

COUNCIL

26 JULY 2012

ANTI BRIBERY POLICY

Report from/Author: Perry Holmes, Monitoring Officer

Summary

The Bribery Act 2010 modernises the law on bribery and has some limited application to the Council. This report presents a policy for approval by Council for inclusion in the Council's Constitution.

1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 It is proposed that that Anti Bribery Policy is included within the Constitution and so approval of the policy is a matter for Council.

2. Background

- 2.1 The Bribery Act 2010 modernises the law on bribery. The key points are:
 - It deals exclusively with bribery.
 - The Council may have criminal liability for failing to prevent a person from giving or receiving a bribe on its behalf.
 - There is a full defence if the Council can show that it has adequate procedures in place to prevent bribery.
 - Hospitality is not prohibited
 - Facilitation payments are considered to be bribes
- 2.2 The Act creates four new offences:
 - 1. Offences of bribing another person (section 1)

It will be an offence to offer, promise or give financial or other advantage with the intention of inducing that person to perform improperly a "relevant function or activity" or to reward that person for doing so.

It will also be an offence to offer, promise or give financial or other advantage where the person doing so "knows or believes" that the acceptance of the advantage would constitute the improper performance of a "relevant function or activity."

In both cases it does not matter whether the advantage is offered, promised or given directly or through a third party.

The offences apply to bribery relating to any function of a public nature, connected with a business, performed in the course of a person's employment or performed on behalf of a company or another body or persons.

For the purpose of deciding whether a function or activity has been performed improperly the test of what is expected is the reasonable person test.

2. Offences relating to being bribed (Section 2)

This offence is relevant to a number of prescribed cases but in essence it will be an offence to agree to request, receive or accept a financial or other advantage with the intention that a "relevant function or activity" should be performed improperly and it does not matter whether the advantage is received directly or through a third party.

3. Bribery of a foreign official (section 6)

This is a stand-alone offence applicable in situations where the intention is bribery of a foreign public official.

4. Failure of a commercial organisation to prevent bribery (section 7)

The act creates a new offence of failure by a commercial organisation to prevent a bribe being paid for or on its behalf. For the purpose of the Act a relevant commercial organisation means a body corporate or partnership which carries on a business or part of a business. Business is defined as a trade or profession.

- 2.3 In general a council would not be classed as a "commercial organisation", however, councils may have subsidiaries which would come under the scope of the Act or carry out activities which are akin to running a private business.
- 2.4 In any event, it would be advisable for the Council to review its policies and procedures in light of the Act and ensure that are adequate in relation to those offences that could affect the Council and suitably minimise any risks.
- 2.5 A "commercial organisation" is liable under the Act if a "person associated" with it bribes another person intending to obtain or retain business or a business advantage for the organisation or accepts a bribe. This would include employees, agency staff and could include contractors and suppliers.
- 2.6 It is a full defence to this offence to show that an organisation has adequate procedures in place to prevent bribery.

3. Advice and analysis

3.1 The Ministry of Justice produced guidance about anti-bribery procedures in respect of the offence under section 7. This included six principles intended to

help commercial organisations of all sizes and sectors to find adequate procedures.

- 3.2 The six principles are:
 - 1. Proportionality
 - 2. Top Level Commitment
 - 3. Risk Assessment
 - 4. Due Diligence
 - 5. Communication
 - 6. Monitoring and Review
- 3.3 The Council has a number of pre-existing policies and procedures which when read alongside the attached Bribery Policy give adequate protection against bribery. There are plans during this year to strengthen the current arrangements particularly around potential conflicts of interests. The adequacy of protection against bribery should be reviewed at least annually by the Audit Committee and other Committees where necessary to ensure they take account of any new risks. The pre-existing policies include:
 - 1. The Anti Fraud and Corruption Policy
 - 2. The Members' Code of Conduct
 - 3. The Financial Rules
 - 4. The Whisteblowing Policy
 - 5. The Employee Code of Conduct
- 3.4 A Diversity Impact Assessment (DIA) screening form has been undertaken and is attached at Appendix 2. It is not necessary to undertake a full assessment on the draft policy.
- 3.5 The Anti Bribery Policy was considered by the Audit Committee on 10 July 2012. Given Member comments on the greater emphasis being placed on collective working, the Monitoring Officer undertook to strengthen the role of partners within the policy by reinforcing their role in protecting against bribery. Consideration was given as to, as a minimum, expecting other organisations to have a similar anti-bribery policy. The Audit Committee referred the Anti-Bribery Act Policy, as set out in Appendix 1 to the report, to the Employment Matters Committee for comment and Council for approval, subject to the Monitoring Officer reinforcing the role of partners in protecting against bribery.
- 3.6 The draft Policy has been strengthened as requested by the Audit Committee (please refer to Appendix 1 "Who in the Council is covered by this Policy").
- 3.7 The Employment Matters Committee was scheduled to consider this report on 18 July 2012 and the Committee's views will be set out in an addendum report.
- 3.8 The Employment Matters Committee has been recommended to consider the Anti Bribery Policy and the views of the Audit Committee and refer the Anti Bribery Policy to Council for approval.
- 3.9 In addition, the Assistant Director, Organisational Services, has proposed the inclusion of bribery within the Employee Code of Conduct under the existing section relating to fraud and corruption as follows:

