (Unitary authorities) to 12 (Housemark).
3.3 Comparative information has been provided for the latest reporting period available, for each indicator. These can vary depending on whether comparative data has been released by each agency.
3.4 Section 4 in the main Council Plan Monitoring report outlines where we have demonstrated top and bottom quartile performance.
Appendix 2
Council Plan Monitoring - Q1 2013/14

| PI Status | Trend Arrows | Success is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This PI is significantly below target | The performance of this PI has improved | Higher figures are better |
| - This PI is slightly below target | The performance of this PI has worsened | Lower figures are better |
| This PI has met or exceeded the target | - The performance of this PI is static | N/A - Desired performance is neither too high nor too low |
| This PI is data only. There is no target and is provided for reference only. | The long trend measures average performance over the previous four quarters |  |
| N/A - Rating not appropriate / possible | The short trend measures performance since the previous quarter |  |


| 1.1 Ensure older people and disabled adults are safe \& supported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Short Name | Success is | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 / \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q4 } \\ & 2012 / \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | Q1 2013/14 |  |  |  |  | Note | Chart |
|  |  |  | Value | Value | Value | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend |  |  |
| ASC07 | Number of delayed discharges (local monitoring) |  | 557 | 472 | 73 | 146 | N/A | 2 | $\square$ | $\square$ | 16-Jul-2013 There were 146 delays in Q1. This is an increase on the previous quarter ( 73 delays) but can be explained by the continued effects of winter pressures and the loss of three enablement beds. One delay was attributable to Adult Social Care. |  |
| ASC08 | Average rate of delayed discharges each week, per 100,000 population (local monitoring) |  | 5.42 | 4.45 | 2.98 | 5.51 | 6.00 | $0$ | $\square$ | $凸$ | 16-Jul-2013 In Q1, there was an average of 5.51 delayed discharges per week per 100,000 population aged $18+$. This represents an increase on previous quarters but can be explained by continued effects of winter pressures from previous months and the loss of three enablement beds. Performance is on target. |  |


| Note | Chart |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12-Jul-2013 Performance for Q1 is at $4.5 \%$ and although this is less than the target of $5 \%$, there have been almost twice as many carers' assessments carried out compared to Q1 in 12-13 (and this does not yet include data from the Wisdom Hospice which is included at year end). The two dedicated posts to support carers' assessments have recently been recruited to and the post holders have had to spend time understanding some of the issues. However, their presence has already had a positive impact on this PI, and this will continue and gather pace in future quarters. |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { D } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm}$ $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\stackrel{1}{0}}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ | - |
|  | $\stackrel{y}{\frac{1}{10}}$ | +ị |
| N | $\frac{y}{\frac{1}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{10} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{1}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ने } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{7}}{\substack{10}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\stackrel{n}{n}}$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \sum_{2}^{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{0}{8}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |

1.3 Personalised services to meet older \& disabled adults needs

| Code | Short Name | Success is | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 2011 / \\ 12 \end{array} \\ & \hline \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 2012 / \\ 13 \end{array}$ | Q4 2012/ 13 Value | Q1 2013/14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Value | Target | Status | Short Trend | Long Trend |
| ASC06 | Social care clients receiving Self Directed Support |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42.5 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.0 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.0 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.6 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\sqrt{B}$ | $凸$ |

1.4 We will promote and encourage healthy lifestyles for adults

| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{ \pm}{0} \\ & \frac{\bar{U}}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{2}} \\ & \stackrel{+}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {O }}^{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{\Sigma}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\varangle}{\Sigma}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\Sigma}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ก ก |
|  | $\frac{0}{\frac{2}{10}}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}}{\substack{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{0}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{I} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{10}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-1} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\substack{\text { N }}}$ |


|  | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| \% | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{z} \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ |  |  |




| Note |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\pi}{z}$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  |  | z | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{W}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{y}{n}}{\substack{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त |
| Ni | $\begin{gathered} \frac{0}{5} \\ \stackrel{y}{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्र } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ने } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | - |

2.0 Children and young people have the best start in life in Medway

| Code | Short Name | Success |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| is |  |  |$|$| PB8 |
| :--- |
| Number of people receiving <br> support from a Health and <br> Lifestyle Trainer |
| PH1 |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 16-Jul-2013 On target. Teams |  |
| continue to be focused on the |  |
| timely conclusion of child |  |
| protection plans. |  |


|  | $\Rightarrow$ | 3 | $\Rightarrow$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ | 8 | $\square$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{2}$ |
|  | (3) | (3) | $\checkmark$ | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { దi o } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | กั |
| $\frac{9}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { ద̊ o } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\text { moo }}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ |
| $\frac{ \pm}{\frac{1}{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\stackrel{n}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { m}}{\text { ふ̈o }}$ |  |
| $\frac{0}{\sqrt{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\wedge}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| $\frac{0}{\frac{3}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\forall}{\circ}$ | 웅 |  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \underset{z}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { r }}{ }$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{\ddot{0}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \tilde{u} . \underline{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \sum_{2}^{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\substack{\mathrm{U}}}$ | Nơ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \stackrel{N}{M} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{O}{-} \end{aligned}$ | O\% | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\gtrless}{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\varangle}{\Sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { k }}{ }$ |
|  | $n$ <br>  <br>  <br> 0 | $3$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\nwarrow}{z}$ | $\stackrel{\Sigma}{\Sigma}$ | - |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{z}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Nे}}{\text { IN }}$ | $\frac{9}{\frac{1}{0}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्रे } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{10}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-1} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{3}{7}}$ |  |  |  |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CA08 | NEW Number of CAFs |  |
| CA09 | NEW Effectiveness of CAF in <br> meeting the needs of <br> Children and Young People |  |
| CA10 | NEW Rates of re-referrals <br> within 12 months of a <br> previous referral |  |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Note |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {cou }}$ | $\Rightarrow$ | z | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\Sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ | $\Rightarrow$ | z | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
|  | 郞 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | ì | 숫 o | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | ¢0 |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{10}}{\stackrel{1}{7}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | 人 | Mั் ơ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { i. } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\dot{\infty}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{10}}{>}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  |  |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CISRS1 | LAC Participation in Reviews |  |
| N14 | NEW (N14) Timeliness of <br> assessments |  |
| N15 | N23 <br> NEW (N15) Timeliness of <br> Initial Child Protection <br> Conference |  |


| $\frac{\pi}{0}$ <br> U |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \＃ ¢ 乙 |  | ＂ <br> m ※ ๗ <br> 능 $\frac{\pi}{0}$ <br> －ㄴ <br> 苋 号 <br> 은 른 <br> 可に <br> ᄃ <br> $\cdots \cdots$ <br> 운 ㄴ ㄴ <br> 立 <br> $\stackrel{H}{-1} \stackrel{0}{\leftrightarrows} \stackrel{5}{\leftrightarrows}$ |