New paragraph 13.5 (and renumber existing paragraphs 13.5 and 13.6 as paragraphs 13.6 and 13.7)

- **Bribery** is an inducement or reward offered, promised or provided to gain personal, commercial, regulatory or contractual advantage. Examples could be:
 - Giving, promising to give or offering payment, gift or hospitality in the hope of gaining a business advantage;
 - Accepting a payment from a third party when you know or suspect that it is offered with the expectation of business advantage.
- Procurement, recruitment, key decision making and contract management are particularly vulnerable areas.

4. Risk management

4.1 There are reputational and legal risks to the Council for not pro-actively seeking to prevent bribery.

| Risk | Description | Action to avoid or mitigate risk |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Reputational and legal | bribery offence is committed by member of staff leading to liability for the council | Agree the Bribery Policy, provide information to staff and Councillors via the internet and through training |
| Reputational and legal | Agency staff, suppliers and contractors are not aware of the policy | Include reference to preventing bribery in contracts and tender specifications |

5. Consultation

- 5.1 The Head of Audit has been consulted.
- 5.2 The Assistant Director, Organisational Services has been consulted (see paragraph 3.9 above).

6. Financial and legal implications

- 6.1 The legal implications are set out in the body of the report.
- 6.2 There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

7. Recommendations

- 7.1 That Council notes the views and comments of the Audit Committee and Employment Matters Committee, and approves the Anti Bribery Policy, as set out in Appendix 1 to the report, as part of the Constitution.
- 7.2 That Council agrees the additions to the Employee Code of Conduct, as set out in paragraph 3.9 above, subject to the outcome of consideration at the Employment Matters Committee on 18 July 2012.

Lead officer contact

Perry Holmes, Monitoring Officer, T: 01634 332133, E: perry.holmes@medway.gov.uk

Background papers

None

Anti-Bribery Policy

What is bribery?

Bribery is an inducement or reward offered, promised or provided to gain personal, commercial, regulatory or contractual advantage.

Bribery could be through:

- The giving, promising to give or offering payment, gift or hospitality in the hope of gaining a business advantage
- Accepting a payment from a third party when you know or suspect that it is offered with the expectation of business advantage.

Anyone receiving, or providing, gifts and hospitality, or having a business or personal interest beyond their main role within the Council, needs to consider whether their actions leave themselves and/or the Council vulnerable to allegations of bribery. Procurement, recruitment, key decision-making, and contract management, are all areas of particular vulnerability.

Council's statement

Bribery is a criminal offence. The Council does not pay or accept bribes or offer or accept improper inducements.

The Council is committed to the prevention and detection of bribery, and aims to maintain arrangements to ensure compliance.

The Council is committed to:

- setting and maintaining a clear anti-bribery policy
- making all members and employees aware of this policy
- ensuring there are appropriate mechanisms for Members and employees to report any potential conflicts of interest
- encouraging vigilance
- ensuring there are suitable channels for Members, employees and the general public to report concerns
- taking appropriate action when a concern comes to light.

Bribery Act 2010

The Act sets out the offences that relate to the behaviour of an individual.

- Bribery of another person (section 1)
- Accepting a bribe (section 2)
- Bribing a foreign official (section 6)

The Act also sets out the corporate responsibility by the offence of failing to prevent bribery (section 7). The organisation will have a defence to this

corporate offence if it can demonstrate that it had adequate procedures in place to prevent bribery.

What are the penalties?