| $$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\stackrel{1}{6}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\star}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { ஸ̂ } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & 乙 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{N} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \underset{J}{u} \\ & \tilde{n} . \Omega \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{t}{0} \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{O}{-} \end{aligned}$ | O\％ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ |  |  | z | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | B18 | B |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | z | $\stackrel{\varangle}{z}$ |
|  | $\frac{\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}}{>}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}{\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{n}}$ |  |  |  | m |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}}{>}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & -100 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{\sigma}}{\dot{\sigma}}$ |  | $\underset{7}{7}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{10}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{9}}{\stackrel{1}{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\gamma} \\ & \dot{子} \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\ddot{0}} \\ & \ddot{u}_{n}^{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \sum_{2}^{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & -1 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{3}{3} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{t}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\searrow}{\stackrel{0}{0}}$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \stackrel{N}{M} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{O}{-} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {cou }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 皆 | $\square$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
|  | 郞 | 0 | $\stackrel{<}{2}$ | 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\gtrless}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{N}}$ |
|  | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{\sim}} \circ$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { Nூ }}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{I} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{10}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { in o }} \mathrm{o} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ने } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{n}}$ |  |  |  |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EDU3 | \％of young people who are <br> absent from school for 15\％ <br> or more days in the school <br> year． |  |
| EDU4 | NEW The average time <br> taken to secure suitable <br> education for those placed <br> under Medway Council＇s fair <br> access protocols |  |
| SCSCT1 | \％of governors accessing <br> governor training |  |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Note |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 읻 } \\ & \text { 인 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{z}$ |  | $\nabla$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\varangle}{z}$ |  | $\square$ |
|  | $n$ $\sum_{0}^{0}$ 0 0 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\sigma} \\ & \bullet \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{o}^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $m$ |
|  | $\frac{\frac{0}{1}}{\frac{10}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\stackrel{1}{\sim}}$ |  | － |
|  | $\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{\frac{10}{10}}$ |  |  | ก |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{-}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{1}}{\frac{1}{7}}$ |  |  | ก |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{-1}{-1} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{\sqrt{10}}$ |  |  |  |


| $$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \sum_{0}^{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { Ư } \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N} \\ & \hat{N} \\ & \text { un } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\pi}{u}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\square}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{u} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | （5） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { un } \end{aligned}$ | 忌 | $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma_{1} \\ & \text { ָ̈n } \end{aligned}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{H} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{O}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\gtrless}{\Sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | z | 【 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\gtrless}{z}$ |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{+}{6} \text { 。o } \end{aligned}$ | ○○ | \%̊ |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  |  | 8̊ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{10} \\ & \gg \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \%̊ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ने } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{10}}$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{k} \\ & \sum_{0}^{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ш } \\ & \text { ָ } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ■ } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{\sim}^{7}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{O}{-} \end{aligned}$ | 듣 | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 京 | 8 | 8 | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  | 告 | 5 | B | B1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\gtrless}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ |
|  | $\frac{\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}}{>}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $N$ | \％̊ |
| $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{N}{0}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{7}}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®̀ }}{\substack{\infty}}$ | ๑ | กั |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}}{>}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \text { mi } \end{aligned}$ | m | 응 |
| ने －̈ N － | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{10}}$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \breve{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | （5） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\stackrel{1}{n}} \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\star}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | ${\underset{\sim}{u}}_{N}^{N}$ | $\sum_{\sim}^{ \pm}$ | $\sum_{\sim}^{n}$ |


| Note | Chart |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16－Jul－2013 30．4\％of all children who were classified as Children in Need during the year from 1 April 2012 to 31 March 2013 attended a Childrens centre in Medway at least once during the 2012－13 financial year．The figure of $18.6 \%$ for Q1 2013－2014 should not be interpreted as a drop in performance，since it relates to attendances during a specified 3 month period．The overall attendance figure for the 2013－14 financial year will be calculated in May 2014. |  |
| 15－Jul－2013 The total number of different children aged 0－4 years attending a Medway Sure Start Children＇s Centre in the first three months of 2013－14 was 5，592． <br> This compares with a figure of 5，207 for the equivalent period in 2012－13．The increase of over 7\％ against last year reflects the improved information and data sharing arrangements between key partners，particularly NHS agencies，resulting in targeted engagement with a far higher proportion of vulnerable families． This indicator measures users as a proportion of the 0－5 population as a whole．The large majority of children attending a Children＇s Centre are in the 0－3 age bracket． More than $95 \%$ of children aged |  |


|  | ¢0 | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\nwarrow}{z}$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ |
|  | 告 | $8$ | （3） |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{<}{2}$ | \％ |
|  | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text {－}}{\text { N}}$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{n}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{M}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\sqrt{0}}}{>}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { N}}{N}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{0}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{i}{0} \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ने } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{n} \\ & \frac{3}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  | へั |

2．3 Promote and encourage healthy lifestyles

| $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{U}{u} \\ & \underset{y}{u} \\ & \tilde{\omega} . \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\underset{U}{U}}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{7}}}{\substack{2}}$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Note |  |




| U <br> E <br> 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { \# }}{\text { \% }}$ |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\square}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U ¢ 乙 |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  | \｜ | $\stackrel{\pi}{z}$ | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \｜ | $\stackrel{\pi}{z}$ | $\square$ |
|  | 咢 | （3） | $\stackrel{\pi}{2}$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \text { 苟 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \circ \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\stackrel{3}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{1} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text {＋}}$ |
| Ny | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\substack{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{y}{n}}{\substack{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{\text { N }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت̈ㅁ } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \alpha \\ & \sigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \dot{W} \circ \circ \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O }}{\text {＋}}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \breve{v} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | （1） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \stackrel{1}{3} \underset{z}{3} \\ & \underset{z}{z} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{i}{n}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{3}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{ \pm}{0} \\ & \frac{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ® ¢ 乙 |  |  |  |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 읻 } \\ & \text { 인 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\varangle}{\Sigma}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
|  | $n$ $\sum_{0}^{0}$ $\sim$ 0 |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\prime}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¿ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { N } \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\substack{\mathrm{~N} \\ \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{~N}}}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{0}}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \\ & \stackrel{-}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{\sqrt{10}}$ |  |  |



|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Note |  |
|  | Chart |
| as figures received from Kent |  |
| Police are always two months in |  |
| arrears. Initial analysis shows that |  |
| for repeat victims of DA Q1 13/14 |  |
| performance is similar as Q1 |  |
| 11/12 and 12/13 around 34\%. |  |
| 04-Jul-2013 Pan Kent IDVA |  |
| service commenced operation on 1 |  |
| April 2013 by a consortium of |  |
| providers known as KDAC (Kent |  |
| Domestic Abuse Consortium). The |  |
| service provider for Medway is |  |
| KDASH, who have been working in |  |
| the Maidstone and Medway areas |  |
| for several years. The |  |
| performance reporting framework |  |
| is being finalised and performance |  |
| figures to support the main |  |
| outcomes of the service will be |  |
| available from Autumn 2013; |  |
| o Reducing Repeat Victimisation |  |
| o Increasing Client Safety |  |
| o Improved Health and Wellbeing |  |
| o Increased Client Independence |  |
| o Optimise Legal Remedies and |  |
| Specialist Domestic Violence |  |
| Courts |  |
| Details are also being recorded for |  |




|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Note | Chart |
| of DA and the \％of repeat victims <br> of DA as an indication of demand． <br> Figures for the first two months of <br> Q1（Apr－May）have been |  |
| received from Kent Police．June |  |
| figures will be published in August |  |
| as figures received from Kent |  |
| Police are always two months in |  |
| arrears．Initial analysis shows that |  |
| for repeat victims of DA Q1 13／14 |  |
| performance is similar as Q1 |  |
| $11 / 12$ and 12／13 around 34\％． |  |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | さ ＋ 2 |  |


|  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {O }}^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | せ |  |
|  | 告 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{N}}{\substack{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{I} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{n}}{\frac{1}{n}}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \vec{I} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  |