For an individual who commits an offence under this act the maximum penalties are:

- Conviction in a magistrates court imprisonment term of 12 months and a fine of £5,000
- Conviction in a crown court imprisonment term of 10 years and an unlimited fine

If the Council was found to have committed the offence under section 7 there is an unlimited fine.

Employees who are found to have breached this policy may also be subject to disciplinary action.

Who in the Council is covered by this policy?

This policy covers all personnel.

This includes all levels and grades of employees, employed on a permanent or temporary basis, working in all areas of the Council's business. It also includes contractors, volunteers and consultants. For ease of reference when this policy refers to "employees" it relates to all of the above. The policy is also relevant to Members.

The Council will work with schools to ensure that they comply with this policy.

The Council will also seek to promote this policy with partners and suppliers and will expect partner organisations to have similar policies in place.

Employee Responsibilities

All employees are required to:

- act with honesty and integrity at all times
- avoid activity that breaches this policy
- read and understand this policy
- raise concerns if they believe/suspect a conflict with this policy has occurred, or is likely to occur in the future

Corporate Responsibilities

There is a corporate responsibility to ensure that the policy and procedures in place are appropriate.

Proportionality

The policy and procedures have been developed based on the nature of the risk that the Council faces. In order to determine this the level and nature of the risk has been assessed.

Top level commitment

The lead officer is the Assistant Director Legal & Corporate Services. The Corporate Management Team is committed to upholding the highest standards of probity and integrity. The policy is presented to Audit Committee and formally approved by Cabinet.

Due diligence

The Council applies due diligence procedures taking a proportionate and risk based approach.

Communication/training

This policy is published to all employees, and on the Council's website, thereby demonstrating the top level commitment. Employee awareness is monitored.

Monitoring/review

The number and nature of incidents reported will be recorded and reported annually to Audit Committee. Detailed reports of any investigations undertaken will also be provided to Audit Committee along with any lessons learned.

The policy will be subject to annual review which will include a review of the level of risk.

Raising a Concern

Employees and Members have a responsibility to raise any concern that they have in relation to this policy.

Employees concerns can be raised with a line manager, directly with the Assistant Director Legal & Corporate Services, or through the whistleblowing procedure.

Members can raise a concern with the Assistant Director, Legal and Corporate Services.

Reporting Mechanism

The Assistant Director, Legal and Corporate Services has overall responsibility for this policy and will report annually to Audit Committee.

Any investigated incidents will be reported to the Audit Committee.

Wider Framework

Relevant policies

- Anti Fraud and Corruption Policy
- Whistleblowing Policy

Relevant Rules

- Financial Rules (Chapter 4, part 6)
- Contract Rules (Chapter 4, part 7)
- Employment Rules (Chapter 4, part 8)

Codes of Conduct

- Members code of conduct (Chapter 5, part 1)
- Employee code of conduct (Chapter 5, part 3)
- Code of governance (Chapter 5, part 6)

Diversity Impact Assessment: Screening Form

| Directorate | Name | e of Function or Policy or Major Service Change | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--------------|--|--|
| Business Support Department | Anti E | ti Bribery Policy | | | |
| | Officer responsible for assessment | | | ent New or existing? | |
| Perry Holmes, Assistant Director, Legal & Corporate Services | | ctor, | 27 June 2012 | new | |
| Defining what is be | | | | 1 | |
| Briefly describe the purpose and objecti | | The Anti Bribery policy sets out the Council's approach to preventing bribery and for mitigating risk of liability failing to prevent bribery by an officer or other representative. | | | |
| 2. Who is intended to benefit, and in what | | The Policy has been introduced to benefit the Council, employees and service users. | | | |
| 3. What outcomes a wanted? | | Desired outcomes: | | | |
| 4. What factors/force | | Contribute Detract | | | |
| could contribute/det from the outcomes? | | | | - employees not understanding the Policy and therefore failing to use . - | |
| 5. Who are the main stakeholders? | | The Council, employees and service users. | | | |
| 6. Who implements and who is responsi | | The Assistant Director, Legal & Corporate Services | | | |