3．3 We will increase recycling and reduce waste to landfill sites

|  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 皆它 | 8 |
|  | 憵 | （3） |
|  | ＋ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\gamma}} \\ & \dot{y} \text { 。 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\stackrel{10}{5}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{x}} \\ & \dot{y})^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\frac{10}{x}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{m}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { İn }}{\substack{m}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{n}}{\stackrel{y}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \dot{+} \circ \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{i}} \underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{\sqrt{n}}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & \underset{m}{\infty} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{u} \\ & \underset{y}{n} \\ & \tilde{v} . \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| O | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{z}{2} \end{aligned}$ |


| Note | Chart |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11-Jul-2013 This continued extremely high satisfaction level reflects our reliable, simple, consistent weekly collection service. |  |
| 11-Jul-2013 Satisfaction with the recycling service remains high and work continues via education, promotion and contract monitoring to ensure these standards are maintained. The waste team have attended 7 promotional events including the Inspirer Energy Day, Hempstead Garden Week and Inspirer Sweep visit. In total the 7 events have attracted 2950 attendants and the number of activities will increase from midJuly onwards. |  |
| 04-Jul-2013 Waste Services continues to work closely with our contractor, FCC Environment to ensure facilities are clean, tidy and recycling facilities are constantly improved. This is reflected in the increasing recycling rate at the sites, all three sites are now diverting for recycling over 60\% of materials received. |  |


|  |  | \\| | 8 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Rightarrow$ | \\| | 8 |
|  | 硅 | (1) | (3) | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{0}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | - |
|  | $\stackrel{\substack{\frac{0}{5} \\>}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| $\underset{O_{0}^{N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{D}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nे } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\stackrel{3}{5}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { గ్ } \\ & \text { N. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{o}} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \text { Nio } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N⿵ } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \underset{\infty}{+} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W6 | Satisfaction with refuse <br> collection |  |
| W7 |  |  |
| Watisfaction with recycling |  |  |
| facilities |  |  |


| Note | Chart |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11－Jul－2013 April and May figures have been received from friends groups and June figures are currently incomplete（the final June figure will be available by 26 July 13）．Therefore Q1 data shown is provisional，however，this is exceeding the target．Through development of new links with Community Service and increased activity by the Friends of Rede Common and Friends of Horsted Valley in Q1，hours of volunteering have grown compared to Q4 13／14（2922）and Q1 12／13 （3320）． |  |
| 11－Jul－2013 This Tracker Survey result shows a $12 \%$ point reduction compared to Q1 in $12 / 13$ but is broadly consistent with Q3 and Q4 of 12／13（82\％）． Whilst this is below target levels a strong satisfaction score is still being achieved reflecting ongoing investment in sites．High growth rates of grass have impacted on contract performance at intervals in Q1 and this may explain the small decrease in satisfaction． |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{U} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{O}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 | $\Leftrightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  | 告 | （3） | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{⿺ 𠃊} \end{aligned}$ | － | － |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | 악 | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & -\infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{\lambda}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{5}}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{N}}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { N }} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{10}}{\stackrel{1}{7}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{7}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{i n}{\stackrel{i}{\infty}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ने } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\sqrt{0}}}{>}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\ddot{U}} \\ & \ddot{u}_{u}^{u} \end{aligned}$ |  | （－） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \sum_{0}^{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{t} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{1}$ |


| - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{d} \\ \underset{\sim}{-} \end{gathered}$ | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | $\frac{\pi}{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\frac{4}{2}$ |
|  | 告 | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{4}{z}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{i}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ๑ |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{4}{z}$ |
| ث̀ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{+}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | n |
| $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{\substack{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | n |
| $\overrightarrow{\vec{N}_{N}^{\prime}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{i n}{n} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ๑ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \check{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | (5) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | 정 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\top}$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Note | Chart |
| 24-Jul-2013 Q1 figures not yet <br> released. Final Idata is published <br> no sooner than 6weeks after end <br> of quarter, due mid August. <br> Despite good progress against this <br> target in 2012/13 with January <br> seeing the lowest levels of <br> representation at just over 9\%, <br> the end of year figures saw a <br> significant increase. Q4 figures <br> showed 17.4\% of clients who |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\pi}{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{4}{z}$ |
|  | 硅 | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\substack{5}}$ | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ |
| $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{n}}{\stackrel{N}{n}}$ |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\tilde{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{n}}{\stackrel{y}{n}}$ |  |
| $\overrightarrow{i g}_{\sim}^{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { üd } \\ & \ddot{U} \\ & \stackrel{u}{n} . \end{aligned}$ | (5) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{8}$ | $\underset{\text { I }}{\text { I }}$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Note |  |
|  | Chart |
| successfully completed treatment |  |
| represented to treatment．Whilst |  |
| we are unable to pinpoint the |  |
| reason behind this specific |  |
| increase time，it is important to |  |
| recognise the findings of the |  |
| needs assessment，which |  |
| identified that there was little or |  |
| no aftercare in the Medway |  |
| Treatment system．The |  |
| procurement board have approved |  |
| the recommissioning of adult drug |  |
| and alcohol services in Medway to |  |
| address this．A lack of aftercare |  |
| means people are unsupported |  |
| once leaving structured treatment |  |
| and more likely to relapse．Public |  |
| Health is working with partners to |  |
| commission an integrated |  |
| recovery focussed treatment |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\frac{0}{8}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Nㅗ }}{2}$ |


| Note |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Chart |
| appropriate. |  |
| Q1 figure is significantly below the |  |
| quarterly target of 25 - this was |  |
| partly due to a period of transition |  |
| for Public Health. However we |  |
| currently have 45 individuals |  |
| booked into training in Q2 which |  |
| will put us back in line with the |  |
| halfway year target of 50. |  |



|  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | 鸷 |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm}$ $\stackrel{N}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{*}$ |  |
|  | $\frac{ \pm}{\frac{1}{10}}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{N}}{\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\sim}}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{3}{0}}$ |  |
|  | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{0}}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  |

4.0 Everyone benefiting from regeneration


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Note | Chart |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{-}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 읃 } \\ & \text { 은 } \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\square$ |
|  |  | (3) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{10} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ | - |
|  | $\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{\frac{1}{0}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{3}}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{in} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{2}}{\sqrt{10}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{10}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NI 167 | Average journey time along <br> 6 primary transport corridors <br> into Chatham (mins per <br> mile) |  |


| Note | Chart |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11-Jul-2013 Performance has decreased from Q4 12/13 (43\%) to $38 \%$ in Q1 and is below the target of $50 \%$. The conventional resurfacing programme has commenced with micro surfacing sites due to be carried out in August 2014. All resurfacing works are due for completion by October 2013 and as a result customer satisfaction is expected to increase in Q2. |  |
| 09-Jul-2013 Levels of customer satisfaction have increased since the last survey (Q4 12/13 66\%) and is now well above the target of $65 \%$. The Council continues with its programme of repairs and schemes to ensure pavements reach a good standard of maintenance. This is underpinned by an inspection programme based on the level of risk associated with the highway. Six sites have been completed and 20 other pavements are due to be resurfaced before the end of March 2014 (a total length of $1,407 \mathrm{~m}$ to date). |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Rightarrow$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 范 | $\Rightarrow$ | 8 |
|  | 咢 | $\bigcirc$ | (3) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{i} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | - |
|  | $\frac{0}{\frac{3}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \text { m } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
|  | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{g}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्र } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{\sqrt{0}}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\gamma}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \vec{i} \\ & \tilde{N}_{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \dot{\sigma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { i } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HP26 | Satisfaction with road <br> maintenance |  |
| HP27 |  |  |

4.2 Support the provision of new homes and improve existing housing

|  |  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  | 躴 | (3) | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overleftarrow{\circ}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { - }}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\sqrt{n}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\substack{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | ف̄0. |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{m} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{y}{5}}{\sqrt{5}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} . \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \sum_{2}^{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \underset{z}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Note |  |



| $$ |  | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ |  |  |
| $\frac{0}{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \underset{\sim}{C} \\ & \underset{\text { U }}{ } \end{aligned}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | セ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 告 | (3) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ลิ |
|  | $\frac{0}{\frac{2}{n}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\frac{y}{\frac{2}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{m}}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{I} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{n}}$ |  |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HOU_HRA | \% of customer satisfaction <br> with overall repairs service |  |



|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\varangle}{\Sigma}$ | 0 |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{5}}{\frac{1}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{\Sigma}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{N}{\text { No }}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { in oo } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { m}}{\stackrel{1}{n}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{3}{10}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\circ} \text { o } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | (1) |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{z} \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\bar{U}}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |




| $\frac{\pi}{0}$ $\frac{\square}{U}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\sim$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  | 告 | （3） |
|  | ＋ | 尔 |
|  | $\stackrel{0}{\sqrt{5}}$ | in |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{1}{n}}{\sim}$ | $\square$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ \underset{\sim}{m} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{5}}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| 总 | $\stackrel{0}{\frac{0}{0}}$ | $\xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim}$ |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u } \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{4}{0} \\ & \frac{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |




| Note | Chart |
| :--- | :--- |
| them for example TIGER and <br> additional Employ Medway <br> services． |  |
| In addition to the apprenticeships <br> enabled through Employ Medway， <br> Medway Council＇s internal <br> apprenticeship scheme has helped <br> support 43 new apprenticeships <br> since 01st April 2012． |  |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Note | Chart |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\pi} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | 90．0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | 硅 |  |
|  | ＋ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{0}{5}$ |  |
| NㅡN | $\stackrel{\text { y }}{\frac{1}{5}}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ǹ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{y}{n}}{\sim}$ |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\tilde{N}}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{10}$ |  |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

4．4 Medway as a destination for culture，heritage，tourism \＆sport

| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{O}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 으을 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | せ | 8 |
|  | 录 | （3） |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ | － |
|  | $\frac{ \pm}{\frac{1}{10}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\text { J }} \\ & \text { ুi } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{10}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{o} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { மூ } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | $\pm$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{ \pm}{0} \\ & \frac{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{N}{M} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{O}{-} \end{aligned}$ | ¢0 \% | $\Rightarrow$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ | $\Rightarrow$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | () | () |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | - |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{10}}{>}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{N} \\ & \hat{N}_{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{10}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ò } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{10}}{>}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\square} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text {-̈ } \\ & \stackrel{1}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{n}}{\frac{3}{x}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{y}{m} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |


| Code | Short Name | Success <br> is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LRCC1 | Number of visitors to tourist <br> attractions in Medway |  |
| F3 |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{C}}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{o} \\ \overline{0} \\ \omega \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | 【 |
|  | 告 | () | (3) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | - |
|  | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\frac{9}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{5}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \underset{\text { V }}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-1} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{10}}{\substack{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®o }}{\text { ® }}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{u} \\ & \stackrel{U}{u} \\ & \breve{u} . \underline{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\frac{0}{8}$ | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 퍼 } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  | $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ 88 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | 900 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 毞 | $\Rightarrow$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 躴 | （1） | （3） |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{i} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \＆ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ |
| N | $\stackrel{\frac{0}{5}}{\stackrel{y}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { ® }} \end{aligned}$ | \＆ |
| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\sqrt{n}} \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | न̈ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |


|  |  | （5） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 罟 }}{ }$ |

4．5 We will encourage participation in active travel

| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\Sigma}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{H} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{O}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{<}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { k }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { 2 }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\varangle}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { z }}{ }$ |
|  | へ | （3） | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{5}}{\frac{1}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | m | 人 |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{N}}{\text { IN }}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{n}}{\sqrt{0}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{5}}{\frac{1}{7}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \vec{I} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{5}}{\substack{1}}$ |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \tilde{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | （5） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | 움 | 솜 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \sum_{\vDash}^{\infty} \\ & \sum^{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |




[^0]:    Source：Audit Commission．Date：September 2011 to August 2012 Note：Success is：lower figure is better