| Assessing impact | | |
|--|-----|---|
| 7. Are there concerns that | | Brief statement of main issue |
| | | Difer Statement of Main Issue |
| there <u>could</u> be a differential | | |
| impact due to racial groups? | | 1 |
| | NO | |
| What evidence evidence | | The Dribon, Act has itself been the subject of |
| What evidence exists for this? | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an impact assessment and is seen to have no impact |
| uns? | | on the equalities duties of the council |
| | _ | Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to |
| | | ensure that best practice examples of policies from |
| | | other councils are considered |
| | | |
| 8. Are there concerns that | | Brief statement of main issue |
| there <u>could</u> be a differential | | |
| impact due to disability? | | - |
| | NO | |
| | | |
| What evidence exists for | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an |
| this? | | impact assessment and is seen to have no impact |
| | | on the equalities duties of the council Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to |
| | _ | ensure that best practice examples of policies from |
| | | other councils are considered |
| | _ | other doubling are definitioned |
| 9. Are there concerns that | | Brief statement of main issue |
| there could be a differential | | |
| impact due to gender? | | - |
| and the general | NO | |
| | INO | |
| What evidence exists for | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an |
| this? | | impact assessment and is seen to have no impact |
| | | on the equalities duties of the council |
| | - | Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to |
| | | ensure that best practice examples of policies from other councils are considered |
| | | other councils are considered |
| 10. Are there concerns there | _ | Brief statement of main issue |
| could be a differential impact | | |
| due to sexual orientation? | NO | 1 |
| | NO | |
| What evidence exists for this? | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an |
| | | impact assessment and is seen to have no impact |
| | | on the equalities duties of the council |
| | - | Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to |
| | | ensure that best practice examples of policies from other councils are considered |
| | _ | other councils are considered |
| 11. Are there concerns there | _ | Brief statement of main issue |
| could be a have a differential | | |
| impact due to religion or belief? | | - |
| _ | NO | |
| What will be a single state of the single stat | | The Deltar Arthur W. 171 |
| What evidence exists for this? | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an |
| | | impact assessment and is seen to have no impact on the equalities duties of the council |
| | | on the equalities duties of the council |

| | - | Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to ensure that best practice examples of policies from other councils are considered |
|--|----|---|
| 12. Are there concerns there could be a differential impact | | Brief statement of main issue |
| due to people's age? | NO | |
| What evidence exists for this? | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an impact assessment and is seen to have no impact on the equalities duties of the council |
| | - | Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to ensure that best practice examples of policies from other councils are considered |
| 13. Are there concerns that there <u>could</u> be a differential | | Brief statement of main issue |
| impact due to being trans- gendered or transsexual? | NO | |
| What evidence exists for this? | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an impact assessment and is seen to have no impact on the equalities duties of the council Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to ensure that best practice examples of policies from other councils are considered |
| 14. Are there any other groups that would find it difficult to access/make use of the function (e.g. young | | If yes, which group(s)? |
| parents, commuters, people with caring responsibilities or dependants, young carers, or people living in rural areas)? | NO | |
| What evidence exists for this? | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an impact assessment and is seen to have no impact on the equalities duties of the council Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to ensure that best practice examples of policies from other councils are considered |

| could I | e there concerns there have a differential due to <i>multiple</i> | | Brief statement of main issue | |
|---|---|-------|---|--|
| discrin | ninations (e.g. ity <u>and</u> age)? | NO | | |
| What e | vidence exists for | - | The Bribery Act has itself been the subject of an impact assessment and is seen to have no impact on the equalities duties of the council Care has been taken in the drafting of this policy to ensure that best practice examples of policies from other councils are considered | |
| | usions & recommenda | ation | | |
| impact | uld the differential is identified in ons 7-15 amount to | YES | N/A | |
| there being the potential for adverse impact? | | NO | | |
| 17. Can the adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of | | YES | N/A | |
| opportunity for one group? | | NO | | |
| Recom | Recommendation to proceed to a full impact assessment? | | | |
| This function/ policy/ service change complies with the requirements of the legislation and there is evidence to show this is the case. | | | | |
| NO, BUT | What is required to ensure this complies with the requirements the legislation? (see D Guidance Notes)? | of | The Audit Committee will oversee the operation of this policy and will ensure that it does not have an adverse impact | |
| YES | Give details of key person responsible an target date for carrying out full impact assessment (see DIA Guidance Notes) | · · | N/A | |

| Planning ahead: Reminders for the next review | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|
| Date of next review | On-going | | |
| Areas to check at next review (e.g. new census information, new legislation due) | | policy is being used and whether re of the policy and its purpose. | |
| Is there another group (e.g. new communities) that is relevant and ought to be considered next time? | | | |
| Signed (completing officer/service manager) | | Date | |
| Signed (service manager/Assistant Director) | | Date | |